1	LOUISIANA STATE BOARD OF PRIVATE SECURITY EXAMINERS
2	QUARTERLY BOARD MEETINGS
3	AND
4	ADJUDICATORY HEARINGS
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Louisiana State Board of Private Security Examiners
10	15703 Old Hammond Highway
11	Baton Rouge, Louisiana
12	
13	
14	
15	June 28, 2012
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Reported By
21	ANNETTE ROSS, CCR, RPR
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	BOARD MEMBERS:
4	Mr. Louis S. Gurvich, Jr., Chairman, District 1
5	Thomas L. Baer, District 3
6	Donald O. Cotton, District 5
7	Kenneth R. Kennedy, Member at Large
8	Denise Lockett, Member at Large
9	James H. "Chip" Romero, Member at Large
10	Christine Vinson, Member at Large
11	COUNSEL:
12	Allison McLeary, Esq.
13	BOARD STAFF:
14	Mr. Wayne R. Rogillio
15	Ms. Jane Ryland
16	Mr. Dickie Thornton
17	Ms. Sharon Vallery
18	
19	Reported by: ANNETTE ROSS, CCR NO. 93001,
20	Certified Court Reporter, in
21	and for the State of Louisiana
22	
23	(Annette Ross officiated in administering the oath
24	to the witnesses.)
25	

1	INDEX
2	Page
3	RECOGNITION OF KAREN POMEROY'S AND NELL WICKWIRE'S
4	RETIREMENT
5	NEW BUSINESS
6	Amendment of 2011-2012 Budget
7	Approval of 2012-2013 Budget
8	Approval of Contracts
9	Approval of Painting Building Interior 21
10	OLD BUSINESS
11	Office Status and Financial Reports
12	LEGAL UPDATE/LEGISLATION
13	SECURITY ALLIANCE OF FLORIDA
14	ALPHA OMEGA SECURITY AND ASSOCIATES, INC
15	DARE INVESTIGATIONS AND SECURITY
16	CENTURY SECURITY AND EVENT STAFFING
17	LOUISIANA PROTECTIVE AGENCY, INC
18	GUARDPOINT SECURITY
19	Examination of Mr. Fetty by Mr. Baer
20	WILLIAMS SECURITY
21	Examination of Mr. Rogillio by Ms. McLeary . 49, 52
22	Exhibit A
23	Examination of Mr. Rogillio by Mr. Gurvich 51
24	Examination of Deputy Trammel by Ms. McLeary 54
25	

1	INDEX (CONT'D)
2	Page
3	Cross-Examination of Deputy Trammel by Mr. Williams
4	
5	
6	Examination of Deputy Cox by Ms. McLeary 57
7	Examination of Ms. Michael by Mr. Williams 61
8	Cross-Examination of Ms. Michael by Ms. McLeary
9	64, 67
10	Examination of Ms. Michael by Mr. Gurvich66
11	Examination of Mr. Williams by Mr. Gurvich 70
12	Examination of Mr. Williams by Ms. McLeary 71
13	JOSE CAMACHO
14	Exhibit A
15	ROCHELLE FLOWERS
16	Examination of Mr. Rogillio by Ms. McLeary 85
17	Examination of Mr. Rogillio by Mr. Gurvich 88
18	F.E.S.S., INC
19	Examination of Ms. Bauer by Mr. Gurvich
20	
21	
	Examination of Ms. Bauer by Mr. Kennedy105, 106
22	Examination of Ms. Bauer by Ms. McLeary106, 157
23	Examination of Mr. Thornton by Ms. McLeary 114, 120
24	Examination of Mr. Thornton by Mr. Gurvich119

1	INDEX (CONT'D)
2	Page
3	Cross-Examination of Mr. Thornton by Mr. Rodriguez
4	
5	Examination of Mr. Paisant by Ms. McLeary .129, 137
6	Examination of Mr. Paisant by Mr. Gurvich 135
7	Examination of Mr. Paisant by Ms. Vinson 136
8	Examination of Mr. Rogillio by Ms. McLeary 137, 140
9	Examination of Mr. Rogillio by Mr. Gurvich.139, 142
10	Cross-Examination of Mr. Rogillio by Ms. Bauer .144
11	Examination of Ms. Bauer by Mr. Kennedy 146
12	Examination of Ms. Bauer by Ms. Vinson 148, 157
13	Cross-Examination of Mr. Paisant by Mr. Rodriguez
14	
15	Examination of Mr. Paisant by Ms. Lockett 154
16	Examination of Ms. Bauer by Mr. Baer
17	NEW BUSINESS
L8	S.A.F.E. Management Presentation
L9	Discussion of Fixed Case Marking Projectile 209
20	DETERMINATION OF DATE OF NEXT MEETING
21	QUESTIONS/COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC
22	
23	* * * *
24	
) E	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 1 2 MR. GURVICH: Welcome, everyone, to the quarterly board meeting of the Louisiana State Board of 3 Private Security Examiners. I'd ask, Chief Rogillio, if 4 5 you will be so kind as to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance, followed by a brief moment of silence. 6 7 Chief. (Pledge of Allegiance and brief moment of silence.) 8 MR. GURVICH: Thank you. May we have a quorum 9 call. 10 (Roll call.) 11 MR. GURVICH: We have a quorum present. Chief, 12 what's your pleasure? I know we're waiting for counsel. 13 I know we have a bunch of folks here for hearings, but 14 15 our counsel is on the way, and she represents the board 16 in the hearings. So if we may, I'd like to proceed to 17 something that we can do without counsel being present. 18 RECOGNITION OF KAREN POMEROY'S AND NELL WICKWIRE'S

RECOGNITION OF KAREN POMEROY'S AND NELL WICKWIRE'S RETIREMENT

19

20

2.1

2.2.

2.3

24

25

MR. ROGILLIO: All right, sir. I'd like to take a moment to recognize two of our employees that are retiring. Karen Pomeroy, would you stand, Karen. And Nell Wickwire, where is Nell? In the back.

(Applause.)

MR. ROGILLIO: These ladies have worked here

1	each for ten years, and they are eligible for retirement.
2	MR. GURVICH: So they are on disability, then.
3	(Laughter.)
4	MR. ROGILLIO: We treated them good. They
5	really just they just got enough, so they are
6	retiring. And we want to thank y'all for your service.
7	Thank you very much.
8	MR. GURVICH: Thank you very much.
9	MR. ROGILLIO: Okay. So we'll move on next to
10	the budget.
11	MS. RYLAND: Wait. Excuse me just a second. We
12	need to waive the reading of the minutes.
13	MR. ROGILLIO: Okay.
14	MR. GURVICH: I'll move to waive the reading of
15	the minutes of the previous meeting.
16	MR. BAER: Second.
17	MR. ROMERO: Second.
18	NEW BUSINESS, AMENDMENT OF 2011-2012 BUDGET
19	MR. ROGILLIO: We'll move on to the budget
20	items. Mr. McRight is here, and he needs to get out of
21	here as soon as possible, so, Mr. Mack, if you want to
22	MR. MCRIGHT: Do you want me to go over here?
23	MR. ROGILLIO: You can come up here.
24	MR. MCRIGHT: I may need Sharon also.
25	MR. ROGILLIO: Watch your credit card. Do you

want her up here as well?

2.2

2.3

MR. MCRIGHT: Yes. She will probably help me put on the budget part. I'm Lawrence McRight. I'm the accountant for the board. And I'm here today to present a couple of things: The Financial Statement for the 11 months ending May 31st and the Amended Budget for this year and the Proposed Budget for the coming year.

If you will go about five pages over into your packet, there is a balance sheet for the May 31st, 2011 — I mean '12. Sorry about that.

Basically, the May 31st, on the balance sheet, y'all had \$445,000 worth of cash. Y'all had property and equipment of 375,000, giving y'all total assets of \$820,000. Y'all had liabilities, payroll, deferred compensation, totaling about 80,919. That's the retirement, the payroll tax, all of that stuff that has to be paid that wasn't paid as of the end of the month.

Y'all have long-term liabilities of — these are for benefits that are due after people retire, and you have to set up an amount to cover that. That's \$384,000 as of right now. That will be adjusted by the auditors at the end of June, probably by another \$90,000 or so.

Y'all have capital. Like a company, your capital account is really your retained earnings of \$355,000. So that basically gives y'all roughly \$355,000 to operate on

if everything else had shut down and you didn't have any funds coming in for the following year.

2.0

2.2

2.3

On the next page is for the — I skipped a page somewhere. On a couple pages over from there, we have the revenues for the month ending — for the year ending May 31st, 2012. So far this year, y'all have taken in \$756,000 and y'all have expended \$726,000, leaving y'all an increase in retained earnings of up to \$30,000 so far this year.

Everything is going along pretty close to budget. There was no items that — really significant variances from budget. They pretty well stayed within in line, although we do need to amend the budget as of June 30th. The legislative auditor requires y'all to be within 5 percent of the actual amounts. If not, you get written up for it.

So we are proposing that, on the next few pages, we have an Amended Budget for the June 30, 2012, year that the board needs to act on and amend the budget for June 30 so that, whenever we finish the month of June, it will be within 5 percent of the budgeted figures for the year.

MR. GURVICH: And we need to enact the 2013 Budget of June or July 2012 to June 2013; do we need to enact that budget today?

1	MR. MCRIGHT: Yes. Y'all need to approve that
2	budget for the coming year, which can be amended any time
3	during the year that you need to, but this is our
4	Proposed Budget.
5	MR. GURVICH: Right. So we've got two things to
6	do?
7	MR. MCRIGHT: Yes, sir. As far as the current
8	financials, if y'all don't have any questions, we can
9	move on to the budget, or if there is any anything
10	specific y'all want to know about the current.
11	MR. GURVICH: Well, it's your belief that things
12	are running more or less close to where the projections
13	were?
14	MR. MCRIGHT: Right. We're running pretty well
15	in line with where we should be. You know, the object is
16	not to make a big profit, but it's not to lose money each
17	year. Right now we are projecting y'all will be
18	somewhere in the \$30,000 to the good for the year, which
19	just adds to the amount so y'all can operate without
20	having to go look for increases over the next few years.
21	MR. GURVICH: So we want a motion to amend the
22	budget as stated in this couple of pages here?
23	MR. MCRIGHT: The following five or six pages,
24	right.
25	MR CIRVICH: That would be and the names

aren't numbered. I see here Amended Expenses Budget and Amended Revenue Budget. 2 MR. MCRIGHT: Right. Those two together make 3 the budget for June 30th, 2012. 4 MR. GURVICH: All right. Well, then I will make 5 a motion to amend the July 31, 2011, to June 30, 2012, 6 budget as proposed on the documents provided by our 7 accountants and noted herein as Amended Revenue Budget 8 and Amended Expenses Budget. Is that pretty much what 9 you wanted to do here? 10 MR. MCRIGHT: Yes, sir. 11 MR. GURVICH: Do I hear a second to the motion? 12 MR. ROMERO: Second. 13 MS. VINSON: Second. 14 MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich jointly 15 seconded by Mr. Romero, Ms. Vinson, and -- was that 16 Mr. Kennedy? Do I hear any debate/discussion? All in 17 favor of the motion say aye. 18 19 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes 20 unanimously. You have your Amended Budget. Now the July 21 2012. 2.2 NEW BUSINESS, APPROVAL OF 2012-2013 BUDGET 2.3 MR. MCRIGHT: About five pages over, we have a 24 little -- well, this says Proposed Budget, June 30th, 25

2013. We have revenues first. We are projecting revenues to come in of \$839,400 for year ending June 30th. And we are projecting expense budgets of 817,500. So we are projecting, at the beginning of the year, a slight profit, roughly 20-something thousand dollars for the coming year end June 30, 2013.

And all this is also subject to change, because a lot of your moneys comes from fines and regulatory stuff that depends on what comes in, and then you decide what you can spend after you see what actually comes in. This is our projection of what we expect to come in for 6/30/2013.

MR. GURVICH: This goes through June 30, 2013.

MR. MCRIGHT: Yes, sir.

2.0

2.2

2.3

MR. GURVICH: The cautionary note I would throw in is, if the Obamacare — it appears as if it's going to remain law — comes into play, six months thereafter, there could be a radical decrease in security officer files. Now, that won't affect this budget, but it would certainly — well, I don't know.

It depends. It's a judgment call whether, in the six months leading up to January 1, 2014, if there will be a diminution in enrollment of security officers. And there may not be. But I suspect there may very well be after January 1, 2014.

1	MR. MCRIGHT: Right. If they have to start
2	covering everybody, right.
3	MR. GURVICH: But if the advent of Obamacare
4	sharply reduces security officer enrollments in the lead-
5	up to the actual coming into play of the Obamacare on
6	January 1, 2014, that it could affect the tail end of
7	this budget.
8	MR. MCRIGHT: Yes, sir.
9	MR. GURVICH: But it's still six months out, so
10	I'm assuming.
11	MR. MCRIGHT: And y'all would have time to amend
12	the budget and see where we're going to have to cut to
13	stay within our projected revenues at that time.
14	MR. GURVICH: Right. I got you. Any comments
15	or questions from our board members?
16	MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman.
17	MR. GURVICH: Mr. Romero.
18	MR. ROMERO: Without the availability to do the
19	math real quickly, what items are we seeing increases in
20	the Proposed Budget going forward in June 30, 2013?
21	MR. MCRIGHT: We're going to Sharon's expertise
22	right now.
23	MS. VALLERY: Your LASERs and Retirement is
24	going to go up. We have had a we have a decrease in
25	the employees' portion of the group benefits, our health

insurance. But I'm not sure what the employer portion is 1 going to do yet. We have not gotten a mandate from 2 them. 3 Then, of course, postage is going to go up. 4 cost of copy paper, ink, and toner for our printers has 5 gone up drastically. The cost of copy paper has gone up 6 a lot. 7 The wages are going to be about MR. MCRIGHT: 8 \$30,000 or so increase in your pay percent, at least. 9 Because we have had some double MS. VALLERY: 10 and we will continue to have some double incumbencies 11 while we are training and putting new people in place to 12 replace the three people that have retired. So you're 13 going to have a little increase in the wages, because we 14 will have, you know, double incumbencies for a little 15 bit. 16 MR. MCRIGHT: And, insurance, we expect that to 17 go up a pretty good bit. About \$20,000? 18 Probably. MS. VALLERY: 19 MR. ROGILLIO: We have decreased the 20 investigators from 20,000 each to 10,000 for this coming 21 year, so that's a decrease. 2.2 MS. VALLERY: Because they only used, between 2.3

the two, one of them used a little over 18- and one used

a little over \$1900, so there was no reason, we didn't

24

feel like, to tie up \$20,000 in a contract for both of them when we feel like 10,000 is, you know, more 2 sufficient -- you know, more efficient, if you guys agree 3 with that. 4 MR. GURVICH: And if we did have somebody who 5 reached that amount, we can always amend the budget. 6 MS. VALLERY: We can always amend it, but, I 7 mean, we have done really good this year, because Dickie 8 has been doing, you know, our checks and investigations 9 and stuff and has saved us a lot of money, so. 10 MR. BAER: Mr. Chairman. 11 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Baer. 12 MR. BAER: Is there any plans to add a third 13 investigator? 14 MR. ROGILLIO: Currently, no, sir, because of 15 the dollars. 16 MR. BAER: At one time, we had three. 17 MR. ROGILLIO: We had three. One of them passed 18 19 away --MR. BAER: Right. 20 MR. ROGILLIO: -- and we didn't replace that 21 individual. But we have held back because, a couple 2.2 years ago, we had a financial crunch, if you will. And 2.3 we asked you guys to give us that \$10 administrative fee 24

tacked onto the applications and so forth, and that got

us out of the hole big-time.

2.0

2.2

2.3

But we're still not comfortable with continuing with — and I don't — I only use those investigators on an as-need basis. If there is a situation that comes up, I call them. They go take care of it. I don't give them just free rein to go out and do post checks and that kind of thing. I rely on Mr. Thornton for that.

MR. GURVICH: Any more comments/questions?

MR. MCRIGHT: One thing I could point out on the proposed budget, as always, salaries and benefits are the biggest item of it. We've got a budget of 800 and something thousand dollars. I believe 500-something of it is going to be employees, employee benefits. So that's your big item always on your budgets.

MS. VALLERY: Another increase is going to be our air-conditioning unit. We had an air-conditioning unit repaired at the cost of \$2800 this week. Our units are getting old. They're the same ones that came with the building. Is that right, Wayne?

(Mr. Rogillio nods head.)

MS. VALLERY: Same ones that were put in when the building was put in, and did we have to repair one this week at a cost of \$2800. So we did increase that maintenance to try to cover another repair in the event that we have one. We had to put a condenser in.

1	MR. GURVICH: How old are the units?
2	MR. ROGILLIO: We have been in the building
3	almost 12 years, and they were here when the building was
4	built for a year.
5	MR. GURVICH: How many do we have?
6	MR. ROGILLIO: Five.
7	MR. GURVICH: Five?
8	MR. ROGILLIO: Five units.
9	MR. GURVICH: I would imagine, in the next three
10	years, they will all have to be replaced.
11	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, the compressor went out on
12	this one particular unit, and the gentleman that did the
13	repair said the unit was in good shape. So I assume that
14	it will now hopefully last us a while longer.
15	MR. GURVICH: Any further comments or
16	questions?
17	MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman.
18	MR. GURVICH: Mr. Romero.
19	MR. ROMERO: One question: Under the Proposed
20	Budget, the last page at Special Requests, are those
21	expenses already added into the budget?
22	MS. VALLERY: No. You all have to approve those
23	before we can put those in, is my understanding.
24	MR. GURVICH: Well, we can afford it?
25	MR. MCRIGHT: Those items fall kind of under

If none,

maintenance anyway, and the money's available and they 1 should be able to go ahead and do those items, especially 2 like the restriping the parking lots and stuff like 3 that. That's an ongoing safety type factor. 4 MR. GURVICH: Right. 5 MS. VINSON: With the painting, would there be 6 any like moving costs involved with --7 That, I believe -- that's added MS. RYLAND: 8 into the estimate. There are some estimates in your 9 packet, but we just haven't gotten to that part yet. 10 MR. ROGILLIO: It won't be every room in this 11 building. It will only be those that need it. And all 12 of those file cabinets, it's incumbent on the painter to 13 move those things, paint behind them and then put them 14 back. So all of that's included in that. 15 MR. GURVICH: Further comments or questions? Ιf 16 not, I will make a motion to approve and adopt the 17 Proposed Revenue Budget presented to us by our 18 accountant, that being July 1, 2012, through June 30, 19 2013, to include the special requests adverted to by 2.0 Mr. Romero. Do we have a second to the motion? 21 Second. 2.2 MR. BAER: MS. VTNSON: Second. 2.3 MR. GURVICH: Jointly seconded by Mr. Baer and 24

Ms. Vinson. Any further debate/discussion?

1	I'll call for a vote. All in favor say aye.
2	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
3	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
4	unanimously. Thank you, sir.
5	MR. MCRIGHT: Thank y'all.
6	MR. GURVICH: Chief, what can we do now?
7	NEW BUSINESS, APPROVAL OF CONTRACTS
8	MR. ROGILLIO: I have the contracts for our
9	court reporter, our CPA, our two investigators if you
10	want to take that matter up now.
11	MR. GURVICH: Sure. Let's just keep moving
12	until counsel gets here.
13	MR. ROGILLIO: It's nothing has changed in the
14	contracts. It's the same as it's always been, except for
15	the dollar amount for the two investigators, as I said,
16	we reduced. So I would need you if y'all approve
17	these, I would need you to sign them.
18	MR. GURVICH: You're talking about two contracts
19	for two investigators, one contract for the court
20	reporter?
21	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. And we have
22	MS. RYLAND: Our CPA and our two computer
23	people.
24	MR. GURVICH: And all being renewed at the same
25	rate and so forth?

1	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
2	MS. RYLAND: No. Not the investigators.
3	MR. GURVICH: Not the investigators.
4	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, not the investigators.
5	MR. GURVICH: Well, the hourly rate would be the
6	same?
7	MR. ROGILLIO: Right.
8	MS. RYLAND: And the max is ten, a less amount.
9	MR. GURVICH: The max has been reduced. Okay.
10	Well, shall we do you want to handle this
11	individually, or do we just do this in globo and knock
12	them all out?
13	I will make the motion to approve the contract as
14	presented without change. Do I hear a second?
15	MS. LOCKETT: Second.
16	MR. ROMERO: Second.
17	MS. VINSON: Second.
18	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich, jointly
19	seconded by Mr. Romero and was that Ms. Lockett down
20	there? And Ms. Vinson. Debate? Discussion? There
21	being none, I'll call for the vote. All in favor say
22	aye.
23	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
24	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
25	unanimously.

1	MR. ROGILLIO: Do you want to sign these now or
2	wait till
3	MS. RYLAND: No. I have the originals, so hang
4	on one second.
5	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, I was going to say, we can
6	do it after the board meeting if you wish.
7	MS. RYLAND: Yes, sir.
8	NEW BUSINESS, APPROVAL OF PAINTING BUILDING INTERIOR
9	MR. ROGILLIO: The next matter is, and I think
LO	you have already touched on this, to discuss the painting
L1	of the building. That was to be approved or disapproved.
L2	MR. GURVICH: Well, we have approved it as sort
L3	of an amendment to the budget.
L 4	MS. RYLAND: Well, there's bids on it. Do we
L5	have to look at the bids?
L6	MR. GURVICH: If it's under 10,000, I don't
L7	think you have to get bids. If you want to get bids,
L 8	it's perfectly all right.
L9	MS. RYLAND: We have three bids.
20	MR. ROGILLIO: We have three proposals already,
21	but it's under ten, so we don't have to go out for bid,
22	basically.
23	MR. GURVICH: I don't know, unless there's any
24	sentiment from the board otherwise, just use your best

judgment and inherent authority as the chief

administrative officer of the board to pick whoever you think will do the best job at the best price. 2 OLD BUSINESS, OFFICE STATUS AND FINANCIAL REPORTS 3 MR. ROGILLIO: Okay. We go back up to Item 5, 4 if you would, for the Status Reports and the Financial 5 Report. We have pretty much covered that already. But, 6 Status Report, if you have any questions, that's in your 7 packet. 8 MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman. 9 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Romero. 10 MR. ROMERO: Do we see any trending on the 11 status reports one way or the other that --12 MR. ROGILLIO: No, sir. It pretty much 13 maintains the same. I mean, it may go up 2 percent, 14 3 percent, but, basically, it stays pretty much the same. 15 MR. GURVICH: The Teknarus computer support, do 16 we need to approve that as well? 17 MR. ROGILLIO: That was in your contracts. 18 I didn't even know that. MR. GURVICH: That was 19 one of the contracts? 2.0 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. That's the computer 21 2.2 people. MR. GURVICH. And that's renewed at the same? 2.3 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. Our 24 25 attorney hasn't arrived, so.

1	MR. GURVICH: Well, I mean, do we have some
2	of these things you can probably get through without a
3	contradictory hearing.
4	MR. ROGILLIO: Oh, yeah. You need a motion to
5	approve the reports, the Financial Report and the Status
6	Report.
7	MR. GURVICH: The Financial Report and the
8	Office Status Report, has everybody had an opportunity to
9	review them? There is nothing outstanding, new,
10	unsuspected, or, you know, that sort of thing?
11	MR. ROGILLIO: No, sir.
12	MR. GURVICH: I'll make a motion to approve the
13	Office Status Report and Financial Report as submitted.
14	Do I hear a second?
15	MR. ROMERO: Second.
16	MR. BAER: Second.
17	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich seconded by
18	Mr. Baer and Mr. Romero, I believe. All in favor say
19	aye.
20	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
21	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
22	unanimously.
23	LEGAL UPDATE/LEGISLATION
24	MR. ROGILLIO: Mr. Blackburn is not here, of
25	course. He got called down to the governor's office with

Colonel Edmonson. But I was hoping he would be here to give a legal update. But we took a lot of pounding in the legislature, if you will. We had a lot of opposition to the bills that we introduced, and most of it came from the sheriffs' association.

And I met Senator Bodie White and a member of the sheriffs association on one of our bills and changed the verbiage just a little bit, and it seemed to please them. But I couldn't tell you at this point if it passed or not, because I haven't gotten anything back.

But, Ms. Vinson, the one that you wanted passed that had to do with raising the penalty for attack on a security officer failed miserably. It first started out, if you remember, with jail time, and that was a problem. So that was reduced to like a \$1,000 fine, and then, later, that was even reduced to where it's just strictly simple battery as it was before.

MS. VINSON: Okay.

MR. ROGILLIO: So we tried. We didn't have a lot of luck down there.

SECURITY ALLIANCE OF FLORIDA

2.0

2.2

2.3

MR. ROGILLIO: We can go to the adjudicatories if you wish. We can handle Security Alliance, Item No. 9 on your agenda for —

MR. GURVICH: Do we have witnesses or parties

here from Security --MR. ROGILLIO: Well, I have an attorney 2 representing Security Alliance, and they have entered 3 into a stipulation. If you folks agree with that 4 stipulation, we can continue. 5 MR. GURVICH: Yes, sir. If you would, please 6 give your name and introduce yourself. 7 Yes. Mr. Chairman, Ms. or Vice MR. DERBES: 8 Chairman, remaining members of the board, my name is Al 9 Derbes, IV. I'm the attorney for Security Alliance, LLC, 10 formerly known as Security Alliance of Florida, LLC. 11 And we've reached a stipulation after negotiating 12 with Mr. Blackburn and Mr. Rogillio regarding this 13 matter. And I suggested to Mr. Rogillio that I would 14 read a copy of it. I don't know if y'all have a copy of 15 it. 16 MS. RYLAND: No. 17 I'm sorry. It's only three MR. DERBES: No? 18 sentences. One is that: 19 "Security Alliance had responded to a 2.0 federal request for proposal" -- with the Army 21 Corps of Engineers, it was -- "and did so 2.2 without an application for licensure in place 2.3 with the state board. 24

25

"Security Alliance will pay a \$1,000 fine

to the state board.

2.0

2.2

2.3

"And Security Alliance has complied with all the rules and regulations associated with obtaining a license from the state board, and having passed all required examinations, the state board agrees that Security Alliance" would "be awarded a company license."

And that's the Stipulation.

The background is, my client filed for an application with the Army Corps of Engineers, request for proposal for a job.

They had previously been in Louisiana during
Hurricane Katrina. They were actually the ones who
guarded Tulane University on a special emergency thing.
Then, later on, they had a license again that got
renewed. And then, later on, then they allowed their
license to lapse and they didn't know that you had to
come in and have the license application pending to do a
response request for proposal with the Army Corps.

They got awarded the job and then they have lost the job. The award was withdrawn. I'm not sure of the exact federal word.

And so what they have done is, they have done the applications, done the application process, and are now in negotiations with Mr. Blackburn and Mr. Rogillio.

This is the solution that we suggest.

2.2

2.3

MR. GURVICH: Chief, that's the stipulation?

MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. And that's based all upon the fact that the board would have to approve that stipulation and sign off on it.

MR. GURVICH: All right. Well, okay. Relative to the Charge Letter of May 9th, 2012, in regards to Item 1 of said letter, that David Ramirez, Owner and qualifying agent of Security Alliance of Florida, LLC, Security Alliance, LLC, did operate a contract security company without possessing a valid license in violation of R.S. 37:3276(F) and R.S. 37:3291(A)(1).

So that is the effect of the stipulation as to you want to plead guilty to the charge.

MR. DERBES: Well, not plead guilty. We actually didn't operate.

MR. GURVICH: To admit the veracity of the —
MR. DERBES: I guess we are admitting to, we
didn't have the application. They did not operate. They
were not operating at all. They were only in Florida.
They just sent in the response. So the effect of it is
to say that we did — I guess our plea is slightly

place. If they had the application in place, there would

different. We did so without having the application in

25 | be no legal issue here.

1	MR. GURVICH: Well, I will make a motion that
2	the board adopt the stipulations and fines adverted to in
3	said Stipulation.
4	MR. ROMERO: Second.
5	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich, second by
6	Mr. Romero. Debate? Discussion? Counsel? Chief? All
7	in favor of the motion as stated say aye.
8	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
9	MR. GURVICH: The motion passes unanimously.
10	Thank you for being here today.
11	MR. DERBES: Thank you, sir.
12	MR. GURVICH: Why don't you get your record in
13	order and include everything in globo in the record.
14	MR. ROGILLIO: All right, sir. As you so
15	eloquently put it, we will ask that the entire record be
16	submitted in globo and the Stipulation as part of that
17	record.
18	MR. GURVICH: Next matter. Do you have any
19	other uncontested or relatively uncontested hearings?
20	MR. ROGILLIO: I think, sir, we can move to
21	Item 10, Williams Security. Mr. Williams is here, and I
22	have witnesses here for that matter.
23	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Well, are we stipulating
24	this away, or is it going to be contested?
25	MR. ROGILLIO: I think it is going to be a full-

MR. ROGILLIO: I think it is going to be a full-

1	blown hearing, I think.
2	MR. GURVICH: Well, do you have any others where
3	we can do a quick stipulation?
4	ALPHA OMEGA SECURITY ASSOCIATES, INC.
5	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, if you go to No. 3, that
6	one, we have taken care of this morning.
7	MR. GURVICH: Okay. No. 3 is off; y'all have
8	handled that?
9	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes.
10	DARE INVESTIGATIONS AND SECURITY
11	MR. ROGILLIO: No. 5 is Dare Investigations and
12	Security. Dickie, would you sound the hall for him when
13	you get done. Anybody here from Dare, Dare
14	Investigations?
15	MR. GURVICH: Dare Investigations and Security,
16	anyone here? Dickie, if you would, sound the halls.
17	(Mr. Thornton leaves, then reenters room.)
18	MR. THORNTON: No one present from Dare.
19	MR. GURVICH: All right. Chief, no one is here
20	from Dare Investigations and Security. What's your
21	pleasure?
22	MR. ROGILLIO: Just a second, sir.
23	MR. GURVICH: Is this an appeal of
24	administrative action or first impression?
25	MR. ROGILLIO: No, sir. This is administrative

action. Actually, this involves us doing an audit on their investigations. And there were fines issued which 2 were never paid. And it's my understanding from our 3 investigator in North Louisiana that this gentleman has 4 apparently --5 (Ms. McLeary enters hearing.) 6 MR. ROGILLIO: Good morning -- experienced some 7 financial problems and, I understand, may or may not have 8 lost his business and/or his home and whatever other 9 items he might have had. But our total fine was 10 \$3,152.50. 11 He was served, personal service, by Mr. Coleman, our 12 North Louisiana investigator, but we have not had any 13 contact with him since the service, so. 14 MR. GURVICH: You have gotten a valid service on 15 him with the Charge Letter? 16 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. 17 MR. GURVICH: By? 18 MR. ROGILLIO: By Mr. Coleman. 19 MR. GURVICH: Well, I mean, what's the method of 2.0 service on it? 21 MR. ROGILLIO: Personal service. 2.2 MR. GURVICH: Personal service? 2.3 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. 24 All right. Well, in other words, 25 MR. GURVICH:

1	an administrative fine has been levied?
2	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
3	MR. GURVICH: It was not paid timely; and we're
4	at the point, at this juncture, anyway, is this a
5	revocation?
6	MR. ROGILLIO: I would ask for a revocation at
7	this point, yes, sir.
8	MR. GURVICH: And I will move that the license
9	of Dare Investigations and Security, Inc., be revoked and
10	that the fine previously assessed by the board staff be
11	confirmed. Before any other licensure application is
12	accepted, that will fine would have to be paid.
13	MR. ROMERO: Second.
14	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich, second by
15	Mr. Romero. Debate? Discussion? All in favor of the
16	motion as stated say aye.
17	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
18	MR. GURVICH: No opposed? The motion passes
19	unanimously. Next matter.
20	MR. ROGILLIO: All right, sir. Go back down
21	to Item No
22	MR. GURVICH: We have a comment or a question.
23	MS. VINSON: Just a general housekeeping
24	question, I guess. If someone reapplies, does the fine
25	follow the company name or the qualifying agent's name?

That's a good question. It would MR. GURVICH: certainly follow the company name. 2 Yes. We normally issue the fine MR. ROGILLIO: 3 to the company and the individual who is listed as owner 4 or owners. The qualifying agent is not somebody that we 5 actually hold accountable for that. 6 MS. VINSON: Okay. 7 That's the company owner. MR. ROGILLIO: 8 MS. VINSON: So it follows the owner? 9 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, ma'am. If it's a 10 corporation, then, of course, it would be listed as -- in 11 our letter, it would be listed as the corporation and 12 owner or officers. 13 We try to cover everybody, except somebody like the 14 qualifying agent. That person is responsible, of course, 15 for the flow of the paperwork here, but not necessarily 16 for fines or administrative fines. 17 Okay. We can go with Williams. 18 The remainder of the hearings are MR. GURVICH: 19 contested witness type hearings? 2.0 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. 21 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Allison, do you need some 2.2 time to? 2.3 MS. MCLEARY: If we could, if we could just have 24 25 five minutes for me to converse with Mr. Rogillio.

1	MR. GURVICH: Shall we recess briefly until,
2	say, 10:20?
3	MR. ROGILLIO: That will be fine.
4	MS. MCLEARY: Thank you.
5	(Recess taken.)
6	CENTURY SECURITY AND EVENT STAFFING
7	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Are we ready to go back on
8	record?
9	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
10	MR. GURVICH: Next matter.
11	MR. ROGILLIO: Next matter is Century Security
12	and Event Staffing.
13	MR. GURVICH: Century Security and Event
14	Staffing, do we have some folks here?
15	MR. BRYSON: Yes, sir.
16	MR. GURVICH: If you would, come forward, and
17	they'll instruct you where to sit and give you a
18	microphone.
19	MS. MCLEARY: And, Mr. Chairman, I believe that
20	we have come to an agreement that there is not going to
21	be any contesting of the violations as stated in the
22	Charge Letter for Mr. Rogillio, that Century just had
23	wanted to address the board.
24	MR. GURVICH: And, sir, your name?
25	MR. BRYSON: My name is Shannon Bryson,

president of Century Security. 1 MR. GURVICH: Okay. We are not having testimony 2 or anything, so we don't need to swear witnesses or 3 anything? 4 MR. ROGILLIO: No, sir. 5 MR. GURVICH: Yes, sir. 6 The problem that was created with MR. BRYSON: 7 our uniforms we have corrected since. We were just 8 waiting on a shipment for the additional uniforms. 9 officers were out of uniform that day were basically out 10 of uniform because of a late shipment. So the problem 11 has been addressed within our company and resolved. 12 MR. GURVICH: So y'all have reached some sort of 13 stipulation? 14 Yes, sir. He is just going to MS. MCLEARY: 15 stipulate to the charges as outlined in the letter sent 16 by Mr. Rogillio. He doesn't contest any of that, any of 17 the violations. 18 MR. GURVICH: That is May 23rd, 2012, Charge 19 Letter alleging 11 violations of Title 46, Part 59, 2.0 Chapter 7, Section 701(E); that's the uniform section? 21 MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. 2.2 MR. GURVICH: Okay. So have y'all reached a 2.3 stipulation on the amount of the fine? 24

MS. MCLEARY: No, sir, not as far as the amount

of the fine. MR. ROGILLIO: I would like to add, if you, the 2 board, would consider, the cost of our investigation at 3 this point was \$324 when you consider the fines. 4 MR. GURVICH: All right. So there is no 5 question as to the facts; we have stipulated away the 6 facts? 7 MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. 8 MR. GURVICH: Now, does the board assess fines? 9 MR. ROGILLIO: No, sir. 10 They are being appealed, or this MR. GURVICH: 11 is a matter of first impression for us? 12 MR. ROGILLIO: This is an appeal to you. 13 Okay. All right. Well, do any of MR. GURVICH: 14 our board members have a motion or comments or questions 15 for either party? 16 MR. BAER: Yes. Mr. Chairman. 17 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Baer. 18 What about the 9 of the 13 had no 19 MR. BAER: license; is that -- they were actually separate from the 2.0 uniform issue, correct? 21 MR. BRYSON: Yes, sir. They were actually 2.2 working as -- they were front door personnel working as 2.3 badge checkers, which they didn't need license for. So 24 they were just out of uniform. We had put them in 25

security uniforms by mistake, because the other ones had not come in yet, sir. 2 MR. GURVICH: Any other comments or questions? 3 What kind of record with the board does this company 4 have, if I may inquire? 5 MR. ROGILLIO: The company is fairly new. 6 is the first time we have had a problem. And basically 7 what this was, was, if you recall, the board asked for a 8 rule that ticket takers, badge checkers, folks of that 9 nature, did not have to register. But there is a part of 10 the rule that says that they cannot wear a uniform that 11 designates them as security. 12 They were wearing uniforms that said "security." 13 So that's why we're here. They have since changed their 14 logo on their uniforms, a patch. 15 MR. GURVICH: The shipment of uniforms, the 16 proper uniforms, have arrived? 17 MR. BRYSON: Yes, sir. 18 MR. GURVICH: And all these problems have been 19 remedied? 2.0 MR. BRYSON: Yes, sir. 21 MR. ROGILLIO: We have gotten a copy of the new 2.2 logo, and it doesn't say "security" on that particular 2.3 patch. 24 MR. GURVICH: I'll make a motion if nobody else 25

1	will.
2	MS. VINSON: I'll be happy to.
3	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Well, I will defer to
4	Ms. Vinson.
5	MS. VINSON: I'd like to make the motion that we
6	assess a fine of \$50 per violation, plus the cost of the
7	investigator.
8	MR. GURVICH: The \$324?
9	MS. VINSON: Yes, sir.
10	MR. GURVICH: I'll second the motion.
11	MS. VINSON: Oh, plus admin fees, if I can amend
12	it.
13	MR. GURVICH: Well, that is the admin fees,
14	\$324?
15	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
16	MR. GURVICH: So that would be 11 times 50; in
17	other words, what, \$550, plus 324. Don't trust my math.
18	That's \$874 total? Okay. Final
19	debate/discussion/comments? Allison.
20	MS. MCLEARY: No, sir.
21	MR. GURVICH: Mr. Bryson.
22	MR. BRYSON: No, sir.
23	MR. GURVICH: Board members. All right. I'll
24	call for the vote. All for the motion as stated by
25	Ms. Vinson say aye.

1	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
2	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
3	unanimously. Do y'all have sort of an automatic
4	arrangement on time for payment and that sort of thing?
5	MR. ROGILLIO: It's 30 days normally, yes, sir.
6	MR. GURVICH: All right. Thank you for being
7	here today, sir. By the way, it is supremely important
8	that security is marked as security and ticket takers and
9	whatever else is marked as something else and never the
10	twain shall meet. We just don't want a situation where
11	somebody is mistaken for somebody or something that they
12	are not.
13	MR. BRYSON: Yes, sir.
14	MR. GURVICH: We adhere to that rule very
15	strictly. Thank you, sir.
16	MR. BRYSON: Thank you.
17	LOUISIANA PROTECTIVE AGENCY, INC.
18	MR. ROGILLIO: The next matter is Item No. 8,
19	Louisiana Protective Agency, Inc.
20	MR. GURVICH: Personal serve from Louisiana
21	Protective Agency?
22	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. Mr. Washington is
23	here. We have discussed the May 23rd violation letter
24	issued by Mr. Rogillio. And as I understand it,
25	Mr. Washington is not going to doesn't contest the

1	violations as enumerated in the letter, but he would like
2	to address the board. As I understand, Mr. Rogillio has
3	not issued a letter as to the amount of the fines, and
4	this is a first impression of the board.
5	MR. GURVICH: Oh, okay. What's the nature of
6	the violation?
7	MS. MCLEARY: There were six unregistered
8	guards. A complaint was received into the board, it was
9	investigated, and there were six guards who were not
10	registered and two did not obtain their firearms
11	training.
12	MR. GURVICH: We had two armed guards without
13	firearms training?
14	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
15	MS. VINSON: The six are just officers, unarmed
16	officers?
17	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, ma'am.
18	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Now you all have entered
19	into that's Mr. Washington, I take it?
20	MR. WASHINGTON: Yes, sir.
21	MR. GURVICH: You are the owner of Louisiana
22	Protective Agency, Inc.; is that correct?
23	MR. WASHINGTON: Yes, sir.
24	MR. GURVICH: You all have entered into a
2.5	stipulation relative to the May 23rd, 2012, Charge

1	Letter?
2	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
3	MR. GURVICH: I don't want to put words in
4	y'all's mouths.
5	MS. MCLEARY: As I understand it, Mr. Washington
6	is going to stipulate that the violations as stated in
7	the letter did occur; he is not going to contest that
8	those violations occurred. He would just like to address
9	the board and leave the issue as to fines and
10	administrative penalties to you guys.
11	MR. GURVICH: All right. Just for housekeeping,
12	that in Paragraph 1 of this Charge Letter, there are six
13	security officers who were not registered.
14	MR. WASHINGTON: Right.
15	MR. GURVICH: And there were, in Paragraph 2,
16	there were two armed officers who were unregistered or
17	they weren't trained?
18	MR. ROGILLIO: Firearms training.
19	MR. GURVICH: They had registered?
20	MR. ROGILLIO: It was firearms training is what
21	they were charged with.
22	MR. GURVICH: Okay. They did not and those
23	violations did in fact occur, according to the
24	stipulation that you now wish to enter into?
25	MR. WASHINGTON: Yes, they did, yes, sir.

MR. GURVICH: Thank you, sir. Counsel, any further comment or question?

MR. GURVICH: All right. Then we will accept the stipulation as presented. Now, that being said, did you wish to address the board, sir?

MR. WASHINGTON: Well, I just want to say that, during the time that the letters came out, I was in the hospital. I have been back and forth in the hospital. And I have my wife. She has been trying to run the business and learn the business. And she didn't know anything about the letters.

And the person that I had in charge was running the business, and they didn't get the letters out to the people in time. And when my wife came in to clean it up, clean up house, and fire her, that's when they started naming names and pulling out stuff. So that's what created all these problems here, because I usually have my people trained.

So I ended up having to fire everybody that was in charge and everybody that was not taking care of their business. So now, recently, I just went to the hospital again, and I just got out. But my wife, she has really learned the business very well. And so, like I say, we would like to apologize to the board and we'll take our hit.

No, sir. MS. MCLEARY: 1 MS. VINSON: I'll make a motion. I'd like to 2 make a motion that the board assess a fine of \$50 per 3 nonregistered security officer and \$250 for each 4 unarmed -- for each armed non-trained officer, plus admin 5 fees. 6 MR. GURVICH: Do we have admin fees? 7 MS. RYLAND: It will be the flat 125. 8 MR. ROGILLIO: 125, sir, as we normally do. 9 MR. GURVICH: So 125. And you have 6 at 50 --10 that's 300; and 2 at 250, that's 500, for a total of 11 fines and fees and, again, don't trust my math, is that 12 \$925? 13 Yes, sir. MS. MCLEARY: 14 We have a motion by Ms. Vinson MR. GURVICH: 15 that fines, total fines and fees, be assessed at \$925 16 with 30 days to pay. Final debate/discussion of the 17 board members? Counsel? 18 MS. MCLEARY: 19 No, sir. Mr Washington, any final words? MR. GURVICH: 20 MR. WASHINGTON: No. 21 MR. GURVICH: We have a motion on the floor. 2.2 Unless there is debate or discussion, I'll call for a 2.3 vote. All in favor of the motion as stated say aye. 24

ALL BOARD MEMBERS:

1	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
2	unanimously. Thank you for being here today.
3	MS. WASHINGTON: Thank you, Board.
4	MR. GURVICH: Next matter.
5	GUARDPOINT SECURITY
6	MR. ROGILLIO: Next matter is Guardpoint
7	Security, Item 7.
8	MR. GURVICH: We have folks here from Guardpoint
9	Security. If you would, gentlemen, find a microphone and
10	give your names to this nice lady.
11	MS. MCLEARY: Mr. Chairman, as in the previous
12	matter is this matter also. Mr. Fetty has agreed to
13	stipulate to the violations as cited in the May 23rd,
14	2012, letter from Mr. Rogillio. He does not dispute that
15	the violations occurred as stated in the letter. He
16	would like to address the board. Mr. Rogillio has not
17	instituted any fines. He has left that to you as the
18	board as a matter of first impression.
19	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Mr. Fetty, just for
20	housekeeping purposes, so you're aware of the May 23rd,
21	2012, Charge Letter?
22	MR. FETTY: Yes.
23	MR. GURVICH: You received a copy?
24	MR. FETTY: Yes, I did.
25	MR. GURVICH: You're aware that your stipulation

MR. GURVICH: You're aware that your stipulation

in effect is asserting that these violations in fact occurred? 2 MR. FETTY: Yes. 3 MR. GURVICH: That is, in Paragraph 1, there was 4 one security officer which failed to register. 5 Paragraph 2, there was one that was -- failed to 6 provide classroom training for the first eight hours. 7 That is one violation. 8 And then there was a violation in Paragraph 3 with 9 regard to failing to provide classroom training for the 10 second eight hours of two security officers. 11 Paragraph 4, one security officer stood post while 12 armed. 13 And, Paragraph 5, two security officers stood a post 14 without a registration card. So that's correct? 15 MR. FETTY: That is correct. 16 Those violations in fact MR. GURVICH: 17 occurred. Okay. anything further on those 18 recommendations? 19 MS. MCLEARY: Mr. Rogillio has something he'd 2.0 like to add. 21 MR. ROGILLIO: I just have one point of 2.2 interest. In Item 4 in the Charge Letter, Nick Fetty's 2.3 age is 19. He is not old enough to be armed. 24

25

MR. GURVICH: All right. Well, in effect, we

have one violation of Paragraph 1; one violation of Paragraph 2; two violations at Paragraph 3; two — one violation, I'm sorry, of Paragraph 4; and two violations in Paragraph 5. And I hope I'm getting all that correct?

MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

MR. GURVICH: All right. Well, I'll make a motion. Any final points or words, sir, that you care to address the board at this point?

MR. WATKINS: I'm Donnie Watkins. I'm the administration for Guardpoint. I just wanted to let you know, both of those issues, both of those gentlemen that had issues, Mr. Hoy, we have no clear where he is at. He never returned back to Guardpoint at all after that incident. And Mr. Fetty has been on leave since that night. And we have resolved the issues.

MR. GURVICH: All right. That being said, I'll make a motion that the fine of \$50 be assessed as regards Paragraph 1's violation; a fine of \$50 be assessed as regards the Paragraph 2 violation; a fine of \$50 each be assessed for the two violations that occurred in Paragraph 3; and that Paragraph 4, which we regard obviously as more serious, that you had a 19-year-old underage security guard, that a fine of \$500 be assessed for that one violation; and that a fine of \$50 each be assessed as to Paragraph 5 for the two violations

- therein. And we are adding in \$125 admin. Somebody give me numbers. Okay. I'll do this.
 - MR. GURVICH: \$925 total in fees and costs, 30 days to pay. Do I hear a second to the motion?
 - MR. ROMERO: Second.
 - MS. VINSON: Second.
 - MR. KENNEDY: Second.
 - MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich jointly seconded by Mr. Romero and Ms. Vinson and Mr. Kennedy. Is that \$925 okay?
 - MS. VINSON: Yes.
- MR. GURVICH: All right. Any final
- 13 || comments/questions?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

- 14 EXAMINATION OF MR. FETTY BY MR. BAER:
 - Q. Question: Where is Mr. Fetty? I mean, he is still the owner, correct?
- 17 A. No. I'm Mr. Fetty.
- 18 Q. Oh, you're Mr. Fetty.
- 19 A. That was my son.
- 20 | Q. Oh, okay.
- 21 A. And he was supposed -- I was going to have him here
- today in case you wanted to field some questions to him.
- But he is right now taking a test with the sheriff's
- office in another parish.
- 25 | Q. So is he the owner, or you're the owner?

- 47 I'm the owner. Α. 2 You're the owner. Okay. I'm the owner. That's my son that they are talking 3 about in the. 4 MR. GURVICH: Any further comments/questions/ 5 debate? I'll call for a motion (sic). All in favor of 6 7 the motion say aye. 8 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Ave. MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passed 9 unanimously. Thank you for being here today. That 10 firearms situation, especially with an underage, is 11 obviously a very big thing. You know, these others can 12 be considered technical violations, but that, the public 13 welfare is endangered when you have that kind of 14 15 situation. MR. FETTY: I understand. 16 Thank you for being here today. 17 MR. GURVICH: 18 Next matter. MS. MCLEARY: Mr. Gurvich, would you allow me 19 five minutes to speak with Mr. Mervin Williams of 20
 - Williams Security before we call the matter.
 - MR. GURVICH: I believe five minutes of conference can save five hours of testimony.
- 24 MS. MCLEARY: Thank you.
- 25 (Recess taken.)

2.1

2.2

2.3

1	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Are we ready to go back on
2	the record?
3	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
4	MR. GURVICH: Chief, what's your pleasure?
5	WILLIAMS SECURITY
6	MR. ROGILLIO: The next matter is Williams
7	Security. Mr. Williams is here.
8	MR. GURVICH: Folks from Williams Security, if
9	you would, come forward to the microphone over here.
10	MR. ROGILLIO: We will be taking testimony on
11	both matters coming up.
12	MR. GURVICH: All right. So we need to swear
13	folks in.
14	(Mr. Wayne Rogillio, Mr. Mervin Williams, and Ms. Dawnita
15	Michael are administered the oath.)
16	MS. MCLEARY: Mr. Chairman, I believe that this
17	matter comes to the board as a matter of first
18	impression. Mr. Rogillio issued a letter May 15th, 2012,
19	to Mr. Williams outlining five violations of board laws
20	and rules. There is some contesting of the violations,
21	and I would like to call Mr. Rogillio first.
22	MR. GURVICH: All right. So y'all made an
23	attempt to work this out and you weren't unable to get
24	anywhere on that?
25	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. We did make an

attempt. I believe that Mr. Williams does have some paperwork that he'd like to present in his defense.

MR. GURVICH: All right. Well, go ahead and proceed with your case in chief.

EXAMINATION OF MR. ROGILLIO BY MS. MCLEARY:

2.0

2.2

2.3

- Q. Mr. Rogillio, did you have an opportunity to review Williams Security and Mr. Mervin Williams?
- A. Yes, ma'am. I had received a phone call from a deputy in St. John Parish requesting information about whether or not armed security personnel were allowed to work in bars and lounges. And after discussing with this gentleman who is here today, by the way, advised him that, you know, they were hired by the owner/manager/lessee, that they could work there as basically contract employees, but they are employees of a security company, but yet, they are employees of the bar.

And after reviewing Mr. Williams' insurance policy, I found that there was an exclusion for any alcohol outlets. So the violation occurred, obviously, for them working a bar and lounge which where alcohol was served. And, of course, that led to the rest of the violations that are listed in the letter.

MS. MCLEARY: Mr. Chairman, that insurance policy is in Mr. Rogillio's file that I would like to, at

this point, label as Exhibit A and introduce and offer into evidence in globo. 2 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Do y'all have an objection 3 to entering your insurance policy into the record? 4 MR. WILLIAMS: No. 5 MR. GURVICH: Is it valid, or, I mean, we 6 acknowledge that's the file and forth. 7 MS. MICHAEL: No. We're fine. 8 MS. MCLEARY: And we can show you a copy if you 9 It's just the policy that we had. want to see. 10 MR. GURVICH: Do you wish to review it? 11 No. We're fine. MS. MICHAEL: 12 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Then let it be accepted. 13 (Exhibit A marked.) 14 MR. ROGILLIO: If I can elaborate a little bit, 15 I have met with Mr. Williams and the young lady with him 16 on a couple of occasions after this occurred. And 17 Mr. Williams indicated he thought that he had purchased 18 insurance that covered that situation, because that's 19 basically what kind of work he would do. 2.0 And since that time, I think, he has been in some 21 controversy with the insurance company over this issue. 2.2 But at that point, the policy did not allow, or excluded, 2.3 rather, bars and lounges or alcoholic outlets. 24 BY MS. MCLEARY:

1 \parallel Q. Mr. Rogillio, when you received this complaint, did

- you -- what did you do to investigate it?
- 3 | A. Well, when I got the call from the deputy from
- 4 | St. John and explained to him that they could work, I
- 5 began to look at the insurance policy and then began to
- 6 | look at the files of Mr. Williams and/or Mr. Zeno.
- 7 | Q. And were Mr. Williams and Mr. Zeno the ones working
- 8 | the night that the deputies observed them and what they
- 9 based the complaint on?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q. And what did you find in the file on Mr. Kerrick
- 12 | Zeno?
- 13 A. Okay. Mr. Zeno was not properly trained with a
- 14 | firearm in violation of the regulations. Mr. Williams
- did fail to renew his registration card. It had expired.
- 16 Q. Was Mr. Zeno previously registered?
- 17 A. No. He was not registered either.
- 18 Q. Mr. Williams was, however, previously registered,
- but had failed to renew; it had expired?
- 20 | A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. And, additionally, was Mr. Williams -- retract that.
- 22 EXAMINATION OF MR. ROGILLIO BY MR. GURVICH:
- 23 | Q. Chief, was it you all's findings that Kerrick Zeno
- 24 worked a post while --
- 25 | A. He was working that particular night with

- 1 \parallel Mr. Williams at the bar.
- 2 Q. Oh, okay. I've got it. So he wasn't working a
- 3 | post?
- 4 | A. Yes, sir. And, again, the deputies are here to
- 5 | testify if need be.
- 6 EXAMINATION OF MR. ROGILLIO BY MS. MCLEARY (CONT'D):
- 7 Q. Did they relate to you that they also observed
- 8 Mr. Williams working in a security capacity that night
- 9 | also?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q. And what was he doing, according to them?
- 12 | A. Well, it's my understanding that the deputies had
- been called to quell a disturbance or a crowd that had
- gathered. And Mr. Williams came out, and the deputies
- recognized he and Mr. Zeno as being security personnel.
- 16 And that brought about the question to me as to whether
- or not they were allowed to do that.
- 18 Q. Did they observe either of them armed that night?
- 19 | A. Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q. Which one?
- 21 \parallel A. I'd rather let them testify.
- MS. MCLEARY: Okay. nothing further for
- 23 Mr. Rogillio --
- 24 BY MS. MCLEARY:
- 25 | Q. -- or, Mr. Rogillio, did you issue that Charge

1	Letter to Williams Security?
2	A. Yes, ma'am. I served it myself.
3	MR. GURVICH: So you are finished with Chief
4	Rogillio?
5	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
6	MR. GURVICH: Now, Mr. Williams, you have the
7	right to cross-examine Chief Rogillio, who has just
8	testified, if you care to do so.
9	MR. WILLIAMS: Well, yes.
10	MR. GURVICH: Why don't you get that mic a
11	little closer, please. Is it on?
12	MR. ROGILLIO: The button should be up.
13	MR. WILLIAMS: Technically, on that night, I
14	actually didn't work the post, but I went out every
15	after each detail, my employee guards, I goes out.
16	I been as a matter of fact, that night, I was the one
17	that called the sheriff's office, because once the detail
18	is over, there be a crowd.
19	MR. GURVICH: My point is this, and you'll have
20	an opportunity to speak your piece, but did you have any
21	questions for Chief Rogillio?
22	MR. WILLIAMS: No.
23	MR. GURVICH: Are you sure? because you
24	certainly have the right to cross-examine him.
25	MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, but, I mean

1	MR. GURVICH: But don't in other words, you
2	are going to be able to speak to the board, but we're
3	going to get to that.
4	MR. WILLIAMS: Kerrick Zeno was trained, but as
5	far as my human resource person can explain that
6	situation with that.
7	MR. GURVICH: Okay. And she will get an
8	opportunity to do that. Okay. who is your next
9	witness?
10	MS. MCLEARY: Okay. The deputies. I don't
11	know what their names.
12	MR. GURVICH: We have deputies here to testify?
13	MS. MCLEARY: Deputies from St. John Parish, if
14	you could, step forward and be sworn.
15	MR. GURVICH: Have a seat up here. If you
16	could, have this gentleman identify himself.
17	MS. MCLEARY: Okay.
18	EXAMINATION OF DEPUTY TRAMMEL BY MS. MCLEARY:
19	Q. If you could, state your name for us.
20	A. Patrick Trammel.
21	Q. And what's your occupation, sir?
22	A. Deputy sheriff of St. John Parish.
23	Q. Did you receive a call for service that you
24	responded to at the Hot Spot Bar on April 5th, 2012?
25	A. Yes, ma'am. Sure did.

- Q. And did you respond there alone, or were you with someone else?
 - A. No, ma'am. I was with Deputy Cox, Anthony Cox.
 - Q. Okay. and what was this call for service?
 - A. A disturbance to help clear a parking lot.
- Apparently, there was a fight going on. But when we got there, it had apparently dispersed, and everybody was leaving the parking lot when we arrived.
- 9 Q. What else did you observe when you arrived at the bar?
 - A. We stayed around to make sure everybody everybody was vacating the parking lot. And I observed a black male come out of the bar wearing a tactical vest and a firearm.
- 15 Q. What did you do at that point?

4

5

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

- A. I called him over to me, told him don't touch his weapon, asked him who he was, what he was doing. He stated he was Mervin Williams and that he was working security for the bar. And then, at that time, a second subject come out, wearing another same exact thing, except he didn't have a tactical vest, but he had a firearm.
- MR. GURVICH: Let me interject, please. Do you see Mr. Williams in the hearing room?
 - DEPUTY TRAMMEL: Yes, sir. He is in the white

shirt. 1 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Let the record reflect that 2 the deputy has pointed to Mr. Williams. 3 And at that time, I asked him if he had any 4 credentials. And he pulled out a temporary blue card, 5 just a blue piece of paper that had expired in 2009 or 6 2010. One, one of them expired in 2009, and the other 7 one had one expire in 2010. 8 BY MS. MCLEARY: 9 You are saying "the other one." The other 10 individual? 11 Yes. Both of them had the blue pieces of paper. 12 Did they present anything else to you as far as 13 training or weapons permits or anything like that? 14 No. Just their Louisiana IDs. 15 MS. MCLEARY: I believe that's all I have. 16 Thank you. I'm done with this witness. 17 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Mr. Williams, you have the 18 opportunity to cross-examine, that is, to ask questions 19 of this deputy if you so choose. 2.0 MR. WILLIAMS: Officer -- what's the name? 21 MS. MCLEARY: Officer Trammel, Mr. Trammel. 2.2

Q. Officer Trammel, in the process of you asking me for credentials, I didn't present you with my concealed

EXAMINATION OF DEPUTY TRAMMEL BY MR. WILLIAMS:

2.3

24

- weapons permit, also along with that blue badge.
- 2 A. No, sir, you did not. You presented me with a
- 3 Louisiana ID and a blue piece of paper that said you were
- 4 unarmed and you were carrying an automatic 9mm firearm on
- 5 your side.
- 6 MR. GURVICH: Any further questions for Deputy
- 7 | Trammel?
- 8 MR. WILLIAMS: No. That will be all.
- 9 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Thank you, deputy.
- 10 You have one more gentleman here?
- MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
- MR. GURVICH: Okay. If you would, take the mic
- and give this nice lady your name and occupation.
- DEPUTY COX: My name is Deputy Cox with
- 15 St. John's Sheriff's Office.
- 16 EXAMINATION OF DEPUTY COX BY MS. MCLEARY:
- 17 Q. Did you have an opportunity or an occasion to
- respond to a call for service at a Hot Spot Bar on April
- 19 | 5th, 2010?
- 20 | A. Yes, I did.
- 21 Q. Did you respond with Officer Trammel?
- 22 | A. I did.
- 23 | Q. And what did you observe when you -- what was that
- 24 call for service?
- 25 | A. Call for service was, like Deputy Trammel said, was

- for a disturbance, as in assisting with clearing of the parking lot. When we got there, as Deputy Trammel previously stated, this black male subject came out of the bar, tactical vest, weapon at his side. We then began to ask for credentials and so forth in our
- began to ask for credentials and so forth in our immediate investigation.

And at that time, a second male subject, who advised he was also security, came up and presented expired credentials, being a state temporary ID card.

- Q. The first black male subject you said approached you with a tactical vest, is he present in the room today?
- 12 A. Yes, he is.

7

8

9

10

11

15

- 13 \parallel Q. And is that?
- 14 A. It's Mr. Williams.
 - MR. GURVICH: Okay. Let the record reflect that the deputy has pointed to Mr. Williams.
- 17 BY MS. MCLEARY:
- Q. Do you remember who the second male represented himself to be?
- A. I don't remember his name. I do remember hearing his Mr. Zeno. I couldn't recall his full name.
- 22 Q. And is he present today?
- 23 A. No. I don't see him.
- Q. Okay. Did you did you make any inquiry or referral of this matter after that night?

- $\mid \mid \mid A$. I did not.
- 2 | Q. You did not. Were are you aware that one was made?
 - A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

- 4 | Q. By whom?
- 5 A. Deputy Trammel.
- $6 \parallel Q$. To whom?
- A. I don't recall who he called, but he did say that he was going to call the examiner's board.
- Q. Was Mr. Williams you said he represented he was wearing a tactical vest?
- 11 | A. A tactical vest, yes.
- Q. Did he have any other kind of uniform or clothing that was recognizable to you?
 - A. Not anything that said "security," other than a tactical vest which just had a label on it saying "security."
 - MS. MCLEARY: Okay. Nothing further.
 - MR. GURVICH: Okay. Well, Mr. Williams, again, you have the right to ask questions of Deputy Cox.
 - MR. WILLIAMS: I have none for him.
 - MR. GURVICH: Okay. Thank you, sir. Any further witnesses?
 - MS. MCLEARY: No, sir.
- MR. GURVICH: Okay. So you have concluded the board's case?

1	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
2	MR. GURVICH: All right. Now, Mr. Williams, you
3	have the opportunity to present your case, as it were.
4	You can call witnesses. I guess we are at the point now
5	where you can also speak your piece, your personal
6	testimony, and the board can also ask you questions as
7	can counsel. But do you have any witnesses?
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Ms. Michael going to speak.
9	MR. GURVICH: Let me ask this: Is she a fact
10	witness of the events at the bar?
11	MR. WILLIAMS: She is the human resource. She
12	do all the register with all the guards that come
13	through.
14	MR. GURVICH: All right. Now, was she at the
15	bar that night?
16	MR. WILLIAMS: She wasn't at the bar at that
17	time.
18	MR. GURVICH: So she is not a witness who can
19	testify to a fact. But she does work in your office?
20	MR. WILLIAMS: I'm sorry?
21	MR. GURVICH: And she does work in your office?
22	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, she does.
23	MR. GURVICH: In what capacity does she work in
24	your office?
25	MR. WILLIAMS: She's executive human resource.

present her as a witness? 2 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, I do. 3 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Then you can call her to 4 the stand, as it were, which we will consider you have 5 done, and you can ask her questions. Okay. 6 EXAMINATION OF MS. MICHAEL BY MR. WILLIAMS: 7 So, Ms. Michael, on the night of that incident, on 8 Kerrick Zeno, as his card, was he registered with 9 Williams Security as an employee? 10 Kerrick Zeno was registered with Williams Security 11 as an employee. He got his training done through Preston 12 I could tell you that, that night that Jones. 13 Mr. Williams actually called me on the phone when all the 14 incident was going on out there and told me step by step 15 of actually what happened. I know that he presented them 16 with a concealed weapons card when he was out there with 17 them, because I was on the phone talking to him. 18 I know Kerrick Zeno had a blue card. Mr. Zeno was 19 going through some grievances within his family and 2.0 mistakenly grabbed the wrong card. When we came in and 21 we spoke to Mr. Wayne, we found out that they didn't --2.2 hadn't received any materials on Mr. Zeno. 2.3 But there were several problems with things coming 24

MR. GURVICH: All right. And you wish to

from some of our other security officers, mistake of

paperwork that they weren't receiving that we had mailed out. We found a better way to get it fixed. 2 But Mr. Zeno was registered with our company. 3 did send the blue paperwork out over here. He was 4 trained by Preston Jones. And that's all I can say. 5 MR. GURVICH: Do we have any paperwork on 6 Mr. Zeno's training? 7 MR. ROGILLIO: We didn't have it at the time of 8 this. 9 MR. GURVICH: We do now? 10 MR. ROGILLIO: Well, the problem as I understand 11 it in speaking with these folks, that they were relying 12 on the instructor to send it in. 13 MS. MICHAEL: Right. 14 The regulations indicate that MR. ROGILLIO: 15 it's the company's responsibility, not the instructor. 16 MR. GURVICH: Well, I understand that. 17 question I have and the point I'm getting to is, it's 18 certainly relevant whether he was properly registered. 19 But it seems even more crucial to me to know whether he 2.0 had received his firearms training before he assumed the 21 2.2 post. MR. ROGILLIO: As of the time of this, we had 2.3 nothing indicating that. 24 MR. GURVICH: Do we have documentation here 25

today that you can present to show that he was trained as of -- what's the date of this incident? 2 April 5th, 2012. MS. MCLEARY: 3 MR. GURVICH: That he had received his firearms 4 before he assumed the post, can y'all show that today? 5 MS. MICHAEL: I have firearm training, but the 6 one I grabbed was the wrong one. It was for 2010, in 7 September, for Mr. Zeno. I don't have the one for 2011. 8 I grabbed the wrong paperwork. But, yes, he have had 9 firearm training. You can get Mr. Jones on the phone for 10 t.hat. 11 MS. VINSON: Do we have a copy of it here? 12 MS. MCLEARY: No. It was not presented to me. 13 MS. RYLAND: We're going to go check. 14 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Well, the board is going to 15 go check on that point. Okay. All right. Mr. Williams, 16 this is still your witness. Did you want to ask her any 17 further questions? 18 I'm done. MR. WILLIAMS: No. 19 MR. GURVICH: All right. Now, this testimony 20 has been about Mr. Zeno. Is there anything regarding the 21 other alleged violations that you want to question this 2.2 witness about? 2.3 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I did, on Mr. Trippet 24

(sic), a comment. I did present him with my concealed

weapons permit before, because I couldn't even find a 1 blue card at the time. But this was my concealed permit 2 I had present him with. 3 MR. GURVICH: Right. But we don't issue the 4 concealed weapons permit. And it's not part of our 5 regulatory authority. 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Right. 7 It falls outside. It's good that MR. GURVICH: 8 you have it, but it's not something that we're directly 9 concerned with today. Okay. Are you finished with your 10 witness? 11 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. 12 MR. GURVICH: Counsel, do you want to cross-13 examine? 14 MS. MCLEARY: T do. 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MS. MICHAEL BY MS. MCLEARY: 16 Ο. I forgot your last name, ma'am. I'm sorry. 17 Α. Michael. 18 Michael. You had indicated that he is registered as 19 an employee of the company, but was he registered with 2.0 the board? 21 Just like I said, we sent the paperwork in for 2.2 Mr. Zeno. And we came and we spoke with Mr. Wayne in 2.3 like the middle of May, because we actually got that 24

letter, this letter, of these fines handed to us here.

It wasn't mailed to our office. We got it handed to us here, and Mervin signed a piece of paper.

And when he talked to us about Mr. Zeno, I told him that all the paperwork was mailed in for Mr. Zeno. There were several other people that I have sent an e-mail to Ms. Ryland regarding paperwork that was mailed in here that this office evidently did not receive. Okay?

She told me to start making copies of it where they can stamp it and showing that it is received, and that way I know they have received it, because I make copies of everything that I mail out.

- Q. Do you have a copy of what was mailed out registering Mr. Zeno with the board?
 - A. No. I didn't bring that. I thought my whole thing with this morning was over the insurance thing and not this part of what happened on with the club. But I can provide that.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have a copy of what you sent reflecting Mr. Zeno's firearms training?
 - A. I have something from 2010 with his firearms training.
- 22 Q. But not the 2011?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.3

A. But not the 2011, no.

MS. MCLEARY: Okay. And, Mr. Gurvich, your question to Mr. Rogillio was about whether or not the

board had received current training. And I believe Mr. Rogillio has that now. 2 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. The last refresher, 3 firearms training, that we have was 1/14/2010. 4 So that would have just expired a MR. GURVICH: 5 few months before this incident -- well, actually, '11. 6 MR. ROGILLIO: It expired in '11. 7 MS. VINSON: In January of '11. 8 EXAMINATION OF MS. MICHAEL BY MR. GURVICH: 9 Ms. Michael, is it your testimony that he has 10 received firearms training in 2011 or 2012? 11 He have received firearms training, not in 2012 yet, 12 Mr. Zeno hasn't. He was going -- like I said, he just 13 lost his mother, but he had received it in 2010 and 2011. 14 But we don't have any documentation or testimony to 15 prove that. 16 Like I said, I sent it in. I know it's not you 17 guys' responsibility for the mail. This is something 18 that I have actually discussed with them. I did mail 19 it. There are several people that we discussed that they 2.0 haven't received things on. That's all I can say. 21 mean, it was mailed. 2.2 MR. GURVICH: Well, I mean, we can certainly ask 2.3 the instructor to fax in the document, could we not? 24

25

MR. ROGILLIO: We don't take faxes. It has to

be an original.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MR. GURVICH: Well, for the purposes of testimony today, maybe we could make an exception. I'm just trying to get this done. Could you all take — one of you, perhaps, Ms. Michael, if we conclude your testimony, you could ask the instructor to fax the document here?

MS. MICHAEL: For Kerrick, yeah, for Kerrick.

MR. GURVICH: For evidentiary purposes today, I think we could accept a fax.

MS. MCLEARY: I just have a couple more questions of her, and then we can do that.

MR. GURVICH: Sure. Go right ahead.

- CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MS. MICHAEL BY MS. MCLEARY (CONT'D):
- Q. Ms. Michael, did you send in a registration renewal on Mr. Mervin Williams?
- 17 A. That's the green paperwork?
- 18 Q. Yes, ma'am.
- 19 A. No. I didn't send that in.
- 20 | Q. Okay. So at the time period April 5th, 2012,
- 21 Mr. Williams wasn't actually registered as a guard,
- 22 || right?
- 23 A. I always thought Mr. Williams was registered as a
- guard. We are new into this, so some of the stuff, if I
- 25 | had had questions on things, I would ask questions. I

always thought that he was registered as a guard. I called and I asked them. Well, she told me that she had sent a card to him, a armed guard card, because the last time we met with Mr. Wayne, they were saying he wasn't trained with his firearm.

I went to Preston, and we bought the copy of the firearms training that they said he wasn't trained to hold a firearm, the 9mm. Okay? At that point in time, I did not know. I always thought Mr. Williams as a qualifying agent remained as a armed guard. I didn't know he needed the green paperwork until I was advised that he did. Now that I know that, that's a mistake that I won't make again.

- Q. Okay. And one of the violations wasn't that he wasn't trained, just to clarify.
- A. Okay.

2.0

2.2

2.3

Q. It was just the registration.

MR. GURVICH: Any further questions for Ms. Michael?

MS. MCLEARY: No, sir.

MR. GURVICH: Okay. Just as a suggestion — you don't have to do this — but perhaps one of our board staff could escort Ms. Michael to a telephone and a fax machine, we could attempt to get that document from the instructor.

Mr. Williams, you don't have any further questions of Ms. Michael? 2 MR. WILLIAMS: No, I don't. 3 MR. GURVICH: She can certainly come back as 4 soon as -- I just wanted to see if we can find this 5 document. 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. 7 MS. MCLEARY: And, actually, I have a couple 8 questions. I don't think I had concluded with 9 Mr. Williams. 10 MR. GURVICH: Right. I hadn't forgotten. 11 MS. MCLEARY: Okay. 12 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Mr. Williams, now, has he 13 testified? Sometimes it's hard to know. He has 14 commented a lot. 15 MS. MCLEARY: He has. I don't know if you 16 consider that questioning himself. I'm not exactly sure. 17 MR. GURVICH: Well, we're pretty -- you know, we 18 try to -- this is an administrative hearing, not a 19 courtroom. 2.0 Mr. Williams, is there anything further you wish to 21 say by way of addressing the board on these infractions? 2.2 I just want to make sure that you have had every 2.3 opportunity to speak your piece. 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. As far as the insurance, as 25

70 far as the endorsement on the insurance part on there toward the board, I mean, like I say, I wasn't aware that 2 those exclusions was in there. As a matter of fact, I 3 found out about those exclusions the day I got the letter 4 from the state board. And what it was, was, if I would 5 have knew that we wasn't supposed to be working, of 6 course, I would have never put my guys out there. 7 EXAMINATION OF MR. WILLIAMS BY MR. GURVICH: 8 Well, were you aware that you did not have coverage 9 Q. for bars and ABC? 10 No, I wasn't. No, I wasn't. 11 Is it in fact the case you didn't have coverage? 12

I thought we was -- when we did the original Α. policy with the insurance company, that's what we specified on the application what we do. They came back with the policy. Until I got the letter from Mr. Wayne that that was excluded on there, I didn't know. wasn't aware. Three years of that policy, I wasn't aware that we wasn't supposed to work the nightclub.

MR. GURVICH: All right. Anything further?

MR. WILLIAMS: No. That be all.

Okay. Now the board's attorney MR. GURVICH: can cross-examine you as you have had an opportunity to cross-examine the board's witness.

EXAMINATION OF MR. WILLIAMS BY MS. MCLEARY:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. I just have a couple of quick questions for you,
 Mr. Williams, just to kind of follow up with some of the
 things that you had brought up. One of those is, you
 said you had brought out your concealed weapons permit
 card issued by the state police. Are you aware that you
 actually can't carry a gun in a bar if you have that
 card?
 - A. Yes. It wasn't my intention to go in the bar. But, I mean, I normally, when I check on my guys working a post, I kind of like put my duty belt on and stuff, I mean, to go out there. I mean, there have been threats. I mean, but I put something I do for the security purpose, I put on my duty belt to go out there.

Actually, I be the one that called the sheriff's office to — the event would be over. I'd be the one to call the sheriff's office to get someone to clear the parking lot because they would be louning (phonetic) around.

- Q. Okay. Did you send in a registration renewal card for yourself to the board?
- A. Well, that was her job. I didn't send it in.
- Q. So you didn't send one in?

2.2

2.3

A. No. That was her job. I assumed that she — she was supposed to do it, renew, I mean. Actually, I don't normally work as many posts, but I register myself just

- in case some of my guys don't I couldn't get nobody to work a post. That's the reason why I register myself.
 - Q. You said, when you go out, you throw on your duty
- belt. That night of April 5th at the Hot Shot Bar, what were you wearing that night?
- 6 A. I had my tactical vest on.
- Q. And, what, did it have anything on it, or was it just black?
- 9 A. No. It just was pocket. I had my belt.
- 10 Q. Did you have anything on you that said "security"?
- 11 A. No, I didn't have.

16

19

2.0

21

2.2

- 12 Q. Did you have a uniform on?
- A. No. I had, just had my shirt. Well, I had the shirt on. It had my logo on it, but it was under the vest.
 - MS. MCLEARY: Okay. Thank you.
- 17 COURT REPORTER: It was under the what, the vest?
 - MR. GURVICH: Were we able to make any headway with that --
 - MS. MCLEARY: Under the vest.
 - MR. WILLIAMS: It was under the vest.
- MR. GURVICH: No. I mean the training

 certificate that Ms. Michael was going to try to secure

 for us.

_ I	NO MICHARIA II and aller and and an and an and an and an analysis of the analy
1	MS. MICHAEL: He said he was going to be away
2	from his office for 45 minutes, so he won't be able to do
3	it right now.
4	MR. GURVICH: All right. Okay. Mr. Williams,
5	any other witnesses you wish to call?
6	MR. WILLIAMS: No.
7	MR. GURVICH: Did we miss anything you wanted to
8	say?
9	MR. WILLIAMS: That will be all.
10	MR. GURVICH: Allison?
11	MS. MCLEARY: Nothing further, sir.
12	MR. GURVICH: Concluding?
13	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
14	MR. GURVICH: Okay. That insurance policy,
15	we've got to get our file in order here. It's entered
16	into the record in globo, all that sort of thing?
17	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. I believe I have.
18	MR. GURVICH: Can we take a quick look at that.
19	Does it clearly exclude?
20	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
21	MR. GURVICH: I want to make sure that ABO is
22	MR. WILLIAMS: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman.
23	MR. GURVICH: Mr. Williams.
24	MR. WILLIAMS: You guys, on that packet that we
25	presented you guys, do they have that letter from the

insurance company?

2.0

2.2

2.3

MR. GURVICH: Well, we have a letter from you dated June 27th. We have a Gulf South Insurance Agency letter dated May 24th, which, at this time, I think it's appropriate for the board members to review these documents.

MS. MICHAEL: Excuse me. Can I say something, sir?

MR. GURVICH: Yes, ma'am.

MS. MICHAEL: The insurance company, through this whole process for the last three years that we have had our license, we was under the impression that that exclusion was not even — that we could work nightclubs. We just found out, once again, when this came to face, that we couldn't work nightclubs. The insurance company themselves was not even aware that that exclusion was in the policy. So that's why we are going through a legal process with them, because they're not — they wasn't aware that that was even a problem. And that's why the two letters came from the insurance company.

MR. GURVICH: All right. Okay. Well, I'm looking at the First Mercury Syndicate Exclusion of Specific Work. I think it's First American Insurance Company.

MS. MICHAEL: First Funding.

MR. GURVICH: Okay. First Funding. Relative to exclusions, and there is in fact an exclusion for 2 establishments or premises at which alcoholic beverages 3 are served, sold, consumed, or dispensed. So I don't 4 think there is any question of the fact that there was no 5 coverage for this sort of thing, which is a very serious 6 If something were to have happened, the public 7 would have been unprotected. 8 Have we concluded? Do we have any --9 MS. MCLEARY: Nothing further, sir. 10 MR. GURVICH: Any further testimony, comments, 11 or questions? Ms. Vinson. 12 MS. VINSON: I'd like to make a motion if the 13 timing is appropriate. 14 The floor is open. MR. GURVICH: 15 MS. VINSON: Can I take the Charge Letter by 16 item number for that fine? 17 MR. GURVICH: Absolutely. 18 MS. VINSON: On the board's Charge Letter, I'd 19 like to, Item No. 1, assess a fine of \$500; 2.0 Item 2, of \$50; 21 Item 3, \$250; 2.2 Item 4, of \$50; 2.3 and Item 5, \$250, plus admin fees, and one year 24 25 probation with an audit.

MR. GURVICH: I'll second the motion. Debate? Discussion? Final words? Final thoughts? Mr. Williams, 2 everything you need to say, you've said? 3 What's the totals that we're looking at? 4 MS. MCLEARY: Did you do a \$125 administrative 5 fees? 6 MS. VINSON: Yes. I added the fees. 7 MS. MCLEARY: 1225? 8 MR. GURVICH: \$1225 total fines, costs, and 9 fees. 10 Thirty days, standard, sir. MS. MCLEARY: 11 Thirty days for payment, and I do MR. GURVICH: 12 believe that Ms. Vinson is specific about your license 13 would be placed on a one-year probation, during which 14 period there would be at least one audit. 15 Debate? Discussion? There being none, I'll call 16 for a vote. All in favor say aye. 17 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: 18 Aye. MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes 19 unanimously. 2.0 Sir, you all have to, if you're going to work in 21 bars and places like that, you're going to have to find 2.2 insurance to cover it. I'm not sure you can find 2.3 insurance to cover it. But this is a very serious matter 24 from an insurance angle. Y'all had some training, 25

obviously, to get a concealed carry, but this is a pretty egregious thing and the fines could have been 2 substantially high. Thank you for appearing today. 3 Please get all this straightened out. 4 Mr. Romero. 5 MR. ROMERO: Mr. Rogillio, I know that the 6 renewal is up in June of this year. Have we received a 7 renewal? 8 MR. ROGILLIO: For him? 9 MR. ROMERO: 10 Yes. MR. ROGILLIO: Individually? 11 MR. ROMERO: For the company. 12 MR. ROGILLIO: For the company? Let me see. 13 MR. ROMERO: I think it's June, possibly June 14 13th of this month. 15 MS. RYLAND: Do you have anything for Williams? 16 It's in here in the folder if I MS. MIDDLETON: 17 do. It's in the folder. 18 MS. RYLAND: 19 No. MS. MICHAEL: Excuse me. 2.0 MS. RYLAND: No. We don't have anything on him. 21 MS. VINSON: Y'all might want to speak with Jane 2.2 when you are leaving, because the board actually offers a 2.3 training class that they hold here to go over all the 24 paperwork and time lines to assist with y'all getting

78 that in. So you can ask questions. And it does help a 2 lot of companies. MR. GURVICH: Mr. Romero had a comment or a 3 question? 4 MR. ROMERO: Mr. Williams, are you currently 5 operating this business and still providing services? 6 7 MR. WILLIAMS: No, I'm not -- I'm still in business, but I'm not doing any work. I have been put on 8 9 hold. I don't have nobody working until after the board meeting, since that night. 10 MR. ROMERO: You do understand, without 11 insurance, you can't cannot operate. At this point we 12 don't have your policy on file. 13 MR. WILLIAMS: Right. 14 15 MS. MICHAEL: We are going through a legal situation with Gulf South. This is why they wrote the 16 17

MS. MICHAEL: We are going through a legal situation with Gulf South. This is why they wrote the letters to you guys, to try to help to explain the situation with the insurance. We were, like I said, under the impression that we did have the insurance for the nightclubs. Mr. Williams' focus isn't nightclubs. You know, this was something like he would do if there wasn't no work.

18

19

20

2.1

2.2.

2.3

24

2.5

Gulf South just realized that this exclusion was in there. All this time, for the last three years, they didn't know that this exclusion was not in this paperwork or was not in this policy. So it's not like we just overlooked and was out there working something that we felt that we just was going to do illegally. That's not even how our thought pattern was. All this, when he took that post, he thought, he was under the impression that this insurance was there, that he had the coverage.

Also, we have been dealing with them since May 19th,

2.0

2.2.

2.3

Also, we have been dealing with them since May 19th, even before the renewal date. They just recently told us that we can't even do this, write these top of policies. We are not licensed to really write this type of policy. So that sent us out somewhere else to look for someone else to write the policy. So it's not that we don't know the avenues or the routes of which way to go. They just told us that they couldn't do this. And I did call Mr. Wayne on the phone and let them know that this is what I was actually told by them just recently, that they couldn't even write these policies. They're used to writing contractors' policies for truck drivers.

MS. VINSON: It's also your responsibility to know what's in your policy.

MS. MICHAEL: Yeah. We know that. We know that now. Yeah.

MR. GURVICH: Well, thank you for being here today.

MS. RYLAND: Right now they have no insurance.

1	MR. GURVICH: Well, if they are not working
2	well, no one is working either, though, so they are not
3	in violation, with nobody in the field.
4	MS. MICHAEL: No. No one is working.
5	MR. GURVICH: There is no one working for you in
6	the field right now
7	MR. WILLIAMS: Nobody's working.
8	MR. GURVICH: standing a post or anything
9	like that?
10	MR. ROGILLIO: If you have a license, you have
11	to have insurance, regardless of whether you've got
12	anybody working or not.
13	MS. RYLAND: And it's been out since the 13th of
14	June.
15	MR. ROGILLIO: So we have another issue there.
16	MR. GURVICH: Well, but that's something that
17	you guys can deal with.
18	MS. RYLAND: Okay. We'll issue a Cease and
19	Desist, then.
20	MR. GURVICH: That's something y'all can deal
21	with as you feel necessary. Right now they have a
22	license today that's on a probationary status. But y'all
23	need to find some sort of insurance, or the board staff
24	is well within their authority to
25	MR. WILLIAMS: Well, we will have that in about

1	two days. I've got an agent working on it.
2	MR. BAER: You have employees. You have one,
3	yourself.
4	MR. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.
5	MR. GURVICH: Which he is not working is my
6	understanding.
7	MR. WILLIAMS: No.
8	MS. RYLAND: But he still has to have insurance
9	so he can hold a valid license, so his license is
10	invalid.
11	MR. GURVICH: Yes. And we'll leave that to you
12	guys to handle.
13	Thank you for being here today.
14	Y'all have, I think, F.E.S.S. Security. There's a
15	couple others. Did we talk about Jose Camacho Security?
16	MR. ROGILLIO: No. We can go ahead and take it.
17	I don't think he is here.
18	MS. MCLEARY: He's not here.
19	JOSE CAMACHO
20	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Let's sound the hall
21	for Jose Camacho. This is a license denial?
22	(Mr. Thornton leaves room.)
23	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
24	MR. ROGILLIO: Guard registration denial.
25	MR. GURVICH: Y'all, administrative action has

1	taken place on that?
2	MR. ROGILLIO: He requested a hearing, an
3	appeal.
4	MR. GURVICH: All right. You've got your
5	notice; he was properly noticed about the hearing and so
6	forth?
7	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
8	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Why don't you get your file
9	in order, and we will this is a first impression?
10	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
11	MR. GURVICH: Okay.
12	(Mr. Thornton reenters room.)
13	MR. THORNTON: No one is present.
14	MR. GURVICH: Allison, have you got the record?
15	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
16	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Then I'll make a motion to
17	revoke forthwith the security officer license of Jose
18	Camacho a/k/a Jose Camacho.
19	MS. MCLEARY: I believe one was not issued. It
20	was a denial of the license.
21	MR. GURVICH: To uphold the board staff's
22	denial. I thought this was a first impression.
23	MS. MCLEARY: No, sir.
24	MR. ROGILLIO: No. It's actually
25	MR. GURVICH: To uphold the board staff's

administrative action. 1 MS. MCLEARY: I introduce and offer into 2 evidence Mr. Rogillio's file in globo as Exhibit A. 3 (Exhibit A marked.) 4 MR. GURVICH: Oh, do I have a second to my 5 motion? 6 MS. MCLEARY: Oh, I'm sorry. 7 MR. ROMERO: Second. 8 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Motion by Mr. Gurvich, 9 second by Mr. Romero. Further debate/discussion? 10 being none, I'll call for a vote. All in favor of the 11 motion stated say aye. 12 ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 13 Aye. No opposed? The motion MR. GURVICH: 14 passes unanimously. 15 Now, did you have Rochelle Flowers? 16 MR. ROGILLIO: She's not here yet. She called 17 me to tell me that she was coming up from New Orleans and 18 that she had to catch a city bus. The city bus doesn't 19 pass out here, so wherever it stops, she is going to have 20 to walk, she said, from there to here. So we were trying 21 to hold off as long as possible for her until she gets 2.2 here, if she gets here. 2.3 MR. GURVICH: It's a denial, that you all, the 24

administrative staff, denied the license?

1	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. And she asked for a
2	hearing.
3	MR. GURVICH: Yes. If the lady is going to that
4	much trouble to be here, I guess we can accommodate.
5	MR. ROGILLIO: I told her we would try to
6	accommodate her as much as possible.
7	MR. GURVICH: We got Alpha Omega out of the
8	way?
9	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. We took care of that.
10	MR. GURVICH: So that leaves us with F.E.S.S.
11	Security. Are y'all ready for F.E.S.S. Security?
12	MS. MCLEARY: If we can take just a five-minute
13	break, sir, before we start on F.E.S.S.
14	MR. GURVICH: You know my theory about
15	discussions. They save a lot of time. Let's take a
16	five-minute break.
17	(Recess taken.)
18	ROCHELLE FLOWERS
19	MR. GURVICH: Are we ready to go back on the
20	record? Come on up here, Ms. Flowers. Why don't you
21	find a mic and seat over there and sit down.
22	Counsel.
23	MS. MCLEARY: One second, sir. Just one second,
24	Mr. Gurvich. I'm sorry. We're ready, Mr. Gurvich.
25	MR. GURVICH: Okay.

1	MS. MCLEARY: Ms. Flowers is here if we could
2	take up Ms. Flowers.
3	Hi, Ms. Flowers, how are you?
4	MS. FLOWERS: Fine.
5	MS. MCLEARY: I'm glad you made it.
6	MS. FLOWERS: Thank you.
7	MS. MCLEARY: We're here today on a matter of
8	first impression. It was a it's a denial of an
9	application for a guard license of Ms. Rochelle Flowers.
10	Mr. Rogillio did notify her of the denial by letter dated
11	June 19th, 2012. It was served on Ms. Flowers by
12	certified mail. She did request a hearing. Basically,
13	the denial. I call Mr. Rogillio.
14	MR. GURVICH: She has applied for and has been
15	denied a
16	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
17	MR. GURVICH: So it's an actually an appeal of
18	the board staff's action?
19	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
20	MR. GURVICH: Go ahead. I'm sorry.
21	MS. MCLEARY: If I can call Mr. Rogillio as my
22	first and only witness in this matter.
23	EXAMINATION OF MR. ROGILLIO BY MS. MCLEARY:
24	Q. Mr. Rogillio, did you have an opportunity to review
25	an application of Ms. Rochelle Flowers for a guard

license?

2.0

2.2

2.3

- A. Yes, we did. We received back from state police indication well, state police and FBI, indications that there was a felony conviction which actually resulted in '97. But there seems to have been a probation violation along the way. And then that was reinstated. The probation was reinstated. And all of this would have ended in '04, which would make the ten-year cleansing 2014. So that was the reason for the denial.
- Q. Did you receive certified doc or documents from Solano County, California, referencing both the initial 1997 conviction as well as the probation violation that you referenced earlier?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And these documents reflect that her sentence for the 1997 felony violation did end when?
- A. '04.
 - MR. GURVICH: The sentence or the probationary period?
 - MR. ROGILLIO: The probationary period ended in '04. There was a probation violation after the original sentence. Apparently, there was a violation of the probation, and that apparently caused this.
 - I don't know what the original sentence was, because

it doesn't say. But it does say that it was a felony and that the probation would have ended in '04, which, again, 2 would have made the ten-year cleansing become 2014 before 3 it would take effect. 4 BY MS. MCLEARY: 5 Did Ms. Flowers disclose this arrest on her 6 application? 7 Α. Let me see. 8 MR. ROGILLIO: Will you ask Jane to come in 9 10 here. MR. THORNTON: Do you need Jane? 11 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. Ask her to --12 BY MS. MCLEARY: 13 Well, once we figure that out. Were you --14 Wait. Here it is. What was your question again? 15 Did Ms. Flowers disclose the arrest, the 16 Q. disqualifying arrest, on her application? 17 The question was on the application: "Have you ever 18 Α. been arrested, charged, or indicted for any criminal 19 violation?" 2.0 And she checked, "No." 21 "Have you ever been convicted?" 2.2 "No." 2.3 Same thing -- no. I'm sorry. She checked Yes on the 24

very first application.

- 1 \parallel Q. She had a prior application, Mr. Rogillio?
- 2 | A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. What was the date of that application?
- \blacksquare A. Date of the hire was 8/20/2011.
- Q. And did she disclose that in this --
- A. She disclosed it on that on.

MR. GURVICH: What was the board staff's determination on that application?

MR. ROGILLIO: I'm sorry?

- 10 BY MS. MCLEARY:
- Q. What was the board staff's determination on the initial application?
- 13 A. Well, obviously, she left her employment at that
 14 company.
- 15 | EXAMINATION OF MR. ROGILLIO BY MR. GURVICH:
- Q. Well, did the staff accept the application, approve the application?
- A. Well, no. It indicates on the termination that it was per the LSBPSE. That's us. So, obviously, we sent
- them notice that she did not meet the qualifications.
- 21 And then, on the second half, she marked "No" on both of those.
- Q. So, the first application, she had marked the previous conviction; the second one, she did not?
- 25 | A. She did not on the second one, yeah. The first one

was with Weiser Security. The second one was with L & R. No and no. 2 The question I had is, looking at MR. GURVICH: 3 a letter from the state board dated February 6, 2012, to 4 Ms. Flowers, it lists on page 2 of the letter --5 apparently, is that a series of other convictions or 6 arrests or what? 7 She did disclose she had several MS. MCLEARY: 8 other arrests and was able to provide sufficient 9 dispositions on all of those. 10 MR. GURVICH: Dispositions to the effect that 11 this was not her or just --12 MS. MCLEARY: No. Dispositions as to they were 13 either misdemeanors prior to the time, the cleansing 14 period applied, things like that. They were not found to 15 be disqualifying arrests. 16 MR. GURVICH: Well, my question as regards the 17 ten-year cleansing period, the cleansing period begins on 18 the date the crime occurred or the date of the completion 19 of the --2.0 MR. ROGILLIO: The completion of sentence. 21 MR. GURVICH: -- of the sentence or the date of 2.2 completion of the probation, which is part of the 2.3 sentence? 24 25 MR. ROGILLIO: Right.

BY MR. GURVICH: 2 So her probation was expected to have terminated? 3 '04. Α. 4 All right. And so we haven't reached the In '04. 5 tenth year? 6 Yes, sir. Α. 7 All right. Well, have you MR. GURVICH: 8 finished your questioning of Mr. Rogillio? 9 Yes, sir. I'm done. MS. MCLEARY: 10 MR. GURVICH: Do you have any other witnesses? 11 MS. MCLEARY: No. 12 Okay. Well, I quess, Ms. Flowers, MR. GURVICH: 13 you have the right to ask questions, to cross-examine the 14 board's witness, and you also have the right to present 15 your case, to say what you want to say. 16 MS. FLOWERS: Okay. I understand what you 17 In the case, I did, I did commit the crime. And I said. 18 did do my sentence. And while I was doing my sentence, I 19 got myself into a program. I did things to bring myself 2.0 up, not to take myself backwards. 21 And, since that, I have not committed a crime. 2.2 Ι have been forward, trying -- basically doing it for 2.3 myself and my grandkids. And I made a promise to myself, 24 which that's the first felony I did in my life. I made a 25

MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.

big mistake, the biggest mistake of my life. And I have been turning myself around.

2.0

2.2

2.3

And since I came to Louisiana, I have been looking for — looking going forward, getting backwards in California. And I found out being here brings me — to keep, to keep my self-esteem to keep going ahead and not going backward.

When I started with L & R Security, when I asked them that question, they told me if I have been convicted. And on the conviction, they didn't get me for the crime. They got me for violation of my probation. I did 180 days on that, and I had to go to a program, which I did six months, plus the extra six months. I did work programs. I did a lot of things in between that to better myself.

And when I came out here, I found out that it was much better for me not having a lot of pressure from my family and friends — I guess you would say peer pressure — that I was having in California. But since I got here, I have been finding myself it's better to be up than down and going forward.

And when I started working with L & R Security in 2011 for the Jazz Fest, I knew this was something I wanted to do. I was able to find something I wanted to do to carry myself on with life. And basically —

MR. GURVICH: Did you want to ask any questions of Mr. Rogillio?

2.0

2.2

2.3

MS. FLOWERS: And when they — when I — my question to Mr. Wayne is that, when I was been receiving all the letters here and been speaking to everyone, no one never told me I was denied. I just found this out two weeks ago I was denied.

I was — as far as my telling to was, talking to the office in New Orleans, that I would be reinstated, rehired as soon as I get my papers in to the state board. So I didn't know all this was happening until two weeks ago.

I thought I was going back to work on the strength that everything that I showed them state that I was clear, I have no warrant, and I did what I was supposed to do. So as far as I seen it, I thought I was going back to work. From the understanding I was getting from Ms. Nicole and Ms. Cindy. I just spoke to you when you came back from vacation. But Ms. Cindy and Ms. Nicole told me, that's all I had to do, to get this, for me to go back to work. And when I spoke to Mr. Wayne, that's who I found out I was denied. I didn't know all this was going on and why.

MR. ROGILLIO: Mr. Gurvich, in answer to that denial, we didn't receive her information until June 1st,

when we stamped it in. So I actually wouldn't have known that she was denied until after all of this occurred because of that date that we received all this.

MR. GURVICH: But she was in fact denied?

MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. At that point.

MR. GURVICH: So this is an administrative appeal?

MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MR. GURVICH: Okay. Ma'am?

MS. FLOWERS: And, yes. Ms. Cindy did send me the paperwork back in February. When I spoke to her, because at that time, when I got out here, it got to where I almost became homeless. But I kept my courage up, and I found one job working at the Superdome, center plate (phonetic). And then I found this job here, so I felt that I was doing something right. And ms. Cindy had gave me extension to try to get all the paperwork in, because, like I say, I'm from California and I had no one to help me with my leg work. So I was doing the best I can to get everything done. And then, April 27th, I found out that I can go back to work until I got the information. No one never told me I was permanent terminated. I was never told that.

MR. GURVICH: All right. Thank you. Anything further from the board or Ms. Flowers? All right. Any

1	comments/questions/motions from our board?
2	MR. KENNEDY: Mr. Chairman.
3	MR. GURVICH: Mr. Kennedy.
4	MR. KENNEDY: I make a motion that we uphold the
5	board staff's ruling and deny again, based on the
6	ten-year period, cleansing period, has not been met yet.
7	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Do we have a second to
8	Mr. Kennedy's motion?
9	MR. COTTON: Second.
10	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Kennedy, second by
11	Mr. Cotton. All in favor further debate or
12	discussion? Allison, anything?
13	MS. MCLEARY: No, sir.
14	MR. GURVICH: Ms. Flowers, final thoughts/words?
15	MS. FLOWERS: When you said the "ten-year
16	probation period," what is I don't understand that.
17	MR. GURVICH: Ten years has to elapse from the
18	time that you completed your probation until you would be
19	eligible for a security officer license, and only eight
20	years have gone by.
21	MS. FLOWERS: I had never knew that. No one
22	never actually explained that to me, or else I wouldn't
23	have never went through this precedes from doing it.
24	MR. GURVICH: Right. It's in the law, though.
25	I understand that. All right. Further

debate/discussion? All in favor of the motion as stated 2 say aye. ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 3 MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes. 4 I'm afraid we have to confirm the state's -- the board's 5 action now. if you want to come back here in -- what 6 month in 2014? I'm sorry? 7 You can always reapply, but the ten years has to 8 9 run. MS. MCLEARY: 10 June. 11 June of 2014. Thank you for being MR. GURVICH: 12 here today. I'm sorry we couldn't help you. 13 Okay. Did we handle Century Security? 14 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. 15 F.E.S.S., INC. 16 MR. GURVICH: Okay. That leaves us with 17 F.E.S.S.? 18 MR. ROGILLIO: F.E.S.S. is the last one. 19 MR. GURVICH: Are you all ready to proceed with 20 that hearing? 21 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir. 2.2 The ladies and gentlemen from MR. GURVICH: 2.3 F.E.S.S., if you would, come forward. Be recognized. 24 And I take it that somebody is going to be an official 25

1	representative for the company?
2	MS. MCLEARY: Mr. Gurvich, this is the first
3	you know, this is a lengthy document that they've just
4	I need to address one by one. I think it might be
5	helpful if we take five minutes and actually meet with
6	I don't know if it may just be helpful, streamline the
7	pending hearing.
8	MR. GURVICH: Certainly. We'll go into recess
9	for five minutes or until you wink at me to direct you
10	back on the record.
11	MS. MCLEARY: Thank you.
12	MR. GURVICH: Someone of the witnesses has to be
13	designated as the official representative of F.E.S.S., so
14	y'all have to work that out.
15	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
16	MS. RYLAND: Well, Jose is the qualifying
17	agent.
18	MS. MCLEARY: He is on behalf of Mr. Marsh also?
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Tammy Bauer is going to speak.
20	MR. GURVICH: Who is the initial representative
21	who is going to speak for F.E.S.S.?
22	MS. RYLAND: Paul Marsh is the owner.
23	MR. GURVICH: He's not here today.
24	(Recess taken.)
25	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Counsel. Can we swear

everybody in.

2.0

2.2

2.3

MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. We will have several witnesses. Mr. Paisant and Mr. Bourgeois could also be. Mr. Thornton can also be sworn in — oh, you have been. (Mr. Donald Paisant, Mr. Ross Bourgeois, Mr. Jose Rodriguez, Ms. Tammy Bauer were administered the oath.)

MR. GURVICH: Okay. Now, the official representative of F.E.S.S. is Tammy Bauer; is that correct? Okay. And, Counsel, your case in chief. Are you ready?

MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. Do you want me to address this?

MR. GURVICH: The stipulations first?

MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. It's my understanding and Ms. — is it Bauer?

MS. BAUER: Ms. Bauer.

MS. MCLEARY: — can contradict me if necessary. I understand we have entered into a stipulation or going to enter into a stipulation as to 90 of the violations as cited in Mr. Rogillio's May 23rd, 2012, letter, that they are going to concede that 90 of those violations did occur. Board action was taken as far as finding them in violation, but did not assess any penalties or fines as it relates to the 90; actually, as to the whole, but much less the 90.

MR. GURVICH: Looking at this Charge Letter dated May 23rd, 2012 -- do you folks have a copy of it? 2 MS. BAUER: Yes, sir. 3 MR. GURVICH: Then everything evolved clearly 4 into one paragraph of this Charge Letter, which was 159 5 violations. Now, what the stipulation is saying is that 6 there is no contest and the fact exists that 90 of the 7 Now, I'm just wondering -violations. 8 MS. MCLEARY: And the detail as to which quards, 9 we would be -- which of the 90 is found --10 MR. GURVICH: Which is 159. 11 MS. MCLEARY: -- yes, which of the 159 is 12 actually in this chart that was provided by F.E.S.S. Ιf 13 we look to very end of the binder that was presented, it 14 has not been put into evidence, but everything, except 15 for the very last page, seven or so prior pages, is a 16 chart that was created with the name, security, staff 17 member, indicating their title or work performed, whether 18 or not they are appealing this or whether or not the 19 application or termination was there. So I'm quessing 2.0 the Termination column are those 90 that are not going to 21 be contested. 2.2 MS. BAUER: Correct. 2.3 This is the very last thing in the MR. GURVICH: 24 25 packet?

1	MS. BAUER. Correct.
2	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. I have one more page on
3	the very end, but everything else.
4	MS. BAUER: We have all those applications and
5	terminations. That was my fault they did not get turned
6	in on time. They were all done. The persons all had
7	their blue cards on them at the event. And when we got
8	back, I did all the terminations and never I failed to
9	turn them in. It was all my fault. But I do have those
10	to be turned today to be accepted, with the application
11	fees.
12	MR. GURVICH: So the board staff passed
13	judgment, as it were, on these 90 violations?
14	MS. MCLEARY: On the 159
15	MR. GURVICH: Right.
16	MS. MCLEARY: these 90, yes, sir, we did.
17	And they've stipulated that those were violations.
18	MR. GURVICH: So we're going to stipulate that
19	the 90 violations did in fact occur?
20	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
21	MR. GURVICH: Those being in evidence in the
22	documents which you were going to file into the record?
23	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. I can pull out this
24	list and make that Exhibit A if you would like.
25	MR. GURVICH: Yes. I think we probably need to

```
do that. That is a list starting with the name of
     Marion Allen?
2
               MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
3
               MR. GURVICH: And concluding with the name --
 4
               MS. MCLEARY: Pamela Young.
5
               MR. GURVICH: -- Pamela Young, that 90 of those
6
     violations did in fact occur. This is a list of 90
7
     names, I take it?
8
               MS. BAUER: This is all 159.
9
               MR. GURVICH:
                             Okay. But your --
10
               MS. BAUER: The checks with the application --
11
               MR. GURVICH: -- checks in the last column which
12
      indicates --
13
                          Yes, sir.
               MS. BAUER:
14
                             I have not counted, but I'm
               MS. MCLEARY:
15
      assuming.
16
               MR. GURVICH: So we'll take it as 90.
17
               MS. MCLEARY: To be careful, all of those in the
18
     Application-Termination column will be admitted too,
19
     whether our numbers are off or not.
2.0
     EXAMINATION OF MS. BAUER BY MR. GURVICH:
21
          All right. In the Application/Termination column,
2.2
     these those are the violations which are being admitted
2.3
      to in the stipulation?
24
     Α.
          Correct.
25
```

- Q. So we have 90 of those out of the way, and we have 69 remaining charges. Now, my question: Is there a central issue to all of these 69 so that we can address this, stipulate that the issues are upon the other 69? Well, you said there are some different categories?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.

2.0

2.2

2.3

- Q. How many categories of these individuals that you say were not security guards are there?
- A. I initially said three, but there are four categories of different staffing positions that I'm -- right.
- MS. MCLEARY: They are indicating that the staff directional category of person or category of job duties does not require them to be registered. And I believe that's what's indicated in the Appeal column. How they indicate whether they are staff or directional is what she is talking about the four categories of persons that may fall under.
- 19 BY MR. GURVICH:
 - Q. Okay. And the four categories would be:
 - A. And they are listed on each individual. But the first one is now let me backtrack. The initial 159 violations came off of a under my understanding, came off of a sign—in sheet that was turned in by the Superdome to the state board. On that sign—in sheet,

they are — they have the positions listed, and they went those positions.

Anything that said Bag Checker, Pat Down, Barricade Line, was pulled and automatically checked for credentials if they had — not checked at the event. They were not there at the event. Someone went through their paperwork and checked. If they did not have their license, then they were automatically in violation.

- O. Those are the ones that we denied?
- A. No. All 159.
 - Q. Oh, okay.

2.0

2.2

2.3

A. And out of those 90 — I'm saying 90, yes, were either a bag checker or a pat down personnel. The other 69 that I'm saying were staff members got moved from the position they signed in at. The sign-in sheet is maybe poor administrative on my part. I have everybody sign in on a sheet to make sure my numbers are met. Then I place them in the positions where they are qualified to work.

So if they are a licensed guard, they are going to be a bag checker. If they are going to be a pat down person, they are not licensed, they get an application, and they have the blue card on them. That's the procedures that we took for this.

So out of those 159, 69 of those people fell under the categories of either — what we call our barkers, who are the people that stand out in front of the pat down lines, directing which people to go in, males, female lines.

2.0

2.2

2.3

We have what we call people movers, who are people around the perimeter of the building that ask people to go into the gates that are being less used.

And then there's two other ones that I am in question of if they should have been — I don't feel they were a security position were, one, supervisors. All they do is administrative work. They check the people in and out. They do not perform security duties. They do not stand on a post. They don't fill in on a post. They basically are there with their sign—in sheets and checking people in and out. They were on that list as well, because it said Bag Check Supervisor.

And then the fourth one is our barricade lines, which, my interpretation, these people that stand along the barricades are also directing people how to enter the gate. They are not doing security functions. There are police officers right behind them. And the ticket takers are right behind them as well. So they are standing there directing the people how to get into the gates versus doing a security job. So those are the four categories that I pulled —

Q. The four categories were barkers, barricade

l linesmen, I guess?

3

4

7

9

10

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

2.2

- 2 A. Yes, sir. People movers and the supervisors.
 - Q. Okay. Now, in your list, it doesn't quite break down that way; at least, not the one I'm looking at.
- A. No. On the individual guard sheets, it does tell you what each one of them were doing.
 - Q. It says like Staff Directional Person.
- 8 A. Correct. And, like, if you go to that --
 - MS. MCLEARY: She does have individual pages for each guard prior, earlier.
- MR. GURVICH: That's further back, and we're in the front.
 - MS. MCLEARY: Yes. Of those that you addressed.
 - MR. GURVICH: I'm just going off this one list.
 - MS. BAUER: Right.
- 16 BY MR. GURVICH:
 - Q. So we're looking at the people in dispute here are people movers. How many of those are there that are involved in —
- 20 | A. It's 16, I believe.
 - Q. Try and give me exact numbers, because, you know, this is an official hearing.
- MS. VINSON: If you will look through and count.
- MS. BAUER: Oh, you want me to look through?

I'll look through here. 1 MR. GURVICH: We need a breakdown of these four 2 categories. 3 MS. BAUER: Okay. It might take me a second. 4 MS. MCLEARY: Of course, it's the position of 5 the board that those categories of people would fall as 6 regarding security services, so. 7 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Kennedy. 8 EXAMINATION OF MS. BAUER BY MR. KENNEDY: 9 Who supervises the security officers; who supervises 10 your security officers at that site? 11 Well, supervising them, well, there's different. We 12 have supervisors at each location for each gate, there's 13 a supervisor. And, overall, like I'm one of the main 14 supervisors that would be there for every event as well. 15 So there's 90 that you mention that you say you were 16 in violation. Are any of those 90, are they all just 17 workers; none of them are supervisors? 18 Correct. Anyone who is listed as a bag check 19 supervisor that did not show up into this 159 violation 2.0 would have had a hard card license, because we do have 21 people that are licensed quards that do supervising 2.2 positions as well. 2.3 EXAMINATION OF MS. BAUER BY MS. MCLEARY: 24

25

Ο.

And those would account, if there were more than 90

- positions or duties to be filled that day, that would have made security duties --
 - A. It would have been licensed guards.
 - Q. The licensed guards otherwise?
 - A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

MS. MCLEARY: Okay.

EXAMINATION OF MS. BAUER BY MR. KENNEDY (CONT'D):

- Q. So, basically, none of these staff supervisors that you have listed had any supervision duties at all for your armed or your uniformed security officers?
- A. The only job duties they had were to check the people in at once they got to the gate, because once they sign in with me and I have them to go to their gates, they recheck them in and make sure they are all accounted for at the positions of the gates.
- Q. Who checks on those individuals to make sure they are performing their duties up to standard?
- A. I do. I walk around. After everyone is put on place, then I personally walk around.
- 20 EXAMINATION OF MS. BAUER BY MS. MCLEARY (CONT'D):
 - Q. Then that person stays in place or how do -- if they need to change job duties, who authorizes that?
- A. If anybody is moved, they would call me, and it
 would go through me, through the command post. Superdome
 would call the command post, who would in turn call me,

107

- and then I would move the people in the chain of command.
 - Q. So the list that the Superdome may provide as far as who is performing what duty is incorrect?
- 5 A. They would not put one of my guards in a position.
- That's a chain of command thing. We have already established that with them. They come to me, and I move
- 8 my own guards. Nobody moves my guards, but me.
- 9 Q. So why would they have to have a list of who is performing what duty, then?
- 11 A. They don't. I just provide that to them. They
 12 don't need that.
- 13 Q. But they do, don't they?

3

- A. No. I mean, some of them use I just give them a piece of paper with names and times.
- Q. In this instance, was a list provided to the

 Superdome, as you call it, a sign-in list, that Superdome

 maintained that had a job duty, a name, and that guard

 signed in for that deposition?
- A. They did do that. And the reason, that is for billing purposes. That has nothing to do with their job performance. I turn in a sign-in sheet after every event for billing purposes. That's strictly the reason.
- Q. So if they signed into a position, they could then perform another one, but they are billed at the same

1	rate, whether they are performing security services or a
2	barker?
3	A. Correct.
4	MR. GURVICH: Well, I didn't want to get too far
5	afield.
6	MS. MCLEARY: Okay. Sorry. We are, we are
7	getting way into the case.
8	MR. GURVICH: One thing preliminarily, so we can
9	get a handle on it, of those 69 folks that remain in
10	contention here
11	MS. MCLEARY: Oh, yes. She needs to count them.
12	MS. BAUER: I'm trying.
13	MS. MCLEARY: I'm sorry. I've been
14	interrupting. She needs to count. I'm sorry.
15	MR. GURVICH: We just need to find out how many
16	are people movers, how many are barkers, how many are
17	barricade guards and take your time.
18	We have witnesses that you are going to call?
19	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. Probably dickie.
20	MR. GURVICH: Any others?
21	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. Mr. Paisant.
22	MS. LOCKETT: Mr. Chairman.
23	MR. GURVICH: Ms. Lockett.
24	MS. LOCKETT: Is Ms. Bauer a supervisor with the
25	company, or what is her position?

MS. BAUER: I am the event manager, but I also supervise as well. I'm a licensed quard. 2 MR. GURVICH: And per Mr. Marsh, who is, I 3 believe, the owner of the company --4 MS. BAUER: Yes, sir. 5 MR. GURVICH: -- he has indicated that she is 6 authorized to represent F.E.S.S. in these proceedings. 7 MS. MCLEARY: And, Mr. Gurvich, as what was 8 indicated, if it helps, since we are counting categories 9 of people here, Mr. Thornton has just indicated that he 10 did not count those indicated as external people movers 11 on the sign-in sheet, so. 12 MS. BAUER: I know. I can explain that. 13 have, I want to say -- I will tell you in a minute, but I 14 think it was eight positions that are listed as our TBAs, 15 but because it says Bag Checker TBA or Pat Down TBA, all 16 of my TBAs were moved to People Movers, because there was 17 a major problem of bottlenecking of people that could not 18 get around the perimeter of the building. So all of my 19 TBAs moved to that position. That is why he said he 2.0 didn't count them, because they were my TBAs 21 MR. GURVICH: Well, we can look back at all of 2.2 this. 2.3 MS. MCLEARY: Right. After the numbers are 24

obtained, would it be helpful to discuss the categories

and whether or not the board feels --1 MR. GURVICH: We're going to do all that. 2 Okay. I'm just trying to think of MS. MCLEARY: 3 an organized way to knock these out. 4 EXAMINATION OF MS. BAUER BY MR. GURVICH (CONT'D): 5 Okay. So, say, people movers, how many of those? Q. 6 People movers had seven. Α. 7 All right. Barkers? Ο. 8 Α. Barkers, we had 48. 9 Barricade lines? 10 Q. Α. Eight. 11 Supervisors? 12 Q. Four. And then I also had four people that, another Α. 13 category we had, that we had issued over to the Superdome 14 to fill in positions that they did not have staff. 15 These are security positions? 16 Q. I don't know. They asked for if we had any extra Α. 17 quards, and we had additional people that we were able to 18 give them, so that was four people there that we gave 19 them. 2.0 Right. Hopefully, that totals MR. GURVICH: 21 69? 2.2 MS. BAUER: I hope so too. 2.3 MR. ROGILLIO: I get 67. 24 25 MR. GURVICH: You get 67?

1	MS. RYLAND: You have a calculator.
2	MR. GURVICH: Y'all gave me a calculator. Well,
3	I have 71.
4	MS. BAUER: Do you want me to go through them
5	again?
6	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes.
7	MR. GURVICH: Seven people movers, twenty-eight
8	barkers, eight barricade linesmen, four supervisors, and
9	four Superdome positions.
10	MS. MCLEARY: I think we've just got 71, right?
11	MR. GURVICH: Still at 71, too high. It looks
12	like we have 67 remaining violations, not 69. Mr. Baer
13	has kindly counted the numbers and recounted.
14	MS. RYLAND: I got that too.
15	MR. GURVICH: So we are dealing with 67
16	violations.
17	MS. RYLAND: And it's 92 that's on the other
18	category if you count them.
19	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Well, I would ask our
20	mathematician, Mr. Baer.
21	MS. RYLAND: Count those in the app-termed
22	category.
23	MS. LOCKETT: I got 92 also.
24	MS. RYLAND: I got 92 in the app-term and 67 in
25	the appeal.

1	MR. GURVICH: All right. So, then, is it
2	correct to say that the total numbers were correct; if it
3	says 90 violations, did y'all mean to stipulate to the
4	92; is that correct?
5	MS. BAUER: I would have to make sure that I
6	didn't check off somebody wrong first. Let me go through
7	the individuals and make sure.
8	MR. GURVICH: How many folks are here from
9	F.E.S.S.? Three? Just trying to get this thing
10	organized, it strikes me that we're going to have five
11	categories. Perhaps we could take one of these folders
12	and put the and y'all have very kindly put together a
13	little blurb on each individual. Could we segregate
14	those into the five categories
15	MS. BAUER: Yes.
16	MR. GURVICH: And one of your folks could do
17	that while we're here to keep us moving along?
18	MS. BAUER: Yes, we can.
19	MR. GURVICH: In fact, do you need an extra
20	folder?
21	MS. BAUER: No, sir.
22	MR. GURVICH: One of your folks could do that
23	while we proceed along with the testimony?
24	MS. BAUER: She will.
25	(Off the record discussion)

1	MS. BAUER: Okay. I'm coming up with the 69.
2	I've got 7 barricades, 7 people movers, 47 barkers, 4
3	supervisors, and 4 given to the Superdome.
4	MR. GURVICH: All right. So, instead of eight
5	barricade linesmen, we have seven. What other changes
6	were made?
7	MS. BAUER: Check my math. I had 47 barkers and
8	then 4 supervisors.
9	MR. GURVICH: So that gives you the 69? All
10	right. We still have, in terms of the numbers checked
11	off on that right side column, Mr. Baer said, I believe,
12	was it 92, or was it?
13	MR. BAER: No. I had 67 appeals. I didn't
14	count the
15	MS. RYLAND: 92 for the app-term.
16	MR. GURVICH: So is it correct to say, then,
17	that the stipulation should have been 92 violations and
18	that 67 are contested? I want to get that cleaned up.
19	MS. BAUER: No. I would rather go with the
20	actual pages on there versus my check marks.
21	MR. GURVICH: All right. Well, we will stay
22	with the 90. Let's presume we're at 69. Now, I throw
23	this out as a suggestion that we probably need to get
24	general testimony in, blah-blah-blah, and then we need to
25	probably address the job duties of these individual

- categories so that the board can make an assessment as to
 what falls under the aegis of security and what doesn't.

 And if that's acceptable to everybody, we'll proceed on
 that basis. So we'll hold the stipulations to 90, to
 - And, Counsel, it's your case in chief, so proceed.

 MS. MCLEARY: Okay. I call Mr. Thornton as a witness.
 - MR. GURVICH: Mr. Thornton, you have been sworn?
 - MR. THORNTON: Yes.

have 69 contested violations.

- 12 | EXAMINATION OF MR. THORNTON BY MS. MCLEARY:
- Q. Can you state your name and your role here at the board for us.
 - A. Dick Thornton. I'm the investigator/auditor.
- Q. And are you familiar with the complaint that was lodged against F.E.S.S., Incorporated?
- 18 A. Yes, I am.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 19 Q. And what was the nature of that complaint?
- 20 A. That they were working various events at the 21 Superdome with a lot of guards that they were not
- 22 registering.
- Q. Was there one particular event that your attention was called to?
- 25 | A. The Final Four. They had a lot of people working

| that event.

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. Did you at that point investigate this complaint that was made?
 - A. Yes, I did. I went to the Superdome and contacted their chief of security, Mr. Donald Paisant, and asked him if they did in fact work that event. He said, yes, they did. I asked him if he could produce paperwork that would verify their working and what they did.
- 9 Q. And did he provide that paperwork?
 - A. Yes, he did.
- 11 Q. What did you then do with that?
 - A. I took the paperwork and broke it down as far as what I considered security. They had a lot of names on that list, and I broke down the ones that I know specifically to be security, like bag checkers, pat down people, some barricade people. I, however, passed over a lot of people on this list that could be construed as security, but if there was any question at all in my mind, I did not put them on this list.
 - Q. What other kind of documents did the Superdome provide to you or did Mr. Paisant present to you?
 - A. In front of you there, it's a list of how many guards they requested, or, at least, on the front page, it says Supervisors and Guards, and then a little bit further back, it starts breaking them down as to what

- 116 their responsibilities were. Q. Let me show you a document. 2 MS. MCLEARY: And I can show it to them too if 3 they would like. 4 MR. GURVICH: 5 Sure, yes. BY MS. MCLEARY: 6 Is this the documentation that you just referred to? 7 A. Yes, it is. 8 How many pages does this include? 9 Q. MR. GURVICH: Y'all have a right to examine that 10 document. Do you want to examine that document? 11 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, please. 12 It's 14 pages. Α. 13 MS. MCLEARY: Let me get you another copy you 14 can have. Jane, do you have another copy? 15 MS. RYLAND: No. Dickie's got mine. Take it 16 out of Wayne's. 17 MR. GURVICH: This is the, what, board records? 18 This is the documentation that MS. MCLEARY: 19 Mr. Thornton said that was provided to him by 2.0
- 22 BY MS. MCLEARY:

2.3

24

25

Mr. Paisant.

Q. And, Mr. Thornton, if you could describe — go to just the first page, and I believe the board does have it in their records. Describe what it looks like so maybe

- 1 \parallel the board members could refer to that.
- 2 | A. Okay. There are a lot of -- I mean, pages 1 through
- 3 | 6 are -- I looked at it, but.
- 4 Q. What's at the top of it? so they can be directed to
- 5 the correct page.
- 6 A. Page 7, it starts with Player Guest A Ground.
- 7 | Q. No. I mean, just describe that first page on it --
- 8 A. Oh, I'm sorry.

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

- 9 Q. -- so they can get to the right page in their
- 10 packets if you don't mind.
- 11 A. Page 1 is a Service Invoice. And it has --
- 12 | Q. Is that an 8-by-14 page?
- 13 A. Right. It's one of the long ones.
- MR. GURVICH: This is a document prepared by?
- MR. THORNTON: The Superdome. I got this from the Superdome.
 - MS. MCLEARY: There will be the testimony from the Superdome also about the document.
 - MR. THORNTON: I talked to their chief of security, Mr. Paisant.
 - MR. GURVICH: Is there someone here from the Superdome to verify these documents?
 - MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
- MR. GURVICH: Do y'all object in the interim if
 we admit this document on the assumption that the

Superdome guy will shortly testify that it's true on its face? 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Which document is that page? 3 The Service Invoice. MR. GURVICH: 4 MS. BAUER: That was provided by us. 5 Superdome may have given it to them, but this is our 6 invoice that we gave to them. So they did not produce 7 t.hat. 8 MS. MCLEARY: No. The Superdome provided that 9 10 to us. So this is the invoice that you in MR. GURVICH: 11 fact gave, so you gave it to the Superdome, and they gave 12 it to the board? 13 Right. MS. BAUER: 14 This is the document? MR. GURVICH: Okay. 15 ahead and proceed. That's solves that. 16 MR. THORNTON: The first page has Guard 17 Supervisors and then Guards, and it lists the number and 18 the amount paid. I went through these pages, and when I 19 got back to page 7 of this document, it started being 2.0 specific as far as which quards were doing what at each 21 location. And some of these, I couldn't -- I don't know 2.2 what they were doing, so I didn't put anything down for 2.3 them. 24 BY MS. MCLEARY: 25

- Q. And you're saying "put something down." You then did what with this?
 - A. I did not put them on the list. The list that I charged them with not being registered, I did not put the quards, like on Ground Gate C, I didn't put any of that.

If you go over to page 8, it's where I started writing names down, because they have like a few lines down, they have Gate 8 Pat-downs. And they go further down, Gate 8 Bag Checkers, a Supervisor for that gate; Gate B Pat-downs, Gate B Bag Checkers. Those people, according to our law, are security. So I took the name to the right of that, designating that person worked that location. And that's who I charged with not being registered. And I went through all of these pages and came up with 159 names.

EXAMINATION OF MR. THORNTON BY MR. GURVICH:

- Q. How many were bag checkers in your preliminary --
- A. Excuse me. On the very back, you will see my
- 19 | handwriting. It was my breakdown. And I've got them
- 20 broken down. I can add them up. There's a few pages
- 21 back there, because as I did the pages, I separated
- them. But when Jane typed it out, of course, she didn't
- separate them all.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. This document here in the packet with us dated
- 4/2/12, is that the document to which you're referring

2	A. Right.
3	Q 159 Violations in the upper right-hand corner,
4	that's a document prepared by you?
5	A. Right. The handwritten one, that's the one that I
6	took off of the paperwork that I was given by the
7	Superdome.
8	EXAMINATION OF MR. THORNTON BY MS. MCLEARY (CONT'D):
9	Q. And you then compared that to what?
10	A. I checked these people to make sure that they were
11	not registered with us. If you'll see the notations,
12	I've got notations out on the right on some of them.
13	Some of them were originally with that company. They
14	were termed. There was one denied.
15	MR. GURVICH: Do you want to give them an
16	we're introducing this into evidence, and we'll give them
17	an opportunity to review it.
18	Do y'all have a copy of that document?
19	MS. BAUER: No, sir.
20	MR. GURVICH: I just want to make sure. This is
21	the document dated 4/2/12, prepared by you in your hand
22	in the course of your official duties of the board?
23	MR. THORNTON: Correct.
24	MR. GURVICH: All right. Then please proceed.
25	I'm just getting this housekeeping out of the way.

1 | to, Final Four Championship --

MS. MCLEARY: Okay. Thank you.

BY MS. MCLEARY:

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. Based on this document, you created your list; you just testified that you created a list of 159
- 5 | violations. You then presented that to Mr. Rogillio?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Was it represented to you in any way by the Superdome that this list was inaccurate or incomplete?
 - A. No, it was not. The Superdome, Mr. Paisant, said this is the list; these are the people working, you know, for this event.
 - Q. Did you go and check, personally inspect the location at the time when they were supposed to be providing these services?
 - A. No. This happened after the event was over.
- Q. Okay. So you in fact relied on the Superdome's representation?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Some of the examples of if you could, let's go through the job titles that are listed on this list and maybe list out some of the which ones you counted and which ones you did not. For example, on page 7, I believe, is where it starts. Player Guest A Ground is listed as the first designation. Did you count them as performing guard services?

- $1 \parallel A.$ No.
- 2 | Q. Gate A Ground --
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 Q. -- Is listed as next category -- oh, no.
- 5 | I'm sorry. Player Guest A Ground Super, which I'm
- assuming is "supervisor," did you list them as
- 7 | performing guard services?
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 Q. Gate Ground A is the next designation.
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. A Ground Supervisor?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 Q. NW Press?
- 14 | A. No.
- 15 | O. NW Press General?
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 | Q. Student Control?
- 18 | A. No.
- 19 | O. Ground C TBD?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 Q. Ground Gate C?
- 22 A. Yes -- oh, wait. I'm sorry. No. I didn't do any
- $23 \parallel \text{ of those.}$
- 24 Q. Ground C Supervisor?
- 25 | A. No.

- 1 Q. Guest Relations Center?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. Gate A Pat Downs M?
- 4 A. Yes. I listed all of those on Gate A Pat Downs, all
- 5 | of those where it starts Gate A Bag Checkers.
- 6 | Q. You listed those?
- 7 | A. I listed those.
- 8 Q. Gait A Barricade Lines?
- 9 A. No -- well, wait. Yes, I did, the Barricade Line.
- 10 Q. Gate A Supervisor?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Gait A Super -- oh, I'm sorry. Gate B Pat Down?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Gait B Bag Checkers?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Gait B Supervisor?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Gate C Pat Downs?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Gate C Bag Checkers?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Gate C Supervisor?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Gait D Pat Downs?
- 25 | A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Gate D Bag Checkers?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- Q. Gate D Supervisor?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Gate E Pat Downs?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Gate E Bag Checkers?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 | Q. Gate E Barricade Lines?
- 10 A. I think I put all the Barricade Lines on there.
- 11 Some of these, I couldn't prove anything, but I think I
- 12 put all the Barricades Lines down, because they were
- actually working barricades, keeping people from, you
- 14 know, doing something.
- 15 Q. Okay. Gate E Supervisors?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Or, at least, just Gate F?
- 18 A. No. I didn't put those down.
- 19 Q. Or Gate F Supervisor?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 Q. Again, it goes, we go back to Gate G Pat Downs?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Gate G Bag Checkers?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Gate G Supervisors?

- ı || A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Gate H Pat Downs?
- 3 || A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Gate H Bag Checkers?
- 5 || A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. Air Intake?
- 7 A. No. I didn't know what that was.
- 8 | Q. West Arena Bridge.
- 9 A. Even though I thought that was security, I didn't
- put it down, because it doesn't tell you one way or the
- 11 other. So, no. I didn't put those down.
- 12 | Q. East Arena Bridge?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. General?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 Q. Exterior People Movers?
- 17 A. There again, even though I thought that was
- security, People Movers could be directional people. So
- 19 | I didn't put that down.
- Q. Part of the reason was, since you did receive this
- 21 complaint after the event, there was no -- you couldn't
- independently verify what the nature of their duties
- 23 | were?
- 24 A. Right. I don't know what they did.
- 25 | Q. People Mover Supervisor?

- 1 | A. No.
- 2 Q. Pat Downs TBA?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Bag Checkers TBA?
- 5 || A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Supervisor?
- 7 || A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. Administrative Support?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 Q. Event Managers?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 | O. Event Coordinators?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. How about Exteriors for Lots 3 and 4?
- 15 A. No. I couldn't, couldn't prove that.
- 16 Q. The Turner/CBS Compound APs?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. I don't know how to pronounce it, New Orleans, Girod
- 19 Street -- we spell it different in Lafayette, so Girod
- 20 | Street East VCP?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. None of those Girod Street?
- 23 A. None of those, I put.
- 24 Q. Or the Arena Stairs?
- 25 | A. No.

- 1 | O. Or General or Command Post Personnel?
- 2 | A. No.
- 3 | Q. Okay. And these, the list that you've just
- 4 described was the list that you then created and provided
- 5 | to Mr. Rogillio, evidencing the violations that you
- 6 observed?
- 7 A. Yes, it was.
- 8 0. Now I want to look at the Service Invoice that was
- 9 provided to us by the Superdome. But it does list -- and
- 10 | I believe Ms. Bauer just said it was provided by F.E.S.S.
- 11 to the Superdome. Are there designations for what types
- of services are on this invoice?
- 13 | A. Yeah. On paper here, it states that they provided
- 14 | 28 guard supervisors and 287 guards.
- Q. And this was provided from F.E.S.S. -- by F.E.S.S.
- 16 to the Superdome?
- 17 A. I got it from the Superdome.
- 18 Q. Oh, that's true. You wouldn't know.
- 19 A. Yeah. I don't.
- 20 | Q. Can a I direct you to page 2 of what you said that
- 21 you received from the Superdome. What is this document;
- 22 was it explained to you?
- 23 A. No. It has numbers out to the side, like it has
- NOPD stuff here, and then it has Security.
- 25 | Q. Did you rely on this document at all in

- determining --
- A. Not this one. I mean, I looked at it and I saw security versus police officers, NOPD, but I didn't use
- 4 | this document in order to obtain that list.
- Q. Okay. What about the list that's on page 5, did you
- 6 use that list or this chart in determining your 159
- 7 | violations on pages 5 and 6?
- A. No. I didn't use those. The only charts I used were specific charts with people assigned to positions.
- MS. MCLEARY: I believe I have nothing further of Mr. Thornton.
- MR. GURVICH: Do y'all wish to cross-examine
 Mr. Thornton?
- MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. I'd like to ask questions.

 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MR. THORNTON BY MR. RODRIGUEZ:
 - Q. Mr. Thornton, did you actually go and check every quard on every position?
- A. No. As I said, I was made aware of this after the event.
- 20 \parallel Q. How long after the event?
- 21 A. The event was February, so three months?
- 22 Q. Three months?

- MS. BAUER: That was April.
- 24 A. Three, three four. It was.
- 25 BY MR. RODRIGUEZ:

- Three or four months? Q. Α. Right. 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I don't have anything further. 3 MS. MCLEARY: I have nothing further. 4 MR. GURVICH: No further questions. Any 5 questions for Mr. Thornton from our board members? 6 One more question, Mr. Chairman. MR. RODRIGUEZ: 7 BY MR. RODRIGUEZ: 8 You stated you went out there based on a complaint; 9 10
 - you acquired this information based on a complaint?
 - I had the complaint, and then I went down and acquired this information.
 - That that was a formal written complaint? Q.
 - Yes, it was. Α.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

- MR. RODRIGUEZ: Nothing else. Thank you.
- MR. GURVICH: Thank you, sir. Next witness.
- I call Mr. Paisant. MS. MCLEARY:
- This gentleman has been sworn? MR. GURVICH:
- MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir.
- EXAMINATION OF MR. PAISANT BY MS. MCLEARY: 2.0
- Good morning. Ο. 21
 - A. Good morning.
- Afternoon, right, I know. If you could, state your 2.3 name and occupation for us. 24
- My name is Donald Paisant. I'm the chief of 25 Α.

- security for SMG, Louisiana -- correction -- Mercedes
- Benz Superdome out of New Orleans Arena and Champion
- 3 | Square.
- 4 Q. How long have you held that position?
- 5 | A. A little over a year.
- 6 Q. So you were head of security at the time of the
- 7 | Final Four Championship?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 | Q. And when was that held?
- 10 A. That was, The Final Four was on Monday, April 2nd,
- and they had the championship game that Saturday, the
- 30th of March, and Final Four Friday, Friday the 29th.
- 13 Q. Are you aware of a security company being hired to
- 14 help provide security services during that time?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And who is that company?
- 17 A. F.E.S.S. is a contract security company for SMG.
- 18 Q. Okay. Did you have the occasion to make any
- arrangements with F.E.S.S. as far as the numbers and/or
- 20 -- the numbers of security guards that would be provided?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q. And what were those discussions?
- 23 A. Our event services department comes up with a number
- of people that need to be covered, number of positions
- 25 | that need to be covered within our security department.

- All of our exterior security, we contract out to F.E.S.S.

 Our bag checkers, our pat down folks, our people movers,

 and so forth, that's all on the exterior, that's all
- 4 contracted out through F.E.S.S.
 - And we do that through what's caused a staffing work order that we send to them. And then they know what the numbers are, they staff it, and they show up and work.
 - Q. And I'm assuming, part of this contract that you have do you have a contract with F.E.S.S. to provide these services?
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. As part of that contract, do they have to be in full compliance with the State Board of Private Security
- 14 | Examiners' regulations and state laws?
- 15 | A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

- Q. Did you have the occasion to create any documents reflecting these staffing levels that you've just referred to?
- 19 A. Let's see.
- 20 | Q. Did you make these?
- A. No. Actually, our Event Services does it, sends it to us, and then we send it to F.E.S.S.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to show you what I think has
 previously been admitted into evidence, I believe, as —
 this is the document that Mr. Thornton has just testified

that he received from --

MR. GURVICH: Conditionally?

MS. MCLEARY: Sir? Conditionally.

BY MS. MCLEARY:

- Q. that he has indicated was received from Superdome staff. Was that received from you; did you provide this document to Mr. Thornton?
- II A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 9 0. What is this document?
- 10 A. This document is created by F.E.S.S., which shows
 11 the locations, the times in the time out, of course,
 12 is left blank the quard's name, and the signature.
- Q. So F.E.S.S. created this and provided this to you?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. Prior to the event; was this passed out prior to the event?
- A. No. Let me kind of explain a little bit about how this works.
- 19 | Q. Okay.
- A. We send F.E.S.S. the number that we need. They fill it. They work it. They do their sign—in sheets. Then, after the event is over, they send us an invoice. Upon my arrival of taking over the position, when I got the invoice, I requested of them to send me the sign—in sheet
- so we can do a balance, ensure everything is correct,

what we were being charged, for what the number of people that signed in and also versus what we actually ordered. So they initially send us the service invoice. Then we make the request through Ms. Bauer to send us the sign-in sheets.

We sit down. We tally them up. We make sure everything is equal, everything comes out in the wash. I then sign it, I date it, and I send it to our finance department. And then they in turn cut a check to F.E.S.S. in the full amount for the invoice.

- Q. And these documents that I have just shown you, were they the ones provided to you in support of the invoice presented for the Final Four?
- A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

- Q. And I'm going to show you also page 1 of that document we just showed you. Is this the invoice you received from F.E.S.S. for the Final Four work?
 - A. This is the invoice for F.E.S.S. for it's dated April 2nd it's dated April 1st, but it says the dates of the guard supervisors and the guards of April 2nd. So this is what we balanced out on the sheet of April 2nd.
- Q. Okay. The sign-in sheets that were provided to you, you expect to be correct?
- A. Accurate, yes.
- Q. And do you pay -- as reflected on the invoice, I

- believe Mr. Thornton said there are 287 guards and 28
 supervisors reflected on the invoice. Is the amount paid
 for all guards worked the same, the hourly rate?
 - A. Yes, ma'am. The hourly rate, yes, ma'am.
 - Q. And what's that listed as?
 - A. That is listed as \$15 an hour.
 - Q. And those positions that you paid the \$15 an hour for listed on F.E.S.S.'s invoice as guards are what's reflected on the sign-in sheets that they provided?
- 10 | A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

- Q. Okay. What's this other documentation that's attached to the invoice; that's something that's created by you or your office?
 - A. This is actually the event staffing that is created by our event services division department. It lists the event. It lists the dates. And then it lists what we need, how many locations, times, and a total amount of hours at the end. And where it indicates in the left-hand column the word "F.E.S.S.," that is the information that we send over to F.E.S.S. to fill this detail.
 - Q. And where it says security provided by F.E.S.S. and a number and times, so I guess what's indicated on here, that is then what is detailed on or what you expected to be detailed on the other sign-in sheets provided?
- 25 | A. Correct.

Okay. Do you provide the uniforms that this Q. security -- that the F.E.S.S. employees wear? 2 Α. No, ma'am, we do not. 3 Q. Would that be -- okay. 4 (Mr. Gurvich confers with Mr. Rodriguez.) 5 MR. GURVICH: Go right ahead. 6 BY MS. MCLEARY: 7 Mr. Paisant, on the Service Invoice that you 8 indicated, it's initialed and dated on the side. Is that 9 that process that you described just a few minutes ago? 10 Yes, ma'am. That's actually my signature. 11 That's your signature. And do you know if this 12 invoice was in fact paid to F.E.S.S.? 13 It was approved off and paid. I'm sure they 14 got paid, because we didn't have -- that didn't. 15 MS. MCLEARY: Okay. Thank you. I don't think I 16 have any further questions. 17 MR. PAISANT: 18 Thank you. I don't have any. 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: MR. GURVICH: A couple quick questions of 20 Mr. Paisant. 21 Yes, sir. 2.2 MR. PAISANT:

concerned, it's all one price; there is no distinguishing

When this bill is submitted, as far as you're

EXAMINATION OF MR. PAISANT BY MR. GURVICH:

2.3

24

25

Ο.

category where, say, security might be paid at a different rate than, say, a barricade linesman or a sup 2 -- they are all paid the same rate? 3 Α. Yes, sir. They are all the same rate. 4 So there is no distinguishing who is doing the 5 security or who might be, say, a people mover as far as 6 the Superdome is being charged; they are all charged at 7 the same rate? 8 We have a -- one rate. Yes, sir. 9 MR. GURVICH: All right. 10 MR. BAER: Except for supervision, correct? 11 MR. PAISANT: Yes, sir. Supervisors are paid 17 12 an hour, correct. 13 MR. BAFR: Two rates. 14 MR. PAISANT: Yes, sir. 15 Okay. I don't -- any further MR. GURVICH: 16 questions? Ms. Bauer, do you care to ask questions of 17 Mr. Paisant? 18 No, sir. 19 MS. BAUER: MS. VINSON: T do. 20 MR. GURVICH: Ms. Vinson. 21 EXAMINATION OF MR. PAISANT BY MS. VINSON: 2.2 When you contract for quards, are you under the 2.3 impression that all of the personnel that you are 24

contracting for are going to be registered security

quards? Yes, ma'am. Α. 2 BY MS. MCLEARY (CONT'D): 3 And I quess, to follow up, if I could, to 4 Ms. Vinson's question, it was indicated on the invoice 5 provided by F.E.S.S. to you that you were charged for 6 quards, correct? 7 Yes, ma'am. Two hundred and eighty-seven quards. Α. 8 MS. MCLEARY: Thank you. 9 Mr. GURVICH: Any further questions for 10 Mr. Paisant? Thank you, sir, for being here today. Next 11 witness. 12 MS. MCLEARY: Next witness, I have 13 Mr. Rogillio. 14 Yes, ma'am. MR. ROGILLIO: 15 EXAMINATION OF MR. ROGILLIO BY MS. MCLEARY: 16 Mr. Rogillio, did you have an opportunity to review 17 a document prepared by Mr. Dickie Thornton concerning 18 F.E.S.S., Incorporated, a complaint made against 19 F.E.S.S., Incorporated, and its activities during the 2.0 Final Four Championship? 21 Once he completed his work, I did. Yes, ma'am. 2.2 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry I didn't hear your 2.3 answer. 24

25

MR. ROGILLIO: Once he completed his work, I did

- 1 | review it, yes, ma'am.
- 2 BY MS. MCLEARY:
- 3 | Q. And what was your -- what was the conclusion
- 4 Mr. Thornton reached?
- 5 | A. That there were 159 violations that occurred.
- 6 Q. What did you do with that information?
- A. Well, we prepared the notice for this hearing, charging them with 159 violations.
- 9 Q. Did you institute a fee against F.E.S.S. for
- 10 Mr. Marsh?
- 11 A. No, ma'am. I left that to the board.
- 12 | Q. And was Mr. -- obviously, they are here today, but
- was -- service was provided to Mr. Marsh --
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | 0. -- of the violations?
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am. Mr. Thornton served him.
- 17 | Q. Okay. Mr. Rogillio, I want to ask you a question
- about security services. In law, how are "security
- 19 services" defined by the board? What are some examples
- of security services, activity that constitutes security
- 21 | services?
- 22 A. Well, especially in this particular situation, badge
- checkers -- bag checkers. I'm sorry. And pat down folks
- 24 certainly were doing security.
- 25 | Q. It's the board's determination that that's a

- security function?
- 2 A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. What about barricade linesmen?
- 4 A. I don't know what barricade linesmen actually do,
- 5 | but if they are prohibiting someone from going -- ingress
- or egress, then I would assume that that is security.
- 7 | EXAMINATION OF MR. ROGILLIO BY MR. GURVICH:
- 8 Q. Let me back that question around. When the board
- 9 made a provision that certain functions such as ticket
- 10 | taking were not to be construed as security, that was
- 11 done by motion or a regulation?
- 12 | A. It was done by rule. Once you determined, the board
- determined that, then we proposed the rule and it was
- 14 adopted.
- 15 Q. So that rule has been promulgated --
- 16 A. It has been.
- 17 \parallel Q. -- as an administrative rule?
- 18 A. It has been.
- 19 Q. I think we need very soon to look at that rule and
- 20 eyeball it and see exactly what it says. I'm sure y'all
- 21 have a copy of it somewhere.
- A. I can get it out of my office.
- MR. ROGILLIO: Do you have it?
- MS. RYLAND: You have the rules. It's right up
- 25 | there in front of you.

MS. MCLEARY: It should be the most recent version. 2 Control, regulation, or direction MS. VINSON: 3 of the flow or movement of the public, except on public 4 streets, whether by vehicle, on foot, or otherwise? 5 MS. MCLEARY: That's the law. 6 MR. ROGILLIO: But do you have the one that 7 distinguishes bag checkers, informational people, and 8 that sort? If you don't have that, I can get to it. 9 MS. MCLEARY: Mr. Gurvich, if I could ask 10 Mr. Rogillio a question about that. 11 MR. GURVICH: Go ahead. I just want to get the 12 rule. Everybody should hear. 13 EXAMINATION OF MR. ROGILLIO BY MS. MCLEARY (CONT'D): 14 On that exact point, though, with the rule, with 15 that rule that Mr. Gurvich referred to, can persons who 16 are those ticket takers and those persons that we have 17 defined as not providing security services, are they 18 allowed to wear uniforms labeled "security"? 19 No, ma'am. Α. 2.0 They have to distinguish themselves from security 21 personnel? 2.2 Yes, ma'am. Α. 2.3 So if somebody is providing security personnel who Ο. 24

would then be moved to a position where they may fit

- under the categories of people who we've said aren't
- 2 security, could they continue to wear security guard
- 3 | uniforms?
- 4 A. Not under the rule.
- 5 Q. Okay. Did you agree that, the categories of persons
- 6 that Mr. Thornton counted as providing security services
- on behalf of F.E.S.S., did you agree that those, that
- 8 those categories of persons were in fact providing
- 9 security services?
- 10 | A. I did.
- 11 Q. And those would include pat down persons?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q. Bag checkers?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q. Barricade linemen?
- 16 A. Not badge. Bag checkers.
- Q. Bag checkers. And some of the supervisors at the
- 18 gate?
- 19 | A. Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q. Would that be those persons that you just referred
- 21 to as controlling the ingress and egress or the flow or
- 22 movement of people?
- 23 | A. Yes, ma'am.
- 24 | Q. And you would expect those people to be registered
- 25 || as such?

A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

- \parallel Q. And the violation that you cited them for was what?
 - A. Not being registered as security personnel.

MS. MCLEARY: Okay.

5 EXAMINATION OF MR. ROGILLIO BY MR. GURVICH (CONT'D):

- Q. Was it the allegation of the board staff that
- 7 | F.E.S.S. registered none of these people?
 - A. By their own admission, they didn't send those in.
 - Q. In other words, no one was registered?
- 10 | A. Nope.
 - MR. THORNTON: Yes, sir. There were a few of them that were. Their names don't appear there.
 - MR. ROGILLIO: The ones that they are cited for are folks that are not registered, totally not registered.
- 16 BY MR. GURVICH:
 - Q. In other words, of these 159, none of them were registered; that is the contention of the board?
 - A. That's Mr. Thornton's investigation right here.
 - MR. GURVICH: Which we will certainly give to you and you have an opportunity to rebut that if that's in fact the case. Go ahead and proceed, then.
- 23 BY MS. MCLEARY:
- 24 Q. Is that still your contention today?
- 25 | A. Yes, ma'am.

1	MS. MCLEARY: I have nothing further.
2	MR. GURVICH: Just as an aside, how many people
3	were at the event? It's an open question to anyone. How
4	many contracted individuals were at the event? Was that
5	287?
6	MS. BAUER: Two eighty-seven.
7	MS. VINSON: Plus the 28 supervisors.
8	MS. MCLEARY: That's how many were billed for.
9	MR. GURVICH: Were billed. Okay. Whatever that
10	number is, which is below 300. And then we have 159 that
11	are alleged violations. All right. Thank you. I just
12	want to get the overall perspective of this thing here.
13	Does that conclude your case?
14	MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. I believe it does.
15	MR. GURVICH: Now, by the way, you do have the
16	opportunity to ask cross-examine Chief Rogillio.
17	MS. BAUER: Yes. I would like to.
18	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Bear with me one second.
19	Why don't you you've got your file in order too?
20	MS. MCLEARY: I have made a little bit of a mess
21	of it.
22	MR. GURVICH: Enter everything into the record.
23	MS. MCLEARY: Okay. I will. I think Ms. Ryland
24	has a complete uncut copy.
25	MR. GURVICH: I'm sorry. I got ahead of

- myself. You are invited now to cross-examine the chief.

 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MR. ROGILLIO BY MS. BAUER:
 - Q. Thank you. I just wanted to double-check. You just said that supervisors and the barricade line people are considered security?
 - A. Yes. We consider them as security.

4

5

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. Although you said right before that, that you didn't know what the barricade line people did?
- A. I took Mr. Thornton's word that those people were also doing security.
- Q. Okay. Well, he also said that he did not know what that meant, but he put it down because it had the word "barricade."
 - A. I also clarified my answer by saying that, if they are stopping ingress and egress, then that's security.
 - Q. Right. but they're not. They're standing along they put barricades, and they want them along the barricades. But they are directing the people how to enter the building. They are not stopping them from coming through or over barricades. So I'm trying to get clarification if it is or not. If it is, then I will make sure I make changes in the future. But I was under the impression that they were directing people.
 - A. I can only tell you what the rule says, and it says "control the regulation or direction of flow or movements

- of the public." That's movement of the public, is it not?
 - Q. That's not a directional person? I was under the impression that would be a directional person.
 - A. I guess that's a matter of interpretation.
 - Q. Right. And that's what I'm trying to get at. We interpret that obviously different, so.
 - MR. GURVICH: Further questions for Chief Rogillio?
- 10 BY MS. BAUER:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

- Q. One of the things that was brought up in that conversation was about our uniforms. I'm not sure if I should bring this up as a question, because, being that no one was present, is there any way to know for sure what our people were wearing? Anybody know 100 percent they were wearing security shirts?
 - A. We did not charge any of your people for not being in uniform or being out of uniform.
 - Q. Okay. I just I didn't know because she had brought that up, that, you know, make sure they were in uniform?
 - MS. BAUER: That's it.
 - MR. GURVICH: I believe Mr. Kennedy has a comment or a question.
- 25 EXAMINATION OF MS. BAUER BY MR. KENNEDY:

Yes, ma'am. Give me the definition of the "barricade worker" again that you just stated. 2 That they stand on the exterior part of the Α. Okay. 3 barricades directing people how to enter into the 4 building. 5 So that might fall under Item 1, Section 6 (8) (H): "control, regulation, or direction of the flow or 7 movements of the public, except on public streets"? 8 Α. It could. 9 MR. KENNEDY: Correct? Thank you. 10 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Further questions for Chief 11 Rogillio? 12 MS. BAUER: No, sir. 13 MR. GURVICH: Ms. Vinson. 14 MS. VINSON: What is the current status of 15 F.E.S.S. Security? Are they on probation, or have they 16 been on probation? 17 MR. ROGILLIO: No, ma'am. 18 MR. GURVICH: All right. Is that the board's 19 case in chief as completed? 2.0 MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir, it is. 21 Okay. Ms. Bauer, it's your 2.2 MR. GURVICH: opportunity to present your case. You may call 2.3 witnesses. You have the same opportunities that the 24 board had.

I do want to make it noted that our MS. BAUER: 1 staff members that were not in security positions were 2 wearing staff shirts. We have two different uniforms. 3 We have one that says "F.E.S.S. Security" and we have one 4 that says "F.E.S.S. Staff." Everybody in a staffing 5 position had a staff shirt on. So I do want to make that 6 noted, that they were not -- not that that was what the 7 violation was, but I still wanted to make that known. 8 EXAMINATION OF MS. BAUER BY MR. GURVICH: 9

- Q. So you're adverting now to the four supervisors?
- 11 A. Supervisors wear a shirt that just says
- "Supervisor." It does not state "security" on it either.
- 13 \parallel Q. Right.

10

- 14 | A. It just says "supervisor."
 - Q. Does it have a badge or anything of that nature?
- 16 | A. No, sir.
- 17 | Q. So your comment addressed the four supervisors?
- 18 A. That, as well as the people that were doing the
- 19 | barricade lines. And, I mean, everybody that was a
- 20 directional person had a staff shirt on. All of our
- personnel, when they first come work for us, they get a
- staff shirt. Nobody gets a security shirt until they
- actually get a security license. Then they would be
- given a security shirt.
- 25 EXAMINATION OF MS. BAUER BY MS. VINSON:

- Q. And when you are contracted to provide a certain number of security officers, do you make that determination over what whether you give them a security shirt or a staff shirt, based on what?
- 5 A. Right. But just --

6

7

8

16

17

18

21

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. The contract contracts for security officers.
- A. If they are in a staffing position, we give them a staff person.
- 9 Q. Even though the contract is for security officers or 10 quards?
- A. I was not aware that that's what the contract said.

 And I'm sure I can pull an old contract to see if that's

 actually in there, which it may very well have been

 changed for future contracts. But I'm not sure that it

 was in the other contract.
 - Q. Or your invoicing, when is it says that you are invoicing for guards —
 - A. Right.
- Q. it's 287 people. Are they guards that are wearing security guard shirts?
 - A. No.
 - MS. BAUER: May I bring this up. It's a question for my own knowledge. I don't know legal stuff, but is there a way to retract evidence? because that's private information. I mean, nobody asked that we could

give out our figures or numbers as far as that invoice goes. I mean, that's confidential information. So I'm just trying to figure out how — who authorized that information to be given out? Just for my own knowledge.

2.0

2.2

2.3

MR. GURVICH: Let me say this. I can explain. Nobody authorized it, but the question was asked. Now, as they say in courtrooms, the cat's already out of the bag. And had you all asked me for a protective order on that beforehand, I would have acknowledged it.

MS. BAUER: I didn't even know it was going to be presented.

MR. GURVICH: These are the sorts of things where having legal counsel might have assisted you. If y'all had asked me for a protective order, I would have arranged to protect that information. And I can certainly ask that everyone who has heard it in this room, please refrain from discussing it. But I don't have any authority to enforce that rule. It's unfortunate, but the cat is out of the bag.

And that's why you might consider, if you are ever in this situation again, have counsel come up and ask me in advance that you have some certain proprietary information you want protected, and I will order it protected.

But that didn't happen. It came up suddenly.

MS. BAUER: Right. I was unaware that they were presenting that. 2 It came up suddenly. Probably MR. GURVICH: 3 most competitors know approximately what other people's 4 rates are anyway, but there's not much I can do about it 5 at this juncture. 6 Right. MS. BAUER: 7 Now let me ask you this: MR. GURVICH: 8 Speaking, you know, while we are here, is there any other 9 proprietary information you wish me to preclude from open 10 testimony? 11 MS. BAUER: No, sir. 12 That's why sometimes all these MR. GURVICH: 13 lawyers actually can help occasionally. 14 MS. MCLEARY: Sometimes, sometimes. If I may, 15 in the regulation of the security, of the private 16 security industry, you know, the board does have subpoena 17 power. You guys do have visibility into some things 18 regularly that are protected that may otherwise be 19 proprietary. So in the regulation, in determining 2.0 whether someone is acting in compliance with law or not, 21 you know, certain things do need to be known from time to 2.2 time. 2.3 MR. GURVICH: And I would entertain a protective 24

order in that regard. Then we would have to work it out

1	in the back room and see what's open for public knowledge
2	and what could be protected. And I would have been
3	inclined to protect that information. Yes, sir.
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, but we
5	didn't even know about all of this until just now.
6	MR. GURVICH: All of the?
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All of the invoices that were
8	presented by the Dome to the board, until counsel
9	actually presented it and you requested that we be given
10	a copy.
11	MR. GURVICH: Well, we are just receiving it
12	ourselves. That's just the way it works.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I know, but had we known that,
14	maybe we would have requested that, because it's common
15	sense for us to know that.
16	MR. GURVICH: Well, is there any question about
17	of the authenticity of the documents presented?
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No, sir.
19	MR. GURVICH: All right. Well, it's your case,
20	your witnesses.
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: If I can ask Mr. Paisant a
22	question, is that possible?
23	MR. GURVICH: Well, I'll allow it if you want to
24	call him back to the stand. We have kind of let things
25	happen around here that you might not be able to do in a

courtroom. But if you want to re-call him, that is okay, if there are no objections.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: No. That's all right.

MS. MCLEARY: I have no objections.

MR. GURVICH: No? If you would, Mr. Paisant, could we ask you to come back up here to take the microphone. Hold up one second while she is changing her paper. Okay. We're ready to go back on record.

EXAMINATION OF MR. PAISANT BY MR. RODRIGUEZ:

- Q. I'm sorry. Mr. Paisant, you mentioned earlier that the invoices and the sign-in sheets that we provided was by us, correct?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

- Q. And you also mentioned that we actually staff these positions, correct, based on the order that the Dome requests?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. So and I didn't ask you this earlier, and I apologize. Is it fair to say that, when you give us these numbers and this request, we're going to staff them, but we are going to staff them according to what you want, based on the requirements by the state, which means that if it's a security position, we have to staff that position with a security guard, correct?
 - A. Repeat your question one more time.

- Q. We staff. You give us the order, the request, for the staff. And even though there are names on there, we have to provide an actual security person there, unless they are a directional person. So we are actually the ones that's putting these names on these sheets, correct?
- A. Let me answer it this way. On this work order that
 we send to F.E.S.S., it has "F.E.S.S.," the word either
 "security" or "security supervisor" next to it, the
 number, and the position. So when you look through this,
 and wherever there is F.E.S.S., there is the word
 "security" next to it, we as contracting with F.E.S.S.,
 would assume that that would be a security person.
 - Q. Correct. Okay. On the invoice, which is the first invoice that counsel presented to us, it's all listed under Guards, correct, Security Guards and Supervisors; those are the only two categories on it, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

- Q. But you also request directional people, people movers, barricades, but those are not guards?
- A. I don't think that's a determination that SMG can make. We send the request to F.E.S.S., and I think that's a decision --
- Q. I guess my point that I'm trying to make to you is
 that you're just looking at the facts sheet; nothing that

you're doing, this is what you're requesting, but there 1 is actual non-working guards that are working at the Dome 2 for F.E.S.S., correct, the direction of people, the 3 people movers, the staff? 4 Once again, I will say that we are requesting 287 5 That determination may be between the security 6 contractor and the board for definition of what those 7 positions may have. But when we're requesting through 8 our contract, we are requesting security quards, we're 9 requesting. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 11 MR. GURVICH: Ms. Lockett has a comment or a 12 question. 13 MS. LOCKETT: I have a question in regard to 14 that. 15 EXAMINATION OF MR. PAISANT BY MS. LOCKETT: 16 Is there -- are there any steps that are taken by Ο. 17 SMG, once the event is taking place, to confirm that 18 these individuals that you have requested as quards are 19 actually guards? 2.0 What we do is, we have a security manager that is 21 responsible for gate management, who goes around and 2.2 ensures that the number that we requested for that gate 2.3 number-wise is there, such as the number of bag checkers, 24

number of pat down folks, and so on. So they have a good

1	idea that those number of people are what we're being
2	eventually going to be filled for and they're present.
3	And we follow it up with the invoice and the signed
4	papers.
5	Q. And you automatically assume that, because you
6	requested security officers, that that's what you're
7	getting?
8	A. Yes, ma'am.
9	MR. GURVICH: Further questions for
10	Mr. Paisant?
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No, sir.
12	MR. GURVICH: Thank you. Ms. Vinson.
13	MS. VINSON: Do you invoice separately for
14	people that aren't security officers under different
15	title designations?
16	MS. BAUER: No, ma'am.
17	MR. KENNEDY: Why?
18	MS. BAUER: I don't do the invoicing portion of
19	it, but our office manager. It's just the way it's
20	always been done. I don't think it's ever been
21	designated which ones were guards, which ones are staff.
22	Being that it's all the same rate, we don't break it down
23	any further. We just lump it all together.
24	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Well, it's still your case
25	in chief. Do you have any questions for are you

finished with, I guess, your witness?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir.

MS. BAUER: I guess the only question I have is, if we determined out of those categories which are security and which are not. I don't know if that's been determined. I'm not sure.

MR. GURVICH: Well, I think that's the crux of the whole issue. But your case that you wish to present before the board, is it finished?

MS. BAUER: Yes, sir.

MR. GURVICH: I mean, is there any crossexamination that you wish to do of any of the witnesses or the guards or?

MS. MCLEARY: I think we could clarify that point a tad should the board require it. I mean, I know you have a working knowledge of what you and the board have — I'm sorry — a working knowledge of what you have determined constitutes provision of security services or not. If you would like additional testimony or clarification as to whether their designations of staff or directional persons, you know, terms that they have come up with in their company, if you would like clarification on that. But I thought that was clear enough.

MR. GURVICH: I just happen to have the laws and

- the rules right here, which we can get into momentarily.
- 2 | But I think Ms. Vinson had a comment or a question.
- 3 EXAMINATION OF MS. BAUER BY MS. VINSON (CONT'D):
- Q. When an employee receives their paycheck, is it coming, is it all still coming from F.E.S.S. Security?
 - A. No. It comes from F.E.S.S. Staffing.
 - Q. And their, like, description on their stub, does it say "quard"?
 - A. It doesn't have a description on it.

MR. GURVICH: Well, if you have finished your case, then you have finished your case.

MS. MCLEARY: If I could ask Ms. Bauer, maybe — EXAMINATION OF MS. BAUER BY MS. MCLEARY:

Q. What does a barker do?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

- A. They stand out in front of the lines and basically direct the patrons how to enter the building. If there's we have male and female pat—downs. So males come this way, females this way. There are people that are standing out in front, people come with, how do I get in, where is the box office, where is the you know, they are more informational people standing out in front of the lines to prevent them from getting all the way up to a checkpoint where they don't have their ticket or, you know, or they're in the wrong line, so.
- Q. What about people movers?

- 1 A. They are outside with blow horns on all the
- 2 | perimeter telling people -- they will get calls on the
- radios saying, Gate G is bottlenecked, get people to move
- 4 | Gate H or A. So they are out there telling people,
- 5 | Please keep moving, don't stand along, you know, Move to
- 6 the next gate.
- 7 | Q. And I believe there was a little bit of testimony
- 8 about barricade line persons, but what does a barricade
- 9 | line person do?
- 10 A. Like I said before, they stand along the barricade
- 11 | lines. And maybe that's a bad terminology to use, but
- 12 | that's just because of where they stand. They stand
- along the barricades at the gates to direct people how to
- enter, because the way that the gates are set up, you
- don't go straight into a door. There's barricades that
- kind of make it like a loop, so they come in alongside of
- 17 | the doors. So they stand there to let them know to go
- 18 | around to the sides and come in.
- 19 Q. And they wouldn't let them through the barricades
- into the areas that are barricaded off?
- 21 | A. That is all attached. I mean, there's no way to
- 22 | go.
- Q. If you have been to a parade, you have seen somebody
- 24 hop over a barricade.
- 25 | A. There's police officers. There's police officers

posted there by the barricades.

2.0

2.2

2.3

MS. MCLEARY: Okay. Thank you for your time.

MR. GURVICH: To get back to the point, there is a very broad definition at the beginning of the Security Law 37:3272, and I think it begins with Subpart 18, a very broad definition. Now, this board recognized years ago that there was a potential problem confusing security with more mundane ticket-taking type of duties. And so the board passed a rule.

And I want to read that rule to you. And it's enshrined in Section 101, Chapter 1, Section 101, Part 2. It says: "Professions specifically excluded from this definition are ticket takers."

But there are no — none of the persons here that we are dealing with today were ticket takers; is that correct?

MS. BAUER: Correct.

MR. GURVICH: Ushers, now, that's an arguable point which we'll get back to. Wristband monitors, I don't know if that applies here. Meeting monitors, parking attendants, crowd counters, badge checkers, information personnel. So, I mean, maybe there were some informational personnel and maybe there were some ushers, you know, that sort of thing.

But if you are not enshrined, encapsulated in this

little paragraph of the rules and regulations, then you are still considered security, because I'm pretty sure that all the other people out there were involved in some actions where they're going to fall under the very broad definition of Subpart 18, which is very broad.

2.0

2.2

2.3

There an additional problem. I have gone through some of your individual cases. And some of these people, during the course of their duties, were transferred from one role to another.

MS. BAUER: They weren't transferred from roles. That was what was on the sign-in sheet. I wrote that they were assigned, because that's what they counted up. So if they were assigned as a Gate B bag checker and they moved to a barker, they moved paperwork-wise, what it was listed to be and what they actually did. They didn't —

MR. GURVICH: Well, I think I saw one case where a guy started off in Position A and was transferred into Position B —

MS. BAUER: Nobody was transferred into the -- I mean, I can clarify.

MR. GURVICH: -- or words to that effect.

MS. BAUER: Yes. It was the way that they were — wherever they were assigned to work and where they actually worked.

MR. GURVICH: All right. So, people movers, of whom you said there are seven, these guys walk around 2 with megaphones, blow horns, and tell people what to do? 3 MS. VINSON: So they direct people. 4 MR. GURVICH: Well, they direct people, but, 5 then again, so do ushers, and ushers are excluded. 6 Barricade lines stand along the lines and direct 7 folks. Well, they are directing people. So are ushers. 8 But they are also preventing people from going inside the 9 barricade. I mean it's -- do you see my point for the 10 board, not my point, but the board's assertion? 11 MS. BAUER: Right. 12 MR. GURVICH: And I'm going to get to you, sir, 13 in a moment. 14 Supervisors, well, you know, maybe that's 15 something. One other thing: There were four Superdome 16 positions. Do you know of your own knowledge what those 17 folks did? 18 MS. BAUER: I do not know. I do know that they 19 went and changed uniforms into a Superdome uniform. 20 were not even wearing one of our uniforms, but I do not 21 know where they were placed once they were handed over. 2.2 MR. GURVICH: Okay. That presents an 2.3 interesting point in its own right. Well, at any rate, 24

we have the five subcategories we've got to deal with.

And as I say, you start from the premise that, quite a lot of things, virtually everything is covered by security. Everything that you were doing at the Dome was covered under the original security statute, unless it was excluded later by a rule that this board made to try and cover situations like this. And if you are a ticket taker or an usher or a badge checker or informational personnel or a crowd counter or things of that ilk, then you are not covered.

2.0

2.2

2.3

But just most everything else, it strikes me personally — I don't know mean to speak for the board — as something that's covered. So that's the two points of reference that we're dealing with. And that's why I'm sure that Ms. Vinson and others are trying to get a little more definitive idea of what was going on with when someone is a barricade linesman, say, which is the majority — I'm sorry. The barker setting.

MS. VINSON: I think she cleared it up for me when she invoiced for guards. To me, she's — they are admitting that they — they are saying that they provided that many guards. And when you invoice for guards, that's what you are providing.

MR. GURVICH: Well, let me make this suggestion, unless someone disagrees strongly. I would appreciate your final comments on these five categories and

responding to questions, at the conclusion of which, we can, say, taken people movers and you can discuss what it was they do. And then I or someone will make a motion as to whether we feel it's covered. And we can go down the list. Is that acceptable? I think it does address these items specifically.

MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman.

MR. GURVICH: Yes.

2.0

2.2

2.3

MR. ROMERO: Just one question: When — directed to Mr. Rodriguez. When the board established the rule that Mr. Chairman is discussing now, if my memory serves me correctly, you, as well as Mr. Marsh, were present when that rule was established. And if my memory serves me correctly, you and Mr. Marsh provided input specifically as it applied to your business regarding what were security duties and what were not security duties. Is my memory serving me correct?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. Mr. Chairman — but I wanted to say something, and I'm glad you brought that up.

In the past, there was some discussion. And there were some other people in the room that we were trying to clarify what was security, what was directional. And I can tell you that directional people were just directional people.

It was never really set. They were supposed to vote on it. They were supposed to bring it up. It went on for months. We even had transcripts. And that was supposed to happen. And we never got any notification. But we did spend an amount of time, and there's several other people here that were present, and we all had input.

2.0

2.2

2.3

And I can tell you that a person at a barricade that's closed, that's locked, and you've got a policeman behind it and we're just there to tell them which way to go, that wasn't considered security, just like the ticket takers, just like the ushers, and some other — required some other job descriptions.

But that was supposed to be voted on. And I guess it must have happened and we weren't notified. But for a couple of months, nothing was said to us. But, yes, we did have that discussion. And everybody in this room and, of course, with some other folks here, made their inputs, because security is security.

I mean, we do a business that other people don't touch us, very few. I mean, the Dome can call us and say, give us an invoice, and say, we need 200 people. But at the last minute, the day before, they could say we need 80 more people. You know, those are things that are hard to manage sometimes.

I'm not trying to circumvent this. We -- I 2 apologize to the board, and my respect to the chairman, for having these violations. We have been in business 14 3 years. We've only come before this board once, 120 4 violations reduced to 6. The times that I have been 5 here, I have seen and heard other cases. I mean, we are 6 not perfect. We admit to that. And, again, my apologies 7 for this. 8 9 But the job descriptions, specifications have not been really clear. And this has been an issue that's 10 been going on for a while. 11 12

MR. GURVICH: But it is in the law. And you are charged with knowledge of the law. You are charged with knowledge of the rules and regulations that are promulgated pursuant to the law. You get this same book that I am reading from.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

MR. RODRIGUEZ: But the last time we had this discussion about it, there were going to be some changes And the recommendations that we made on that everybody had input, I have not seen that.

MR. GURVICH: Well, we're just dealing with what's the law today. I mean, that's the bottom line.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I understand.

EXAMINATION OF MS. BAUER BY MR. GURVICH (CONT'D):

So let me ask you this: People movers, of which 0.

- 1 | there are seven, they walk around in the crowd with a
- 2 megaphone. Do they have any other duties?
- 3 || A. No.
- 4 | Q. All right. The barricadesmen stand by the
- 5 | barricades, I would assume --
- $6 \parallel A. \text{ Yes.}$
- $7 \parallel 0$. And do what?
- 8 | A. And direct people how to enter into that gate, being
- 9 that you see a door on the other side of the barricade.
- 10 You know, it's more of an informational thing of how to
- 11 go around and enter through the sides because of the way
- 12 | that it's set up.
- 13 Q. Now, supervisors had on supervisory uniforms and --
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.
- 15 | Q. -- they were not provided security duties?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. Okay. Now, with four Superdome positions, which for
- 18 | lack of any evidence, I would have to assume that, if the
- 19 | Superdome security folks asked for four people, they were
- 20 probably working security. I don't know what else they
- 21 | would do.
- 22 A. Yeah -- I mean, they have ushering positions as
- 23 | well. And I don't know. I couldn't answer that. They
- $24 \parallel ask for.$
- 25 MR. GURVICH: Let me just -- I hate, hesitate to

call anybody back for the third trip to the stand, but do
we have definitive knowledge of where these four people
went?

MR. PAISANT: No, sir. I know they were — they went in security, but they did not go in to be an usher. They wore security shirts. We asked F.E.S.S for their TBAs. They sent us four of them, and we placed them in yellow shirts, which are our security officers.

9 BY MR. GURVICH:

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2.

2.3

24

- Q. We are going to take that as testimony, which
 there's no one else to rebut as far as I know. I mean,
 this is a gentleman who was there. Is he incorrect?

 A. No. I'm not I can't say one way or the other,
- because I don't know. They asked for people. I gave them to him.
 - Q. Okay. Now, that leaves us with 47 barkers, and, if you would, once again, elaborate on what their job duties were on that date.
 - A. Okay. They stand out in front of the line that the chutes where they have everybody goes to get in to get bag-checked and to get patted down. They stand in front of those lines to direct the patrons how to, like males coming this way, females coming this way. If not, they all stand in one line, which causes the bottleneck effect of, you know, people going not getting in the building

quick enough or causing other problems. They stand right there and direct who comes this way, who comes this way.

They're also a form of — we actually were told specifically to make sure that we were telling people where the box office was, will-call, things like that. So these people were posted out in front to intermingle with the crowds and make the — you know.

- Q. And you're saying that they never become involved in the pat down activity --
- A. No, they did not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2.

2.3

24

25

- Q. -- or the search activity?
 - A. No, they did not.
 - MR. GURVICH: Further comments or questions of any of you board members are more than welcome to help me out.
 - MR. ROMERO: Mr. Chairman.
 - MR. GURVICH: Mr. Romero.

MR. ROMERO: I find it very much of a reach to think that the barricade people are not involved in some type of security action. Even with the law enforcement being present, I just think it's a reach. It's my personal opinion that it's a reach not to think that — again, Superdome is hiring you and they're requesting security officers. Your invoice says security officers. They are working in conjunction with law enforcement,

because, I would imagine, there's many more of your people than law enforcement. If someone tries to breach the barricades, is it your testimony that, in no circumstance would they try to prevent someone from going over the barricade or, if someone tries to move the barricade, that they wouldn't try to prevent them from moving that barricade? Is that your testimony?

2.0

2.2.

2.3

MS. BAUER: I would say that, first of all, they would not prevent anybody from going over the barricade, because they are not allowed to touch the patrons. They are not allowed to put their hands on anybody. As far as moving the barricades, I don't think they could move them because of the length of the barricades and the way that they are interlocked, you know.

And I want to make it clear too, I'm not trying to get out of — if I would have known it was a security position, I would have done applications on them just like I did the rest of the security positions. You know, that's — you know, that was my misunderstanding of what they — I knew what they were doing, but the interpretation that it could have been that they were doing security, that's where I messed up, I quess.

MR. ROMERO: And, again, it just seems, to me, to be a reach. The barricade itself is something that directs the flow of traffic, that there's no need for

individuals to direct the flow. The barricade by being a barricade directs the flow.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: When you — excuse me, if you don't mind me explaining.

MR. ROMERO: Sure.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

MR. RODRIGUEZ: When you have that amount of people there, they just tend to stay there and don't want to move. They want to talk amongst each other and conjugate. That's why the barricade is there, to keep them corralled in there. But the police officer is there to take care of any, any mischief or any type of security activity. We were just there to just tell them, keep moving, you know, and tell them which way to go and — or any questions they may need. And that was it.

It's not — and they wear staff uniforms. They had a staff shirt on, didn't have on a security shirt. You know, again, that's — you know, it's another vague line. We understand that it's just directional.

MR. GURVICH: Any further comments or questions? I've got a couple of motions or I guess we will move into our factfinding part of this. I would like to poll the board as regards the seven people movers. How many of you think they perform what we would consider a security function? And, I don't know, roll call.

I have a question. MR. BAER: 1 2 MR. GURVICH: What's your question directed to? EXAMINATION OF MS. BAUER BY MR. BAER: 3 Getting to that, like the people movers, who would 4 relieve these officers to use the rest room; would that 5 be the supervisor or the people movers? 6 7 Α. No. Who would relieve them? 8 9 When they are doing a gate, they have, when a ticket Α. taker shuts down and goes on break, that's when they go 10 on break, so the whole lane shuts down at one time. So 11 like our bag checkers and our pat down person that is 12 associated with those ticket takers, when the ticket 13 taker -- their door to go on break, that's when my lane 14 15 of people go on break. So they are not relieved. 16 MR. GURVICH: Well, in terms of factfinding, I'd 17 like to poll the board members. How many of you feel 18 that the people movers, the seven people movers, 19 performed security functions? And if we could poll the members, I quess, would be the best way to do this. 2.0 Somebody poll the members. 2.1 2.2. (Ms. Ryland polls board members.) 2.3 MR. GURVICH: Nay. 24 MS. VINSON: Yes.

MR. BAER: Yes.

1	MR. COTTON: Yes.
2	MR. KENNEDY: Yes.
3	MS. LOCKETT: No.
4	MR. ROMERO: No.
5	MR. GURVICH: Okay. The board finds that the
6	seven people movers are in fact security officials by a
7	vote of four yeas and three nays.
8	Now, on the subject of the barricade linesmen, and I
9	will go to the barkers last, my question for you is, are
10	they considered security?
11	MS. VINSON: Can you read the definitions.
12	MR. GURVICH: The barricade linesmen? I'll let
13	Ms. Bauer can direct or answer your question. Once
14	again, what was their specific function or duty or
15	duties?
16	MS. BAUER: To explain to the patrons how to
17	enter the building.
18	MR. GURVICH: Barricade lines?
19	MS. BAUER: Yes.
20	MR. KENNEDY: Didn't you say earlier
21	MS. BAUER: Direct?
22	MR. KENNEDY: to control the direction to
23	keep the thing flowing?
24	MS. BAUER: Right. They're here. The
25	barricades are in the middle of the gate, whereas the

entrances are on the sides of the gates. So they are 2 there, and they are telling them how to enter to the gate. People come by and say -- on their tickets, it 3 will say what gate they have to enter in, although they 4 5 don't have to, because it's a circular building. They don't understand. If they see Gate D, they will say I 6 don't know how to get into Gate D. And they will tell 7 them, you can come right around here and come in our gate 8 9 if they're at Gate E. They direct the people how to enter in the building. 10 MR. GURVICH: All right. If we can poll our 11 members on the barricade line and whether they perform 12 security functions. 13 (Ms. Ryland polls board members.) 14 MR. GURVICH: 15 No. MS. VINSON: 16 Yes. 17 MR. BAER: Yes. MR. COTTON: Yes. 18 19 MR. KENNEDY: Yes. 2.0 MS. LOCKETT: Yes. MR. ROMERO: 21 Yes. 2.2. MR. GURVICH: Okay. So the board finds by vote 2.3 a six to one that the barricade linesmen are in fact security. 24

25

Now, on the four supervisors, I would again like to

```
poll the board as to whether they fulfill the security
 2
     functions.
      (Ms. Ryland polls board members.)
 3
 4
               MR. GURVICH:
                             No.
 5
               MS. VINSON:
                            Yes.
               MR. BAER: Yes.
 6
 7
               MR. COTTON:
                            Yes.
               MR. KENNEDY: Yes.
 8
 9
               MS. LOCKETT:
                            No.
               MR. ROMERO:
                           Yes.
10
                            Okay. The board finds that the
11
               MR. GURVICH:
     supervisors fulfill a security function by a vote of five
12
     to two.
13
          Now, on the four Superdome positions, let's again
14
     poll the board staff -- I'm sorry, board members as to
15
     whether they are considered security.
16
      (Ms. Ryland polls board members.)
17
18
               MR. GURVICH:
                            Yea.
               MS. VINSON:
                            Yes.
19
2.0
               MR. BAER: Yes.
               MR. COTTON:
21
2.2
               MR. KENNEDY: Yes.
23
               MS. LOCKETT: Yes.
24
               MR. ROMERO:
                            Yes.
                            Okay. The board finds unanimously
25
               MR. GURVICH:
```

that the four Superdome positions are in fact security. 2 And now we go to the 47 barkers. Are there any further comments or questions before we proceed to the 3 polling of the board members? 4 Would you read us that definition 5 MR. KENNEDY: one more time, Mr. Chairman. 6 MR. GURVICH: Well, I'm going to let Ms. Bauer 7 do that. 8 9 MS. BAUER: The barkers stand in front of the line, the chutes, where people enter in order to get 10 bag-checked or pat down. They stand in front and they 11 would tell the people, this is the male line, this is the 12 female line. They also are there as an informational 13 person to tell people where certain items are: box 14 office, will-call, things like that. 15 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Anything, any further 16 comments or questions? Okay. Then let's poll the board 17 18 members. 19 (Ms. Ryland polls board members.) 2.0 MR. GURVICH: Nay. MS. VINSON: 21 Yes. MR. BAER: Yes 2.2. 2.3 MR. COTTON: Yes. 24 MR. KENNEDY: Yes. MS. LOCKETT: No. 25

1	MR. ROMERO: No.
2	MR. GURVICH: The board finds by a vote of 4
3	yeas and 3 nays that the 47 barkers also fall within the
4	purview of a security function.
5	MS. MCLEARY: Mr. Gurvich, if I could, this
6	presents a little issue. We just have to make sure I
7	don't know the numbers in those categories, if they added
8	up to the 71 69 67?
9	MR. GURVICH: Let's go with the lowest number.
10	And we are giving you a break there, so
11	MS. MCLEARY: The only reason I bring it up is
12	because Mr. Thornton did not count those listed as people
13	movers in his initial listing of violations.
14	MS. BAUER: Those were my TBAs that moved to the
15	position of people movers.
16	MS. MCLEARY: No. There were positions listed
17	as people movers, so.
18	MR. GURVICH: Well, they say that it was seven
19	people, and I'm prepared to accept it.
20	MS. MCLEARY: Okay.
21	MR. GURVICH: So the board has therefore found
22	that these additional 69 individuals did in fact fall
23	under the purview of security. So the question now
24	becomes what fines or disciplinary action the board
25	wishes to assess. Now, remember, there has been a

previous stipulation about 90 of these violations. And we now have a factfinding determination that an 2 3 additional 69 occurred. Chief. 4 MR. ROGILLIO: I just want to comment, bear in mind, whatever penalties you assess, the applications 5 that were never turned into this office are still --6 either they may have been turned in today. I am not sure 7 if they brought them with them or not. But those 8 9 applications are going to be late. Each one of those applications is late. That's a fine. 10 MR. GURVICH: Well, it's not in this Charge 11 Letter, so we can't address it. But you can later. 12 MR. ROGILLIO: We can assess that. 13 MR. GURVICH: But I am strictly concerned here 14 15 today with this Charge Letter of May 23rd, 2012. 16 that's not relevant to today's purposes. All right. The 17 floor is open. Do we have a motion? 18 MR. BAER: I have a question on that. 19 MR. GURVICH: Mr. Baer. MR. BAER: So we know that there is a violation, 2.0 but you can't address it now? I mean, if they have not 2.1 received any of these applications, we know that's a 2.2. 2.3 fine. 24 MS. MCLEARY: Would we move to amend the --MR. GURVICH: I wouldn't do that. They're here. 25

MR. BAER: Otherwise, they're going to come back 1 2 and do the same thing. MR. GURVICH: Well, I may do that. But let me 3 tell you this. This is an Administrative Procedure Act 4 hearing. This is, if you will, a Bill of Information on 5 a Charge Letter here. And this is the total universe of 6 what we can address today. To go beyond that effects 7 (inaudible) constitutional and other issues. There may 8 9 be other problems down the road with these late fees and so forth. It's not in this letter, and we're here today 10 to deal with these charges in this letter. 11 MS. MCLEARY: And, Mr. Gurvich, isn't that 12 typically dealt with by a staff action? 13 MR. GURVICH: 14 Yes. MS. MCLEARY: And then appealable. So it could 15 be dealt with by staff action should that determination 16 be made. 17 18 MR. GURVICH: Now, do we have a motion? MR. COTTON: Mr. Chairman. 19 MR. GURVICH: Is that Mr. Cotton down there? 2.0 MR. COTTON: Yes, sir. 21 2.2 MR. GURVICH: The floor the yours. 2.3 MR. COTTON: Of course, I want to cover any of 24 the administrative fines, including our witness fees, if that's appropriate, for these gentlemen. We had two we

1	wanted to bring up. Each one of these counts, my move,
2	it would be a \$250 per violation, plus six months'
3	suspension of the license with an audit.
4	MR. GURVICH: That's six months' suspension, not
5	probation?
6	MR. COTTON: No, sir.
7	MS. RYLAND: With an audit when?
8	MR. COTTON: Any time during that, during the
9	six months.
10	MR. GURVICH: And what are our fees and costs?
11	MR. ROGILLIO: \$125.
12	MR. GURVICH: By the way, there is, somewhere ir
13	here, to suspend or revoke a license, we have to have a
14	certain minimum number of votes.
15	MR. ROGILLIO: We have witness fees,
16	Mr. Gurvich.
17	MR. GURVICH: All right. So you have a witness,
18	the administrative fees.
19	MR. ROGILLIO: The \$125.
20	MR. GURVICH: So \$125 and 159 violations at \$250
21	each, does anybody have a calculation?
22	MS. MCLEARY: Mr. Gurvich, you had asked to
23	the law of just the majority of the voting members of the
24	board shall constitute a quorum for all purposes,
25	including granting or issuing of licenses rule-making

1	in an adjudicative function.
2	MR. GURVICH: There's something else about
3	revocations and suspensions.
4	MS. MCLEARY: Okay. It does say all actions.
5	(Off the record discussion.)
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Chairman.
7	MR. GURVICH: I'm going to get to you in a
8	minute. I'm just doing housekeeping right here.
9	MS. MCLEARY: All right. Mr. Gurvich, four
10	concurring votes of the board shall be required for the
11	revocation of any license.
12	MR. GURVICH: Does it say suspension?
13	MS. MCLEARY: It does say no, sir. Just
14	revocation.
15	MR. ROGILLIO: No. It says suspension.
16	MS. MCLEARY: Not right there.
17	MR. ROGILLIO: Right here. Look.
18	MS. RYLAND: Y'all are looking at two different
19	things.
20	MS. MCLEARY: Oh, yes, sir. Again, four.
21	MR. GURVICH: Four concurring votes, which is a
22	majority anyway.
23	MS. MCLEARY: Right.
24	MR. GURVICH: All right. So 39,875 total fines
25	and costs, \$39,875. That includes the \$125 admin fee.

1	Now, do we have a second to Mr. Cotton's motion?
2	MR. KENNEDY: I'll second.
3	MR. GURVICH: Second by Mr. Kennedy. So we have
4	a motion on the floor. Now, final debate/discussion.
5	Everybody will have a chance to speak their piece.
6	Mr. Romero.
7	MR. ROMERO: I didn't mean to interrupt.
8	MR. GURVICH: The floor is yours.
9	MR. ROMERO: Mr. Cotton, would you consider
10	amending your motion to not allow for the suspension,
11	based on the amount proposed for the fine?
12	MR. COTTON: I would, but I'd like to substitute
13	that to, if not suspension, then at least probation for
14	six months.
15	MR. GURVICH: All right. Mr. Cotton is
16	MR. COTTON: With the audit.
17	MR. GURVICH: All right. He is asserting that
18	we change the word "suspension" to "probation." Any
19	objection to the board members to that amendment? Okay.
20	Then we will proceed on the motion as amended. An audit,
21	I guess, would occur in the course of, what, six months?
22	MR. ROGILLIO: Six months.
23	MR. GURVICH: Okay. All right. Final words.
24	Board?
25	MS. MCLEARY: No, sir.

1 | MR. GURVICH: Ma'am?

2.0

2.2.

2.3

2.5

MS. BAUER: Yes. I want to ask, being that with those 90 violations that we admitted that were doing security positions, we do have those applications. I do have the termination paperwork on that. It was all done in a timely manner. It was just not turned in, which I completely took that fault. I did not turn it in.

So I'm asking if there's any way, at least with those 90, by turning those in, if the penalty can be — the fine can be lowered by turning those in and not have the same outcome for both the 90 and the 69?

MR. GURVICH: Well, it's Mr. Cotton's motion.

MR. COTTON: I'm going to stand.

MR. GURVICH: All right. Further comments/questions/debate/discussion? If not, I will call -- Mr. Rodriguez.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah. I'd like to ask the board to consider this. This is, respectfully, we apologize. This is something that's not for us to do. We normally are on top of everything. Unfortunately, we had too much events. And we — you know, it's not excuse, but it happened. We have been in the business 14 years. Your intent, I know, is not to hurt us. All we're asking is that, when you make your votes, consider, you know, what we have to lose as well.

I mean, I have employees. I have staff. And it's a 2 lot of people it's going to hurt. I mean, this may put me out of business. But I just ask the board to consider 3 4 it. You know, we have never been up here before, except 5 for today and one year ago, and we were able to take care of that. We're not chronic violators, but, you know, we 6 do ask that you consider this. I mean, this is 7 livelihoods for all of us. Thank you. 8 9 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Now let us poll the members. 10 11 (Ms. Ryland polls board members.) 12 MR. GURVICH: Nay. MS. VINSON: Yes. 13 MR. BAER: Yes. 14 MR. COTTON: 15 Yes. MR. KENNEDY: 16 Yes. 17 MS. LOCKETT: Nay. MR. ROMERO: 18 Yes. MR. GURVICH: Okay. The motion passes, five 19 2.0 yeas, two nays. And you all have 30 days to pay the fine and perhaps, I don't know, considering the amount of the 21 fine, perhaps you could give them some leeway. 2.2. 2.3 MR. ROGILLIO: You can make a motion to that effect. 24

MR. GURVICH: I make a motion to give them up to

1	90 days to pay the fine?
2	MR. ROGILLIO: That's fine.
3	MR. ROMERO: Second.
4	MR. GURVICH: Any second all in favor say
5	aye.
6	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
7	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? Thank you. The
8	motion passed unanimously.
9	MS. VINSON: I'd like to make another motion.
10	MR. GURVICH: The floor is yours, Ms. Vinson.
11	MS. VINSON: If F.E.S.S. gets the applications
12	in within do you have them ready now? Are they with
13	you?
14	MS. BAUER: Yes.
15	MS. VINSON: Okay. If they get them in within
16	we will not charge any late fees for the applications,
17	so if they get them in within three days, we'll waive all
18	late fees.
19	MR. GURVICH: I'll second the motion. Debate?
20	Discussion? Chief.
21	MR. BAER: Quick question.
22	MR. GURVICH: Well, Chief was first.
23	MR. ROGILLIO: You're not waiving all fees?
24	MS. VINSON: No. Late fees.
25	MR. ROGILLIO: Okay. Just the late fees. Okay.

1	I wanted to understand that.
2	MS. RYLAND: The fine, the administrative fine.
3	MS. VINSON: Yeah, the administrative.
4	MR. BAER: You say you have all those with you
5	today?
6	MS. BAUER: The 90, yeah yes.
7	MR. BAER: Are you talking about the ones you
8	agreed to?
9	MS. BAUER: The 90, yes.
10	MR. BAER: But the other 69, no?
11	MS. BAUER: They didn't have applications,
12	because I put them into what I thought were staffing
13	positions.
14	MR. GURVICH: Well, we have a motion. All in
15	favor of the motion as stated say aye.
16	ALL BOARD MEMBERS, EXCEPT MR. BAER: Aye.
17	MR. GURVICH: Okay. The motion passed
18	unanimously.
19	MR. BAER: No.
20	MR. GURVICH: No? I'm sorry. One dissent.
21	Motion passes six yeas, one nay. I would suggest this to
22	you: I dissented. These problems are egregious. I
23	don't regard it as the board's fault that they haven't,
24	you know, enunciated precisely what you're doing as to
25	whether it's security or not. We will never be able to

address every individual activity at these sites. There seems to be a systemic failure here. I voted against the motion, because I agreed with your point that we should have assessed the lesser fine for the 90 violations in particularly. But there is a real problem. And I hope you guys address it. Now, I think it was that the probation is for how long?

MS. VINSON: Six months.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

MR. GURVICH: Six months. It could have been for longer. So I would certainly clarify, in the future, Chief, if there is a question about whether something is going to be security or not, I'm sure that the board and the staff would try and be as helpful as possible to try to determine what was and what wasn't security.

MR. ROGILLIO: Well, I think we did just that in this case. I mean, Mr. Thornton went through there. And everything that was security in our opinion is what they were charged with. All those others, he didn't even consider.

MR. GURVICH: And I know you all will be available if they have any questions about things in the future and the different venues and programs, whatever they may be, you know, trying to service.

Go to board for help.

Ms. Vinson, did you have a comment or a question?

 M°

MS. VINSON: No.

_

2.2

2.3

о г

MR. GURVICH: Anyway, anything further on this point? Thank you for being here. I'm sorry we couldn't help you with the findings.

That completes the hearings, right?

NEW BUSINESS, S.A.F.E. MANAGEMENT

MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir, it does. We have the issue of the S.A.F.E. Management thing that we probably ought to --

MR. GURVICH: S.A.F.E. Management. Do you want to present that to the board?

MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir, I'd like to. If I can explain, as you all probably know, the Super Bowl is scheduled to be held in New Orleans next year. There is a company by the name of S.A.F.E. Management that is a security company that we have caused them to get licensed with this board.

Now, the issue is, and I have — they have e-mailed me, with they want a response from me about what the problems are with personnel, that they are going to employ people who are going to be registered with other companies such as ABC Company. But they are going to wear the uniform of S.A.F.E. Management, which is a problem, because, in the rule, it says that they have to wear the uniform for the company they are employed by.

So I talked to Mr. Blackburn, who could not be here, as you well know, today. And he said that he thought that maybe what the board might want to consider as an option if y'all so do so, is to suspend that rule by an emergency rule for this purpose of the Super Bowl only. Otherwise, they would have to register with S.A.F.E. Management as their personnel, even though they are already registered with whatever company.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

MR. GURVICH: Right. This has to do with people who are already registered here. And the problem isn't S.A.F.E. Management. It is that the NFL absolutely mandates the wearing of a specific uniform, which, I think, is just a red shirt and khaki pants. The concern they had is that — S.A.F.E. Management has indicated, by my likes, anyway, as they really do want to comply with our laws, but there is an issue. If you had XYZ Security Company in a S.A.F.E. Management uniform, would they have to be registered and repay all the fees and this would be something that would happen for a week.

MS. VINSON: What about dual?

MR. GURVICH: Well, for dual, the cost there would be --

MR. ROGILLIO: I suggested to -- well,
Mr. Gurvich and I discussed this with the gentlemen, two
gentleman, with S.A.F.E. Management. They are --

1	understand, they are following all the guidelines of the
2	NFL, which is major, and they want to do everything
3	MR. GURVICH: The security check that the NFL is
4	going to mandate on all these people is probably a lot
5	more than ours.
6	MR. ROGILLIO: Right. They're going to do
7	federal checks, not by fingerprints, but they're going to
8	do federal checks as I'm told. But, again, for us, the
9	problem is that they would be wearing a S.A.F.E.
10	management uniform, but they might be registered with
11	your company, which is a problem.
12	MS. VINSON: Right. But if they do a dual
13	registration
14	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, that's what I had
15	originally suggested. I think what it is, they don't
16	want to have to pay the fee, maybe.
17	MS. VINSON: What's the fee for a dual
18	registration?
19	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, if they only work less than
20	20 days, it's only going to be \$40.
21	MR. GURVICH: Well, and it's \$30 if they were
22	just temporary registrants?
23	MS. RYLAND: No.
24	MR. ROGILLIO: If it's an emergency assignment,
25	it's \$30 And this is not an emergency

1

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2.

2.3

24

25

MR. ROGILLIO: But, see, Frank said, if you do

I'm not sure -- so here's the --MR. GURVICH: I'm not sure they are in violation, because they are wearing a specific uniform from S.A.F.E. But from my understanding, the hang tag will have -- a large hang tag will have the name of the security company for whom the individual actually works.

MR. ROGILLIO: Right.

So you will have a company ID on MR. GURVICH: the person, but it won't be the uniform. It will be the ID. But I think we should stipulate it would have to be at least three-quarters- or one-inch-high letters.

MR. ROGILLIO: The rule that we operate under says that they have to wear the uniform of the company they are employed by. And S.A.F.E. Management is going to contract with XYZ and pay XYZ X-number of dollars to turn around and pay those employees, so --

MS. MCLEARY: And if I could, rather than suspension of that rule, would be, there is time. I There is sufficient time. We will be mean, it's June. getting into the fall, but we will be part of that process to either amend that for -- address the specific one rather than suspend it, because we don't suspend it for one company. If we suspend that rule, it's for everyone.

1	it by emergency rule let's say you request suspension
2	of the rule. It's only good for 90 days, and it
3	expires. So if you did a rule suspending the current
4	rule for 90 days, it's going to expire in that 90 days,
5	and we go back to the original rule that was in place.
6	MR. GURVICH: Is this a law, or is it a rule?
7	MR. ROGILLIO: This is a rule.
8	MR. GURVICH: Can we get a copy of that,
9	please?
10	MR. ROMERO: Is the issue just the uniform, or
11	is it the registration? because if it's just the
12	uniform, couldn't the companies that are participating in
13	the program submit the uniform for approval?
14	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, S.A.F.E. Management has
15	already submitted the uniform that they are going to use
16	and they are going to provide. But it may be somebody,
17	say, from your company who is going to be wearing a
18	S.A.F.E. Management uniform, which would cause a
19	violation of that rule.
20	MR. GURVICH: He is suggesting that, say, if his
21	company would submit a picture with a S.A.F.E. Management
22	uniform.
23	MR. ROMERO: And you would have to approve it.
24	MS. RYLAND: No, because your company has to
25	have a natch that states the name of vour company on the

uniform.

2.0

2.2.

2.3

MR. ROGILLIO: And they don't want — obviously going to hire something like 2,000 people. And they don't want to have 2,000 different uniforms. So they are going to provide the uniform. They are going to do the background. They're going to do like a five-hour training which is not for us to worry about, because it's not the 16 hours that we require. But they are going to do some training, and they're going to provide the uniform. But they are going to go out and hire people who are already, so they say, already registered as security officers, whether they be with Company A, Company B, Company C, or whatever. They're probably going to have to hire from a lot of companies.

MS. VINSON: Right. Like is there a plan to come in and say, hey, I need ten people from you for you to turn over to me for, you know, two weeks?

MR. ROGILLIO: It's my understanding that they are going to obviously solicit from licensed companies. And they specifically said that they wanted to follow the letter of the law and the companies would have to be licensed and the guards would have to be registered; otherwise, they don't want it.

MS. RYLAND: They want your registered guard using your card, wear their uniform. That's what they

want. 1 MS. VINSON: Right. But working like their 2 3 schedule and --MR. GURVICH: Here's what it says. It says, 4 after 110-A (as read by chairman): "All uniforms worn 5 by security officers shall contain the name of the 6 company for whom they are employed." 7 Now, they indicated there will be a relatively large 8 9 hang tag issued, credential issued with the uniform. Now, they have agreed that the hang tag will contain the 10 name of the subcontracting security company. 11 Now, that may, to me, meet the requirement of the 12 law, especially, as I suggested and now "Chip" has 13 suggested, that companies which seek to do this work send 14 15 in the photograph of S.A.F.E. Management's deal as will be their uniform for a limited period of time. 16 17 MS. MCLEARY: There are different requirements in the law also. That was just about to whose 18 uniform you have to use, but there are additional uniform 19 requirements in the law that I'm getting you now. 20 2.1 MS. VINSON: I have a question. It's in the statute? 2.2. MR. GURVICH: 2.3 MS. MCLEARY: Yes, sir. 24 MR. GURVICH: Okay. Shoot me down.

MS. MCLEARY: I'm getting you that now.

1	MS. VINSON: Is there I'm wondering too, is
2	there a different, like, dual application that we can
3	come up with just for this purpose and have
4	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, our application
5	MS. VINSON: and have like another like
6	smaller fee for it, you know?
7	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, again, if they only work
8	less than 20 days, it's going to give me \$30 to begin
9	with. But if they wanted to
10	MS. RYLAND: It's going to be \$40.
11	MR. ROGILLIO: \$40. I'm sorry. If they wanted
12	to dual-register those people, then that solves the
13	problem without having to go into the rule. And that
14	would be the way to do it.
15	MS. VINSON: That's what I'm thinking.
16	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes.
17	MR. KENNEDY: I don't see this as an emergency,
18	so I can't justify making this an emergency rule change.
19	MR. GURVICH: Ms. Lockett had a comment or a
20	question.
21	MS. LOCKETT: That's what I was getting ready to
22	say, that
23	MS. MCLEARY: Most of the time it's
24	health/safety welfare kind of emergency that they allow
25	us to suspend the rules for. I am specifically aware of

another similar board, Private Investigator Examiners, 2 who suspended a rule by emergency in it. And it has 3 become a problem. MS. VINSON: I realize it's going to be an 4 overwhelming amount of people. And I'm thinking, with 5 the dual app, is there a way that we can suspend the dual 6 app fee, or the dual application fee, for a period of 7 time that covers -- am I making sense? For a period of 8 9 time that covers that. MS. RYLAND: I don't think the issue is that. I 10 think the issue is the other company wearing the S.A.F.E. 11 12 Management uniform. MS. VINSON: Right, but if they do a dual 13 application with the S.A.F.E. Management and --14 15 MS. RYLAND: But it's already the rule what the fee is. 16 MS. VINSON: - if it comes in under this 17 18 company, then there is no fee for it, and then they get 19 to wear that uniform. MR. ROGILLIO: Well, the issue might be, if it's 2.0 your company that contracts with them, are you going to 21 pay the \$30 fee, or are you going to expect them to pay 2.2 That's going to be the issue. But that's something 2.3 that you can work out. 24

MS. VINSON: Is there a way, a time period, we

can waive the fee? 1 2 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. But that's something you can work out with S.A.F.E. Management in the contract 3 issue. Okay? But I'm saying that the dual registration 4 is probably the easiest and simplest way to get done with 5 this. 6 MS. VINSON: But my question is: Can we as a 7 board like suspend the dual app fee? 8 9 MR. ROGILLIO: I think you are going to cross a threshold when you do that, where some other people who 10 have to pay it are going to not like it, but that's --11 MR. GURVICH: Let me posit this: Let's assume 12 that XYZ Security Company sends in to the board a full-13 length photograph as required in the statute of somebody 14 15 wearing a S.A.F.E. uniform with the XYZ identifier hang 16 tag on that person's shoulder or collar. Does that meet 17 the requirement, I mean? MR. ROGILLIO: I don't think so. Maybe our 18 19 attorney can say whether it does or not, but. MS. MCLEARY: I didn't hear that. I'm sorry. I 2.0 am looking for something. 2.1 MR. ROGILLIO: He's saying, if they wear a hang 2.2. tag that has the identifier of whatever company it is 2.3 24 they are registered with, does that suffice? 25 MS. MCLEARY: I mean, the intent was clearly to

be able to clearly identify through an entire uniform that you work for a certain company. I mean, that was the intent. But there would be and could be — there is a uniform uniform, a consistent uniform, among these people. They will all be clearly working an event.

2.0

2.2

2.3

I mean, we could amend, maybe amend the rule to allow for, and this would maybe have unintended consequences, you know, the uniform of the employer or person whom your employer contracts with for a certain service, and we could tailor it a little bit better.

But I don't know if, as it exists now, just the hang tag. You do have to identify — you could allow that. I think you as a board could say the uniform of your employer could constitute a hang tag of sufficient size to be able to identify. If that's the point of it, to be able to identify which company they are working for, if that's sufficient to do that, then y'all could, you could adopt it.

MR. BAER: This rule says "affixed to."

MS. MCLEARY: It could be a patch. I don't know. You could put your patch on the left side. I mean, is it the red shirt and the khakis and put — I don't know.

MR. GURVICH: A metal badge would probably suffice or a plastic identifier ID.

MR. ROGILLIO: It was my understanding in the 1 2 meeting with Mr. Kevorkian that they have a uniform that they want them to wear done. They don't want anybody 3 else's patch on their -- whatever logo they have for 4 S.A.F.E. Management is all they want, but they do want to 5 have the name tag. I don't think that suffices as far as 6 the uniform issue goes. I think, again, the dual 7 registration. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2.

2.3

24

25

MR. GURVICH: Well, the name tag clearly identifies, and I'm not talking about quarter—inch—high letters. I'm saying, you know, something that would say XYZ Security Company and that would perhaps be a minimum of 1 inch by 11, you know, something to that effect, which would be at least identifiable as a badge or a patch.

And if you went to that extent, let's assume that the contracting security company is sending a S.A.F.E. Management uniform with the hang tag showing their identifier and that it was a very large event, you know, one-inch-high letters, three-quarter-inch-high letters.

MS. MCLEARY: You wouldn't approve that.

MR. ROGILLIO: That would have to be — you guys are going to have to take that bullet. I don't want to take it.

MS. RYLAND: We have to be careful, because this

1	is going to open a can of worms for a lot of other
2	people.
3	MR. GURVICH: Well, this is the premier sporting
4	event in the country. And I think some allowance needs
5	to be made for the fact that there are extremely intense
6	security measures here, greater than ours, I would think.
7	MS. VINSON: It's an extremely intense and it's
8	a huge money maker. What's the big deal with a \$40
9	application?
10	MR. ROGILLIO: Mr. Blackburn said there would be
11	a large number of troopers there, and I'm sure a lot of
12	NOPD guys there.
13	MR. GURVICH: There aren't enough troopers in
14	the entire state to
15	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, no. But they're going to
16	bring them from other areas, I can assure you, because I
17	think
18	MS. MCLEARY: We only have about 900 troopers.
19	We only have about 900 troopers, so that's not going to
20	work.
21	MR. GURVICH: We only have 900 troopers? Their
22	security requirements will be three or four times that.
23	MR. ROGILLIO: But, again, I think if it
24	doesn't matter. Whatever y'all want to do, I'll do. But
25	I'm saving if they did the dual registration that would

1	eliminate the whole problem. It's simple. And I agree
2	with you. What's 30 or \$40?
3	MR. GURVICH: I would rather do this now,
4	because these folks have a calendar where some very major
5	things are involved. I'd hate to leave them in limbo on
6	a subject of this importance if there's any way for the
7	board —
8	MS. VINSON: Is there any way to reach out,
9	like, to the Florida board and see what they did, like,
10	two years ago? because I'm sure they had the same
11	situation.
12	MR. ROGILLIO: We could, but I try not to delay
13	this as much as possible, because they were waiting for a
14	response from me in this e-mail.
15	MS. VINSON: Can we take a break and we can call
16	them?
17	MR. GURVICH: Do you want to take a five-minute
18	why don't you call Art and see what he thinks.
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, Art's retired.
20	MR. GURVICH: It's about time.
21	MR. ROGILLIO: If I can get him. I'll try, yes.
22	MS. VINSON: I'm sure someone there will
23	MR. ROGILLIO: Even S.A.F.E. Management has
24	obviously been doing this for eight or nine years with
25	the Super Bowls. So, I mean, if you trust them, they

could tell us maybe what they did in Florida.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2.

2.3

24

2.5

MR. GURVICH: We can call. Do we know people in Florida?

MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. I'll go call.

MR. GURVICH: Let's recess for five minutes.

(Recess taken. Mr. Cotton is not present.)

MR. GURVICH: We're back on the record. Okay. We're on the subject of S.A.F.E. Management and the Super Bowl.

MR. ROGILLIO: All right. I probably have already told most of you, but I did contact State of Florida, and I spoke to one of the supervisors in the Miami office with the Florida Licensing Bureau. And he said that, if a quard worked for 25 different companies, he would have to wear 25 different uniforms for whatever company at that time he was serving for. And what they did with S.A.F.E. Management was that, if a person worked for S.A.F.E. Management, they would be licensed or registered with S.A.F.E. Management as that quard. Не said they handled, S.A.F.E. Management handled the interior of the stadium, and the exterior of the stadium down there was handled by a number of contract companies. And they all wore their own uniform as they performed the duties. So that sounds pretty much like us.

1	MR. GURVICH: Now, that was a different
2	situation, because S.A.F.E. Management was handling
3	everything inside the stadium, and everything else was
4	contracted out?
5	MR. ROGILLIO: Right. They didn't have enough
6	people, I think, is what it was.
7	MR. GURVICH: But now they are seeking to do it
8	all —
9	MR. ROGILLIO: Obviously.
10	MR. GURVICH: inside and a significant amount
11	of outside. So to get back to the uniforms, now, when
12	there was a discussion as to the dual registration, which
13	if the basic fee is 97.50 if you're
14	MR. ROGILLIO: 92.50 if they are going to work
15	more than the 20 days.
16	MR. GURVICH: if they work more than 20 days.
17	If they work under 20 days, the basic fee is?
18	MR. ROGILLIO: \$40.
19	MR. GURVICH: \$40. And that's \$30 for the
20	application
21	MR. ROGILLIO: And a \$10 fee.
22	MR. GURVICH: and admin? Now, the dual
23	registration is \$40?
24	MR. ROGILLIO: Well, again, if they are going to
25	work more than 20 days, it would be the full-blown

registration. 2 MR. GURVICH: Assuming the vast majority of these people aren't going to work --3 MR. ROGILLIO: Well, it's my understanding from 4 5 S.A.F.E. Management in the meeting we had with them -correct me, Jane, if I'm wrong -- but they were talking 6 about coming in here and starting the interviews around 7 August or September. 8 9 MR. GURVICH: Some people are going -- my understanding is, some people are going to work well 10 11 beyond 20 days. MR. ROGILLIO: Five, six months, maybe. 12 MR. GURVICH: Is it fair to say, insofar as we 13 know these things, that the vast bulk of these thousands 14 15 of security folks are not going to be expected to work more than 20 days? I think it's fair to say. But they 16 are opening a security company, yes. 17 18 MR. ROGILLIO: They're licensed with us, yes, 19 SO. MR. GURVICH: So my question to all --2.0 MS. RYLAND: They have applied. 21 MR. ROGILLIO: They have applied. I'm sorry. 2.2 They haven't gotten a license. 2.3 Right. We're adverting to the 24 MR. GURVICH:

folks who do the 20 days or less folks. So that being

the case, they would incur the \$40 fee either way? In 2 other words, if they hired on for 20 days or less, it's \$40; if they were dual registration, it's \$40? 3 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. If they are going to work 4 5 less than 20 days for S.A.F.E. Management. MR. GURVICH: Right. 6 MR. ROGILLIO: If they're going to work beyond 7 20 days, then it would be the full 92.50 to do a 8 9 registry. MR. GURVICH: In other words, it's a dual 10 registration will cost the same as a single registration? 11 Right, right. It makes that 12 MR. ROGILLIO: company responsible to make sure they've had all their 13 training and so forth. 14 15 MS. VINSON: And the second company is 16 responsible for that, for getting those fees to the board? 17 MR. ROGILLIO: Right. Yeah. It's just like 18 they are only working, say, for one company, okay, same, 19 same difference. The only difference would be, if they 2.0 worked less 20 days, they could pay the \$40 and then be 2.1 2.2. done. 2.3 MR. GURVICH: So you have a situation where, dual registration, they work for XYZ Security Company and 24 then work for S.A.F.E. Management. And at that point, 25

they can work up to 20 days and --2 MR. ROGILLIO: With the \$40 fee. 3 MR. GURVICH: -- with the \$40 fee. 4 MR. BAER: Do they get separate IDs? MR. ROGILLIO: Sir? 5 Two IDs, an ID for each company? 6 MR. BAER: 7 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes. They would have to have the temporary off the back of the application is what they 8 9 would operate on, because they are only going to be there for 20 days. They're not going to get a permanent card. 10 But it's my understanding from Mr. Kevorkian that they 11 only want to hire licensed quards, meaning that somebody 12 who's already got a permanent card is what they are going 13 to -- I don't know if they are going to be able to get 14 15 enough people for that, but that's what they intend to 16 do. If they do that, then that person would not -- he 17 wouldn't necessarily have that card on him for S.A.F.E. 18 19 Management. He would have a S.A.F.E. Management temporary card, or, if they worked more than 20 days and 2.0 they do get a permanent card for S.A.F.E. Management, he 21 would have that card to work on. 2.2. MR. ROMERO: If they happen to go beyond the 20 2.3 24 days, would the additional fee be the \$52 or --25 MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.

MS. RYLAND: For the fingerprints. 1 2 MR. GURVICH: It would be the fingerprints, yes, 3 sir. 4 MR. ROMERO: So that's an answer, it seems. 5 MR. GURVICH: Well, I don't disagree. The only alternative that I see is that they -- if the board were 6 7 of a mind to let this come off such that S.A.F.E. Management uniform is put on file with XYZ Security 8 9 Company and it was considered that the hang tag identifier met our requirements to individually identify 10 the quard and the security company, then there would be 11 no fee. But there seems to be some sentiment against 12 that. 13 MR. ROGILLIO: I think we're going to run afoul 14 15 of some other people who are in the industry that have 16 had to pay the \$40 fee for other reasons. If you set a precedent that way, then I think you are going to have to 17 swallow it later down the road. I just think the dual 18 19 registration is the easiest thing to do. 2.0 MR. GURVICH: All right. Then what we are suggesting is someone, by way of response to 21 Mr. Kevorkian's letter, someone, presumably the board, 2.2. the executive staff member, which would be yourself, just 2.3

get back to him and say we reviewed this matter and, you

know, to the board's knowledge, the best way to proceed

24

is just to have a dual registration. Now, does that 2 create any insurance quandaries or anything like that? 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 issue. 10 11 on that basis? 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 2.1 2.2 for precisely this event. 2.3 24

25

MR. ROGILLIO: No, because S.A.F.E. Management is going to have to provide insurance to us to prove that they have insurance to be licensed as well as the company that's -- whatever quard is working for them, whether it be XYZ or ABC. That company is already going to have to have insurance, so that's not a -- it's not an insurance

MR. GURVICH: All right. So do you need a motion, or do you feel like we are just going to proceed

MR. ROMERO: You already have a mechanism in place. It doesn't require us to do anything.

MR. ROGILLIO: Well, yes. In our discussions the other day on the phone with the two gentlemen from S.A.F.E. Management, it was kind of left unanswered, I quess you would say. And then, when I got this letter and I wanted to respond to it -- I would prefer that the board agree with me on whatever issue we -- whatever thing we do. And, obviously, you are doing that, so.

MR. GURVICH: Ms. Vinson has a motion prepared

MS. VINSON: I make a motion that Mr. Rogillio respond to Mr. Kevorkian of S.A.F.E. Management and

1	advise of him of our rules regarding dual registrants.
2	MR. ROMERO: Second.
3	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Motion by Ms. Vinson,
4	second by Mr. Romero. Debate? Discussion? Any comments
5	from the Superdome folks?
6	MR. PAISANT: No, sir.
7	MR. GURVICH: This is getting them down from a
8	92.50 fee to a \$40 fee, as long as they don't work more
9	than 20 days.
10	MR. PAISANT: Yes, sir.
11	MR. GURVICH: Now, on the longer ranges, they're
12	just going to have to pay the 92.50 fee.
13	MS. RYLAND: And there is a very minimal. I
14	mean, he counted that up with me, and it's only like 3
15	percent of the whole entire employees that's going to be
16	more than 20 days.
L 7	MR. GURVICH: Okay. Well, we have a motion on
18	the floor. Any debate/discussion? All in favor of the
19	motion as stated say aye.
20	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
21	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passes
22	unanimously.
23	NEW BUSINESS, DISCUSSION OF FIXED CASE MARKING
24	PROJECTILES
2.5	MP DOCTITO. Now last thing I know it's

1	been a long day. I have two gentlemen here that want to
2	introduce a training program which would require approval
3	from the board. So you guys are ready?
4	MR. PIERRELEE: Yes, sir.
5	MR. ROGILLIO: He said about an eight-minute.
6	MR. GURVICH: They want approval in the sense
7	that they want like first or second eight hours?
8	MR. ROGILLIO: No. This is firearms. Correct
9	me if I'm wrong, it is firearms?
10	MR. PIERRELEE: Yes, sir. We'll explain it.
11	MR. GURVICH: Take over whatever you need.
12	MR. ROGILLIO: We're ready to go.
13	MR. TUGGLE: We're ready to go. We're going to
14	show you a Powerpoint over to your right. I hope you can
15	see it.
16	(State Troopers Doug Pierrelee and Lane Tuggle make
17	Powerpoint presentation for the board.)
18	MR. GURVICH: Understanding is that you want us
19	to prove approve the bullet for training protection?
20	MR. PIERRELEE: That's it. Just the projectile
21	itself.
22	MR. GURVICH: Chief, what are we doing right now
23	in terms of approved weapons training; have we ever used
24	any other kind of bullet?
25	MR. ROGILLIO: No, sir. Currently, it's a fixed

1	target at 25 yards. And, of course, then they move into
2	intervals of lesser.
3	MR. GURVICH: What's our longest range shot?
4	MR. ROGILLIO: Twenty-five.
5	MR. GURVICH: Twenty-five feet?
6	MR. ROGILLIO: Yards.
7	MR. GURVICH: The ballistics are the same?
8	MR. PIERRELEE: Absolutely. There is a slight
9	difference, but we can group them within an inch of each
10	other at 25 yards.
11	MR. GURVICH: So these, it's a wax or plastic?
12	MR. PIERRELEE: There are several different
13	manufacturers that offer their version. And we typically
14	use the ones that carry the closest to what we need them
15	for: wax, composites, soap, compressed, different
16	materials.
17	MR. GURVICH: It's been a long time since I shot
18	that course, but at 75 feet, would it have the same
19	ballistics?
20	MR. PIERRELEE: Absolutely well, I mean, they
21	are traveling slower and it's lighter, so they're going
22	to have a different ballistics, but the point of aim is
23	point of impact, that's right.
24	MR. GURVICH: So the ballistics are the same;
25	you get the same reaction?

1	MR. PIERRELEE: That's what makes this
2	significant. It acts like a real gun. There's enough
3	power in that primer and propellant to move the slide
4	back, eject that round, and insert a fresh round from the
5	magazine. So that's the big boon is, it, before, we'd
6	have to use a BB gun or some other CO2. But this is, you
7	can use, literally, their own weapon and ammunition fits
8	into the magazine. It functions like a real weapon.
9	MR. GURVICH: You've used a revolver, a .38
10	revolver?
11	MR. PIERRELEE: The revolver has been around for
12	a long time, and they've used lots of low velocity
13	training rounds and those using wadcutters and other, so
14	you wouldn't have to use a .357 or a .357 ram with full
15	power?
16	MR. GURVICH: That thing will feed into an
17	automatic?
18	MR. PIERRELEE: Yes, sir. That is specifically
19	what that is made for.
20	MR. ROMERO: What are the cost considerations?
21	MR. PIERRELEE: They are the same, ammunition,
22	pretty much. Federal Ammunition, Simunition, UTI, there
23	are different companies that make this for this purpose,
24	we've been using it in our training for years. And,
25	recently, again, it's been approved for actual

qualification measuring competency with shooters for their certification in concealed carry. That's a good 2 3 question. MR. GURVICH: Chief, Dickie, play devil's 4 advocate. Is there any reason why we shouldn't do this, 5 adopt this as a regulation training round? 6 7 MR. THORNTON: Put me on a range with somebody with a gun and that can kill me and someone that can't, 8 9 I'm going to pick that can't every time. MR. PIERRELEE: That's kind of our thought 10 11 pattern. MR. GURVICH: I go to Paul Graffio's range, and 12 I see, you know, you've got to shoot the opposite end of 13 the wall where your target is. That's fine. It's got a 14 15 backstop and everything else. But sooner or later, 16 somebody is going to put a slug through one of the side walls, and it's liable to be one of my people. I would 17 18 rather have the training --MR. THORNTON: You go around to our police range 19 20 and you'll see holes in the top. MR. GURVICH: Oh, there are bullet holes in 2.1 every range in the ceiling and on the ground. Okay. 2.2. Play devil's advocate. Why should we grant these guys 2.3 the use of this bullet? Is there any reason not to? 24 MR. ROGILLIO: No. I totally agree with the

1	concept. But what I'm thinking is that, in order to keep
2	this in line with the rules and regulations, that we
3	should adopt. And I would like to see that act.
4	MR. PIERRELEE: We have copies of it with us.
5	MR. ROGILLIO: Okay. Maybe we could come back
6	at the next meeting with a proposal to make a rule that
7	would approve the use of that particular ammunition
8	MS. RYLAND: We have a Legislative/Training
9	Committee.
10	MR. ROGILLIO: as that proposed in that he
11	doesn't want that. We will do it. We will get it for
12	you.
13	MR. GURVICH: You all seek the private security
14	facility, training facility?
15	MR. PIERRELEE: We are currently working for
16	Sentinel Security. And that's how it's open to
17	everybody. We are representing Sentinel Security, but
18	this obviously would apply to everyone, just like it has
19	done in recent law that's been passed.
20	MR. GURVICH: Well, I mean, I guess, does this
21	require a rule change or no?
22	MR. ROGILLIO: I think, yes, sir. Yes. So as
23	I'm saying, once we get this act and review it, if we
24	could come back with a recommendation to you for the
25	purpose of creating an addendum to the rule that's

already in existence or to create a new rule. 2 MR. GURVICH: We don't come back here until 3 September. MR. ROGILLIO: Well, you haven't decided yet 4 when you're going to come back. 5 I think it will be September. MR. GURVICH: 6 7 MR. ROGILLIO: But it would take -- bear in mind, it would take that 120 days, right, to process it 8 9 through the rulemaking process. It's lengthy, but. MS. VINSON: So you are thinking that you guys 10 11 can put something together for us, so, and have it prepped so we don't really -- we wouldn't really have to 12 have a committee meeting to decide; we can have something 13 prepped and ready to go to just approve in September? 14 15 MR. ROGILLIO: We can present it. Whenever you decide to meet again, we can present it to you at that 16 time as a rule to be made. And then our attorneys can 17 draft it, and we will run it through. 18 The only alternative I see to that 19 MR. GURVICH: 2.0 is, if we just gave you the authority after, you know, checking out the round and whatever, we would grant you 2.1 2.2 the authority to proceed with the rule change before we 2.3 meet again. 24 MR. ROGILLIO: If you want to adopt it today, 25 make a motion to give me the authority to do that, based

on this act, then we can come up with the verbiage for 2 it. We will sit with Allison and Frank or whoever and adopt a rule at that point. It would then be submitted 3 once the verbiage is finalized. 4 5 MS. MCLEARY: We have done that before, you know, you guys approve a concept of a rule change, even 6 if it's not exact language, some guidance given being to 7 adopt those rules, to amend our rules to allow for this 8 9 specific type of ammo. MR. GURVICH: We can also sign off on this rule 10 change individually. You could fax us something. 11 12 13 just --14

MS. MCLEARY: I can do that too or e-mail it or

MS. VINSON: We can do it now.

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2.

2.3

24

25

MS. MCLEARY: You could approve it in concept now. We can come up with something. If you would like to see drafts, we can send it before I submit it, for sure.

MR. GURVICH: All right. So you want me to make a motion. I'll make a motion to authorize the chief administrative officer, Chief Rogillio, to test and review the data on the bullet, and if, in his best judgment, it is advisable to seek for, to promulgate a rule authorizing the use of this round for training purposes, it is hereby authorized to do so. Is that

1	acceptable?
2	MS. VINSON: Second.
3	MR. ROMERO: Second.
4	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich jointly
5	seconded by Mr. Romero and Ms. Vinson. Debate?
6	Discussion? This is speeding up things a little bit for
7	you.
8	MR. PIERRELEE: Yes, sir, it is.
9	MS. VINSON: Was that too fast?
10	MR. PIERRELEE: No. It's faster than I
11	expected.
12	MR. GURVICH: We have a motion on the floor.
13	All in favor of the motion as stated say aye.
14	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
15	MR. GURVICH: Any opposed? The motion passed
16	unanimously. Thank you for being here today.
17	MR. PIERRELEE: Thank you. We'll wait to wrap
18	up our puppet show when you're done.
19	DETERMINATION OF DATE OF NEXT BOARD MEETING
20	MR. GURVICH: Next board meeting date.
21	MS. RYLAND: I'm sorry I didn't put a calendar
22	in there.
23	(Off the record discussion.)
24	MR. GURVICH: September 20th, 9:30 here in the
25	board's office?

1	MR. ROGILLIO: Yes, sir.
2	MR. GURVICH: So moved. Do I hear a second?
3	MR. BAER: Second.
4	MR. KENNEDY: I'll second.
5	MR. GURVICH: Motion by Mr. Gurvich jointly
6	seconded by Mr. Baer and Mr. Kennedy. All in favor of
7	the motion as stated say aye.
8	ALL BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
9	MR. GURVICH: The motion passed unanimously.
10	QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC
11	MR. GURVICH: Now it's time for Questions From
12	the Public. I don't think there's any public left.
13	MR. ROGILLIO: We don't have a lot of public
14	left.
15	MR. GURVICH: Anybody care to come before the
16	board and address us with any issues or problems or
17	complaints or compliments, even?
18	MS. RYLAND: Yes. Tell us how wonderful we are
19	MR. GURVICH: There being none, this meeting is
20	adjourned.
21	(End of Proceedings.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Annette Ross, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, do hereby certify that:

The proceedings as herein before set forth in the foregoing 217 pages were reported by me on stenographic machine shorthand, transcribed by me, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding;

That I am not of counsel, nor related to any person participating in this cause, and am in no way interested in the outcome of this event.

This certification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my original signature and original stamp on this page.

ANNETTE ROSS,
CCR NO. 93001